



Clonal Evaluation and Genetic Divergence Studies in Mulberry Genotypes

**Manickavasagam Mithilasri^{a++*}, K. T. Parthiban^{b#},
R. Kalpana^{c^} and Shankar S.M.^{d##}**

^a Centre for Climate Change and Disaster Management (CCC&DM), Anna University, India.

^b Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam, India.

^c Department of Sericulture, Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam, India.

^d Department of Physics, Don Bosco Arts and Science College, Dharamapuri, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author MM did conceptualization, performed methodology, wrote, and prepared the original draft. Author KTP supervised and reviewed the study. Authors MM and RK did formal analysis. Author SMS helped in software development. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2024/v17i2433

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114533>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/01/2024

Accepted: 27/03/2024

Published: 05/04/2024

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aims to evaluate the wood quality and leaf quality traits of selected mulberry clones and assess the genetic divergence among them, providing valuable insights for the development of superior genotypes with enhanced economic value for the sericulture and agroforestry sectors.

⁺⁺ Project Scientist;

[#] Professor (Forestry);

[^] Junior Research Fellow;

^{##} Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mithilasri.tnau@gmail.com;

Study design: Twenty-one genetic resources of mulberry were collected from various regions in India and evaluated through clonal test in a Row Column Design to assess growth attributes and genetic divergence.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in India, and the systematic progeny test and clonal evaluation were carried out in Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam during 2018-2022.

Methodology: The selected clones were evaluated for growth attributes such as plant height, diameter at breast height (DBH), volume, number of branches, leaf length, leaf width, petiole length, number of leaves, and leaf area. Genetic divergence was estimated using D² statistics, and clustering of clones was performed using the 'GENRES' statistical package.

Results: The study identified several clones with significantly higher growth attributes, highlighting their potential for selection in breeding and cultivation programs. Variability and heritability studies indicated that volume exhibited the highest heritability, suggesting strong genetic control and potential for improvement.

Conclusion: Clones MI-0718, MI-0807, and MI-0845 showed superior growth performance and genetic divergence, indicating their suitability for further breeding and improvement programs. The study provides insights into the genetic variability of mulberry clones and emphasizes selecting superior clones for enhancing wood volume and overall productivity.

Keywords: Correlation; growth attributes; genetic diversity; mulberry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mulberry (*Morus* spp.) is a pivotal tree genus globally cultivated for its dual utility in sericulture and agroforestry. Its leaves are the primary food source for silkworms (*Bombyx mori*), while its wood finds applications in furniture, construction, and pulp production. The economic value of mulberry hinges significantly on the quality of its wood and leaves, necessitating genetic improvement through clonal selection to enhance these traits.

Prior studies have underscored the genetic diversity and performance of mulberry genotypes. Works by Das et al., [1] and Kumar et al., [2] highlighted the importance of wood and leaf quality traits, identifying genetic variations among mulberry genotypes that could be harnessed for improving wood and leaf quality. Despite these advancements, there remains a need for comprehensive clonal evaluation and genetic divergence studies focusing on wood quality and leaf quality traits in mulberry genotypes. Understanding the genetic basis of these traits is crucial for selecting superior clones with improved wood and leaf characteristics for cultivation and breeding programs.

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the wood quality and leaf quality traits of selected mulberry clones and assess their genetic divergence. The findings will provide valuable insights into the genetic basis of wood and leaf quality traits in

mulberry, contributing to the development of superior genotypes with enhanced economic value for the sericulture and agroforestry sectors.

2. METHODOLOGY

Twenty-one mulberry genetic resources were collected from various regions across India, including Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Assam, West Bengal, Bihar, Nagaland, Uttarakhand, Telangana, Uttar Pradesh, Tripura, and North West India. Systematic progeny tests were conducted in 2018-2022, and twenty-one trees were selected based on the comparison tree method [3]. These trees were then cloned through mini clonal technology [4,47] to create designated clones.

The clones were evaluated in a Row Column Design at a spacing of 3 m x 3 m with three replications, following the analysis of variance as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [5]. They were periodically assessed for various growth attributes such as basal diameter, diameter at breast height, height, number of branches, volume, leaf length, leaf width, leaf petiole length, and leaf area.

Genetic divergence was estimated using D² statistics [6], and clustering of clones was performed based on D² values using the 'GENRES' statistical package, as suggested by Rao [7]. Intra and inter-cluster relationships were then studied to understand the genetic diversity among the clones [46].

Table 1. Details of mulberry genetic resources

S.No	Morus species	Genotypes number	Name	Origin
1		ME-0025	Shrim-8	RSRS, Kodathi
2	<i>M. alba</i>	MI-0211	Rajasthan Local	North West India
3	<i>M. australis</i>	ME-0001	<i>M. australis</i>	RSRS, Kodathi
4	<i>M. bombycis</i>	ME-0109	Artificial	CSR & TI, Berhampore
5		MI-0013	S-36	CSR & TI, Mysore
6		MI-0349	Garobadha-2	North East India
7		MI-0395	Tingrai	North East India
8	<i>M. indica</i>	MI-0536	Ananthagiri local	South India
9		MI-0615	Ukhimath	North West India
10		MI-0718	Chozhiakadu	South India
11		MI-0768	Mangari	North India
12		MI-0017	Sujanpur-5	CSR&TI, Mysore
13		MI-0663	Chauntra-2	North West India
14		MI-0034	Sujanpur-1	RSRS, Kodathi
15		MI-0685	Khakad-2	North India
16		MI-0308	Victory-1	RSRS, Kodathi
17	<i>M. latifolia</i>	ME-0006	<i>M. multicaulis</i>	RSRS, Kodathi
18		MI-0807	JRH Jorhat	CISR, Jorhat
19		MI-0845	Rajapur-2	North East India
20	<i>M. macroura</i>	ME-0220	<i>M. macroura</i>	Karnataka
21	<i>M. rotundiloba</i>	ME-0095	<i>M. rotundiloba</i>	CSR&TI, Mysore

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Growth Parameters of Mulberry Clones

The twenty one screened mulberry clones were evaluated through organized clonal evaluation test for 15 Month After Plantation (MAP) growth attributes, including plant height, diameter at breast height (DBH), volume, and number of branches. The mean values for these parameters across all clones were 4.32 m for plant height, 35.89 cm for DBH, 0.0022 m³ for volume, and 13.02 for the number of branches (Table 2) and leaf traits also evaluated through clonal evaluation for various clones of plants, including leaf length, leaf width, petiole length, number of leaves, and leaf area. The mean values for these parameters across all clones were 22.56 cm for leaf length, 13.29 cm for leaf width, 3.94 cm for petiole length, 143.4 for number of leaves, and 189.67 cm² for leaf area (Table 3).

Several clones exhibited significantly different values compared to the mean. Clones ME-0025, MI-0211, MI-0013, MI-0536, MI-0718, MI-0768, MI-0685, MI-0017, ME-0006, MI-0807, and MI-0845 showed significantly higher plant height and DBH. Additionally, clones ME-0025, MI-0211, MI-

0013, MI-0536, MI-0718, MI-0768, MI-0685, MI-0006, MI-0807, and MI-0845 exhibited significantly higher volume, while clones ME-0025, MI-0211, MI-0013, MI-0718, MI-0768, MI-0685, and MI-0807 had significantly higher numbers of branches. In leaf trait aspects the clones MI-0349, MI-0536, MI-0718, MI-0807, and MI-0845 showed significantly higher leaf length, while clones MI-0211, ME-0109, MI-0013, MI-0536, MI-0718, MI-0768, MI-0663, MI-0685, MI-0807, and MI-0845 exhibited significantly higher leaf width. Additionally, clones ME-0001, MI-0349, MI-0536, MI-0615, MI-0718, MI-0807, and MI-0845 had significantly higher petiole length, and clones ME-0025, MI-0211, MI-0013, MI-0536, MI-0718, MI-0807, and MI-0845 showed significantly higher leaf area.

These findings are consistent with previous research by Selvan and Parthiban [8], who also observed similar trends in plant growth characteristics among different clones. The superior performance of these clones in terms of plant height, DBH, volume, number of branches, petiole length and leaf area highlights their potential for selection in breeding and cultivation programs aimed at improving growth and productivity.

Table 2. Growth parameters of Mulberry clones at 15 MAP

Clones	Plant height (m)	DBH (mm)	Volume (m ³)	No.of branches
ME-0025	5.67**	42.93**	0.0039**	16.28**
MI-0211	5.46**	38.26**	0.0029**	16.12**
ME-0001	2.98	33.24	0.0012	11.00
ME-0109	3.86	33.41	0.0016	10.00
MI-0013	5.01**	43.03**	0.0034**	13.00
MI-0349	3.79	31.78	0.0014	13.00
MI-0395	2.85	31.67	0.0011	10.00
MI-0536	4.20	36.28	0.0020	15.50**
MI-0615	4.89**	35.02	0.0022	12.76
MI-0718	4.95**	40.46**	0.0030**	12.84
MI-0768	5.20**	41.36**	0.0033**	13.00
MI-0034	3.79	32.31	0.0015	10.00
MI-0663	2.52	30.85	0.0009	15.98**
MI-0685	4.91**	42.34**	0.0032**	17.89**
MI-0017	3.75	32.72	0.0015	13.00
ME-0006	5.39**	33.16	0.0022	15.00**
MI-0807	5.42**	43.25**	0.0037**	12.00
MI-0845	5.51**	42.76**	0.0037**	11.00
ME-0220	4.38	32.97	0.0018	10.00
ME-0095	2.15	30.53	0.0007	13.00
MI-0308	4.14	27.61	0.0012	12.98
Mean	4.32	35.89	0.0022	13.02
SEd	0.13	0.74	0.0001	0.27
CD (0.05)	0.26	1.47	0.0002	0.54
CD (0.01)	0.34	2.25	0.0002	0.72

** Significant at 1% level

* Significant at 5% level

Table 3. Leaf traits of Mulberry clones at 15 MAP

Clones	leaf length (cm)	leaf width (cm)	petiole length (cm)	number of leaves	leaf area (cm ²)
ME-0025	21.20	11.10	3.02	165.00**	146.33
MI-0211	16.80	10.50	3.56	176.00**	110.28
ME-0001	20.90	10.80	4.41**	121.00	130.12
ME-0109	19.29	14.90**	4.13	110.00	170.47
MI-0013	22.60	11.20	4.77**	143.00	162.11
MI-0349	26.30**	12.50	4.12	142.91	220.69**
MI-0395	19.60	9.80	2.79	111.26	123.35
MI-0536	24.90**	12.90	5.17**	170.50**	210.56**
MI-0615	18.10	9.80	3.64	140.36	109.01
MI-0718	25.10**	19.30**	3.79	141.24	297.24**
MI-0768	22.40	13.70	3.72	146.67	167.66
MI-0034	21.90	13.00	3.03	110.00	173.39
MI-0663	22.90	12.50	3.44	175.78**	178.21
MI-0685	21.50	9.90	4.66**	196.79**	141.13
MI-0017	20.90	13.70	3.82	145.67	165.64
ME-0006	20.30	13.00	4.65**	165.00**	171.71
MI-0807	33.90**	22.10**	3.91	132.00	459.49**
MI-0845	26.70**	21.70**	4.24**	121.33	363.16**
ME-0220	23.10	11.90	4.00	110.00	167.26
ME-0095	21.40	11.20	3.89	143.53	131.97
MI-0308	23.99**	13.56	3.93	143.40	183.28
Mean	22.56	13.29	3.94	143.40	189.67
SEd	0.49	0.33	0.12	4.03	4.12
CD (0.05)	0.98	0.66	0.23	8.02	8.20
CD (0.01)	0.26	0.87	0.30	10.64	10.89

** Significant at 1% level

* Significant at 5% level




Fig. 1. PCV and GCV for Growth attributes

3.2 Determination of Genetic Evaluation and Association Studies

3.2.1 Variability and heritability studies

In the current investigation among the various growth attributes, volume recorded high phenotypic and genotypic variance followed by leaf area, leaf width and plant height exhibited moderate phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variance whereas number of leaves, number of branches, leaf length, leaf petiole length, DBH and basal diameter recorded low PCV and GCV. The success of tree improvement programme depends on the existence of variability among the genetic resources. In the current study there exist variability however, most of the traits registered higher PCV compared to their corresponding GCV (Fig..1). Similar research in mulberry also recorded Sushmitha *et al.*, [9] where in the leaf yield parameters exhibited higher phenotypic coefficient of variance than genotypic coefficients of variance which corroborate the findings of current study. A plethora of workers have also reported similar higher values for PCV than GCV particularly in species like *Neolamarckia cadamba* [10]; *Jatropha curcus* [11] and *Leucaena leucocephala* [12] are lend support to the reports of current investigation. The higher values of PCV than GCV are expected when environmental variances are larger because phenotypic variances consist of genotypic and environmental variance. In other words, expression of traits has been affected by the influence of the environmental factors has reported earlier in Neem [13].

From these observations it is clearly evidenced that the higher PCV registered in the study would have influenced due to the environmental factors. In the current study, heritability was in higher range for all the characters under investigation. Among the traits, volume registered highest heritability (98.57%) and basal diameter registered lowest heritability (75.69 %). Such higher heritability for traits under investigation indicated that these traits are strongly under the control of additive gene action which influences the characters as reported in earlier in selected mulberry [14]. Similarly higher heritability rate was also reported in leaf characterization of mulberry species [3,15] which indicated the effect of additive action in expression of these characters. Several tree species *viz.*, *Ailanthus excels* [16]; *Thespesia populnea* [17] and Willows [18] also reported higher heritable value for most of the growth traits under the observation. These indicate that the higher heritability rate recorded in the current study for most characters particularly volume, would play vital role in selection and the associated mulberry improvement programme.

In the present study, the trend of genetic advance as per cent of mean was high in volume followed by leaf area and moderate in leaf width, plant height, number of branches, total number of leaves and leaf length whereas low in leaf petiole length, DBH and basal diameter indicated that improvement could be made in these biometric traits. The findings of current study are in line with those of Kanna *et al.* and Kaushik in *Ailanthus excels* [16,19]; Parthiban *et al.* in *Neolamarckia cadamba* [10] and Behera *et al.* in *Eucalyptus* [20] which lend support to the results of current investigation.

Table 4. Genetic estimation for growth attributes

Characters	Range		PCV	GCV	Heritability (%)	GA (%) of mean
	Minimum	Maximum				
Basal Diameter (mm)	41.04	53.46	9.31	8.66	75.69	16.60
DBH (mm)	23.72	42.50	14.90	14.54	90.25	29.23
Number of branches	10.00	15.98	17.07	16.76	92.16	33.89
Leaf length (cm)	16.80	33.90	16.90	16.54	92.16	33.32
Leaf width (cm)	8.90	20.50	26.00	25.74	96.04	52.50
Leaf petiole length (cm)	2.79	5.17	15.73	15.05	84.64	29.69
Total number of leaves	110	196.79	17.55	16.98	88.36	33.83
Leaf area (cm ²)	109.01	459.49	45.39	45.26	98.01	92.97
Plant height (m)	2.15	5.67	24.13	23.68	92.16	47.88
Volume (m ³)	0.0005	0.0037	48.10	47.93	98.57	98.38

The best gains could be achieved for the characteristics that are strongly under genetic control and have wide range of variability. The characters with high heritability coupled with higher genetic gain could act as a reliable indicators as evidenced in *Prosopis juliflora* [21] and also in *Leucaena leucocephala* [12]. Johnson *et al.* reported that heritability estimates along with expected genetic gain are more useful and realistic than the heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect for best genotypes [22]. Hence the high heritability coupled with high genetic gain for volume recorded in the current study indicated that this character is strongly under genetic control and could act as a variable character for improvement programme in mulberry.

3.3 Association Studies

3.3.1 Correlation

In the present study the degree of correlations at genotypic level was higher than their corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient in all the growth parameters indicating the genetic association among the characters. Similar results were observed by Selvan and Parthiban [8] in *Neolamarckia cadamba*; Divakara in *Jatropha curcas* [23] and Rao *et al.* in *Pongamia pinnata* [7]. The current investigation the correlation matrix revealed that DBH, Plant height and Basal diameter have exhibited highly significant association with both at phenotypic and genotypic level. The results indicated that these characters are under strong association and could play a vital role in selection programme and the associated improvement programme in mulberry. It also exhibited a strong inherent genotypic relationship between

characters studied as earlier reported by several authors [24,25,26,27,28,29,30] which extend greater support to the result of present investigation.

Similar positive and significant correlations have also been reported in species *Eucalyptus* [20] and *Thespesia populnea* [31]. The correlated quantitative traits of major interest in an improvement programme as the improvement of one character may cause simultaneously and related changes in other associated characters [32]. The current study the genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient among the various traits revealed that the magnitude of correlation coefficient at genotypic level where higher than corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient. Thus indicating that the minimum effect of the environment and true representation of genotypic through phenotypic selection [33,53].

3.3.2 Path analysis

Selection is the most important activity in all breeding programmes. Tree yield, *i.e.* tree volume, is a complex and highly variable character that is influenced by many component characteristics. In the integrated structure of the plant, the overall correlation observed between two variables is a function of a series of direct and indirect relationship between different variables. Path analysis gives an insight into a complex relationship between different characteristics in a biological system [25,34]. In current study, path co-efficient analysis indicated that among the nine traits, six traits exhibited positive direct effect on volume. The highest positive direct effect was exerted by diameter at breast height followed by plant

height, basal diameter, leaf length, number of branches, leaf width and the other characters exhibited negative direct effect (Fig. 2).

In the present investigation, basal diameter in addition to expressing direct effect on volume, also exhibited the highest indirect effect through diameter at breast height followed by plant height, leaf width, leaf length and other traits recorded negative indirect effect. The diameter at breast height also exhibited similar trend. Path analyses through direct and indirect effect of various characters are available only for leaf yield and not for wood volume [12,35]. Hence, the literature pertaining to other species are discussed.

The path analysis provides a more resolution evidence of the interrelationship as if partition into direct as well as indirect effect *via* yield contributing factors. The current study volume was influenced through direct and indirect effects of basal diameter and DBH which revealed that these characters are under strong relationship towards influence in the total volume as evidence as in several species like *Leucaena leucocephala* [12]; Pungam [32,36] and Casuarina [37]. Collar diameter and plant height had a direct effect on volume index in Eucalyptus [38] attested the research of finds current study. Similarly, the previous study in Simarouba [18]. Correlation and path analysis studies provide the relationship and dependency of one variable over the other. The present study envisaged that high and positive association coupled with intensive direct effect of diameter at breast height followed

by plant height enhance the wood volume which could be used as selection criteria in mulberry improvement programme.

3.4 Genetic Diversity Studies

The nature and degree of genetic divergence in the seed sources is useful for classifying them into groups on the basis of their diversity, particularly when overlapping for one or more characters is frequent, the genetic divergence analysis also helps in identifying the desirable genotypes for improvement programme, presuming that genetic diversity would provide greater livelihood of promising genetic rearrangement [10,41].

The current study resolved 21 clones into three clusters were in the clone in cluster I included genetic resources originated from different locations whereas the cluster II and III confined to North East India and Karnataka respectively, which indicated that the clone from different locations grouped together to form a single major cluster as evidence in cluster I. This pattern revealed that the pattern of genetic diversity was not dependent on geographical locations. These findings were agreement with results of Shree *et al.* in *Neolamarckia cadamba* [8] and *Tamarindus indica* [39]. Similarly, in *Pinus wallichiana* [40] suggested that all the genotypes from given area may not necessarily form a single cluster. Hence, the pattern of divergence reported in the current study not dependent on the geographical nearness of the genotypes and such a pattern could be attributes to difference in the genetic makeup of the otherwise co-occurring genotypes [41].




Fig. 2. Path diagram showing relationship between volume and growth attributes (15 MAP)

Table 5. Phenotypic correlation coefficient of growth attributes

Effect of characters	Basal Diameter	DBH	Number of branches	Leaf length	Leaf width	Leaf petiole length	Total number of leaves	Leaf area	Plant height	Volume
Basal Diameter	1.00	0.678**	0.322	0.172	0.354	0.197	0.313	0.333	0.864**	0.829**
DBH		1.00	0.219	0.304	0.372*	0.145	0.232	0.395*	0.701**	0.936**
Number of branches			1.00	-0.124	-0.213	0.252	0.948**	-0.190	0.215	0.248
Leaf length				1.00	0.728**	0.177	-0.108	0.897**	0.207	0.322
Leaf width					1.00	0.128	-0.212	0.934**	0.389*	0.420*
Leaf petiole length						1.00	0.255	0.121	0.168	0.143
Total number of leaves							1.00	-0.184	0.223	0.252
Leaf area								1.00	0.353	0.433*
Plant height									1.00	0.872**
Volume										1.00

** Significant at 1% level

* Significant at 5% level

Table 6. Genotypic correlation coefficient of growth attributes

Effect of characters	Basal Diameter	DBH	Number of branches	Leaf length	Leaf width	Leaf petiole length	Total number of leaves	Leaf area	Plant height	Volume
Basal Diameter	1.00	0.762**	0.346	0.200	0.382*	0.196	0.356	0.357	0.926**	0.895**
DBH		1.00	0.238	0.332	0.375*	0.174	0.243	0.409*	0.730**	0.960**
Number of branches			1.00	-0.133	-0.218	0.260	1.008**	-0.195	0.219	0.254
Leaf length				1.00	0.756**	0.190	-0.129	0.915**	0.219	0.327
Leaf width					1.00	0.128	-0.219	0.945**	0.392*	0.422*
Leaf petiole length						1.00	0.276	0.130	0.174	0.149
Total number of leaves							1.00	-0.191	0.235	0.264
Leaf area								1.00	0.361*	0.436*
Plant height									1.00	0.889**
Volume										1.00

** Significant at 1% level

* Significant at 5% level

Table 7. Path coefficient analysis for growth attributes on volume

Effect of characters	Basal Diameter	DBH	Number of branches	Leaf length	Leaf width	Leaf petiole length	Total number of leaves	Leaf area	Plant height
Basal Diameter	0.141	0.482	0.024	0.019	0.021	-0.010	-0.023	-0.039	0.281
DBH	0.108	0.632	0.016	0.031	0.020	-0.009	-0.015	-0.045	0.221
Number of branches	0.049	0.151	0.069	-0.012	-0.012	-0.014	-0.064	-0.022	0.066
Leaf length	0.028	0.210	-0.009	0.093	0.041	-0.010	0.008	-0.101	0.067
Leaf width	0.054	0.237	-0.015	0.070	0.054	-0.007	0.014	-0.105	0.119
Leaf petiole length	0.028	0.110	0.018	0.018	0.007	-0.053	-0.017	-0.014	0.053
Total number of leaves	0.050	0.153	0.069	-0.012	-0.012	-0.015	-0.063	0.021	0.071
Leaf area	0.050	0.259	-0.013	0.085	0.051	-0.007	0.012	-0.111	0.109
Plant height	0.131	0.462	0.462	0.020	0.021	-0.009	-0.015	-0.041	0.304

Residual Value = 0.035

Diagonal values are direct effect

Table 8. Clustering pattern of mulberry clones for growth attributes

Cluster No.	Number of clones	Members
I	17	ME-0025, MI-0211, ME-0001, ME-0109, MI-0013, MI-0349, MI-0395, MI-0536, MI-0615, MI-0718, MI-0768, MI-0034, MI-0663, MI-0685, MI-0017, MI-0308, ME-0006
II	2	MI-0807, MI-0845
III	2	ME-0220, ME-0095

In the current investigation, the high intra- cluster distance exhibited by cluster I followed by cluster III revealing the existence of diverse genotypes / clones in these cluster whereas the minimum intra cluster value showed by cluster II indicated that within the cluster the genotypes/ clones are similar. The intra cluster distance was much lower than inter cluster distance, indicating between the clusters were heterogeneous and also indicated wider genetic diversity among the clones of different cluster than those of same cluster. Such diversity between cluster were heterogeneous and homogenous within the clusters were also reported in *Neolamarckia cadamba* [8] which lend support to the present findings.

The maximum inter cluster exhibited by cluster II and III which indicates extensive genetic differences between the clones in these cluster groups and selecting of parents from these clusters would prove useful in developing novel hybrids with traits like better diameter at breast height, plant height and volume. The minimum inter cluster observed between cluster I and cluster III indicating the clones belonging to these clusters were genetically closer and also selection of tree as parents from these clusters should be avoided. The results of present study are in line with the findings of other workers in different tree species viz., *Ailanthus excels* [16]; *Neolamarckia cadamba* [10,8]; *Bixa*

orellana [42]; *Pongamia pinnata* [31] and *Bombax ceiba* [43].

Table 9. Intra (diagonal) and Inter cluster D² & D (Parentheses) values for growth attributes

Effect of characters	I	II	III
I	684.28 (26.16)	2534.64 (50.35)	642.48 (25.35)
II		290.29 (17.04)	3198.91 (56.56)
III			447.24 (21.15)

3.5 Contribution of Growth Attributes to Genetic Divergence

The contribution of growth attributes towards genetic divergence shall guide the breeder towards deploying the contributory traits for further breeding and improvement programme. In the current study, among the growth attributes, volume contributed maximum (72.38 %) towards genetic divergence followed by leaf area and plant height (Fig. 3). Hence, the present findings indicated that the trees with higher volume production in this species could play a vital role towards existence of genetic diversity. Similar results were earlier recorded in *Neolamarckia cadamba* [10]; and *T. grandis* [44] which corroborate the findings of current study.




Fig. 3. Percentage contribution of growth attributes to genetic divergence

4. CONCLUSION

The evaluation of 21 mulberry clones for growth attributes revealed that clones MI-0718, MI-0807, and MI-0845 consistently exhibited superior growth performance for the period of 15 months after plantation. Volume, leaf area, and plant height were identified as key attributes contributing to genetic divergence among the clones. These findings suggest that these clones could be prioritized for further breeding and improvement programs aimed at enhancing wood volume and overall productivity. The study also highlighted the importance of considering both phenotypic and genotypic variance, as well as heritability, in selecting traits for improvement. The high heritability observed for most traits indicates that they are strongly controlled by additive gene action, making them suitable targets for selection in breeding programs. Furthermore, the clustering analysis revealed that genetic diversity among mulberry clones is not solely dependent on geographical origin, suggesting that selection of parents for hybridization should be based on genetic rather than geographical considerations. Clones from different locations grouped together, indicating the potential for creating novel hybrids with desirable traits by selecting parents from diverse clusters. In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the genetic variability and potential for improvement in mulberry clones, emphasizing the importance of selecting superior clones for breeding programs to enhance wood volume and overall productivity in mulberry cultivation.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Central Sericulture Germplasm Resources Centre, Hosur, for providing mulberry genetic resources for this research. We also extend our thanks to the Department of Sericulture, Forest College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, for their valuable assistance and support throughout this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Das S, Dutta Pand, Sengupta D. Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance

- for wood quality traits in mulberry (*Morus* spp.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(3), 2826-2830.
2. Kumar A, Singh RK, Singh AK. Assessment of genetic diversity for leaf quality traits in mulberry (*Morus* spp.) using RAPD markers. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 2020;13(5):883-889.
3. Rajendran P. Genetic variability and association studies in half-sib progenies of Puvarasu (*Thespesia populnea* L. Sol. ex. Correa) in coastal regions of Thiruvavur District, Tamil Nadu. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2018;9(3):966-971.
4. Pitcher JA, Dorn DE. A new form for reporting hardwood superior tree candidates. Proc.5th central states forest tree improvement, Wooster, Ohio.1966; 7-12.
5. Panse VJ, Sukhatme. PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, New delhi: ICAR;1967.
6. Mahalanobis PC. Statistical study of the Chinese head; 1928.
7. Saini Pawan, SS Chauhan, Aftab A Shabnam, Lal Chand, and Narender Negi. Genetic variability and trait association analysis for agro-morphological markers in mulberry genetic resources from Kashmir, India." Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(4):1799-1812.
8. Sharma NK, Burman U, Tewari JC, Bhora MD, Harsh LN. Variability studies in pod and seed characteristics of *Prosopis juliflora* (SW.) DC. Indian Journal of Forest. 1994;17(2):161-165.
9. Suresh K, Jalaja SK, Banerjee R, Trivedy K. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in physiological and yield attributes in mulberry (*Morus* spp.). J. Crop Weed. 2017;13(1):28-33.
10. Parthiban, KT, Selvan, RT, Palanikumar B, Krishnakumar,N. Variability and genetic diversity studies on *Neolamarckia cadamba* genetic resources. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2019;31(1):90-98.
11. Gawali AS, Wagh RS, Sonawane CJ. Genetic variability in growth and seed traits of *Jatropha curcas* L. germplasm for genetic tree improvement. Forest Res. 2016;5(171):2.
12. Sathyanarayana K, Sangannavar, PA. Correlation and Path Analysis of Morpho-physiological Parameters Contributing to Leaf Yield in Mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) under Salt Affected Soils in India.Int. J.

- Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(5):2118-2133.
13. Dhillon RS, Verma RC, Dhanda SK, Sheokand R, Kumari S. Genetic divergence based on quantitative variation for some seed traits in plus trees of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss). Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2009;11 (1).
 14. Murthy Keshava BC, Puttaraju HP, Shailaja Hittalmani. Genetic variability and correlation studies in selected mulberry (*Morus* spp.) germplasm accessions. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2010;1(3):351-355.
 15. Doss SG, Rahman MS, Debnath S, Ghosh MK, Sau H, Ghosh PL, Sarkar A. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in nine germplasm lines of mulberry (*Morus* spp.). Indian Journal of Genetics. 2006;66:169-170.
 16. Kanna SU, Krishnakumar N Jailani KM, Kather MD. Variability, heritability and genetic advance of *Ailanthus excelsa*. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(7):1508-1517.
 17. Mahendran S, Rajendran P, Parthiban KT, Sivakumar K, Prabakaran P. Genetic variability and association studies in half-sib progenies of Puvarasu (*Thespesia populnea* L. Sol. ex. Correa) in coastal regions of Thiruvavur District, Tamil Nadu. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2018;9 (3):966-971.
 18. Choudhary Punit, Singh NB, Sharma JP, Archana Verma. Estimation of Genetic Parameters among Intra and Interspecific Progenies of Tree Willows. Indian Forester. 2016;142 (12):1157-1163.
 19. Kaushik N, Chhabra AK. Genetic divergence analysis in *Ailanthus excelsa* based on morphological traits. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;87(2):173-178.
 20. Behera LK, Jha SK, Gunaga RP, Nayak D, Tandel MB, Jadeja DB. Genetic variability and correlation study for growth characters among clones of Eucalyptus. Int J Chem Stud. 2017;5 (6):763-765.
 21. Shree MP, Krishnakumar N, Parthiban KT. Genetic Diversity and Relationships of *Neolamarckia cadamba*(Roxb.) Bosser progenies through cluster analysis. Tropical Plant Research. 2018;5(1):107-15.
 22. Johnson Herbert W, Robinson HF, Comstock R Ev. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybeans and their implications in selection. Agronomy journal. 1955a;47(10):477-483.
 23. Divakara BN. Assessing variability and divergence of *Jatropha curcas* Linn. germplasm under ex-situ conditions. Forest Res. 2017;6(201):2.
 24. Al- Aysh Firas, Hussein Kutma, Mahmood Serhan, Abdulla Al-Zoubai, Mohammad Abdelsalam Al-Naseer, DAG Village. Genetic analysis and correlation studies of yield and fruit quality traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). New York Science Journal. 2012;5 (10):142-145.
 25. Chikkalingaiah Y, Vijayashekar B Geetha Devi T, Sadatulla. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in different accessions of mulberry. In: Proc. National Workshop on Seri-Biodiversity Conservation. 2009;7-8 March.
 26. Dar Rukhsar Ahmad, Jag Paul Sharma, Gupta RK, Sandeep Chopra. Studies on correlation and path analysis for yield and physico chemical traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Vegetos. 2011;24 (2):136-141.
 27. De Souza, Livia M, Paulo César T Melo, Reginaldo R Luders, and Arlete MT Melo. Correlations between yield and fruit quality characteristics of fresh market tomatoes. Horticultura Brasileira. 2012;30:627-631.
 28. Harer PN, Lad DB, Bhor TJ. Correlation and path analysis studies in tomato. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities (India). 2002;47(10):477-483.
 29. Islam BM, Ivy NA, Rasul MG, Zakaria M. Character association and path analysis of exotic tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) genotypes. Bangladesh Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics. 2010;23(1):13-8.
 30. Sushmitha C, Murali S, Ahalya BN. Genetic variation for Vegetative and Reproductive Traits in Mulberry (*Morus* spp) Accessions; 2021.
 31. Rao AA, Chauhan SS, Radhakrishnan R, Tikader A, Borpuzari MM, Kamble CK. Distribution, variation and conservation of mulberry (*Morus* spp.) genetic resources in the arid zone of Rajasthan, India. Bioremediation, Biodiversity and Bioavailability. 2011;5(1):52-62.
 32. Meena Himanshu, Ashok Kumar, Rajni Sharma, Sanjeev Kumar Chauhan, Krishana Mohan Bhargava. Genetic variation for growth and yield parameters in half-sib progenies of *Melia azedarach* (Linn.). Turkish journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2014;38 (4):531-539.

33. Sangram C, Keerthika A. Genetic variability and association studies among morphological traits of *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam.) de Wit. genetic resources. 2013;5(1):526-536.
34. Pandey Deepak, Vasudha Pandey, Sanjay Tripathi, Salil K Tewari. Path analysis in *Populus Deltoides* Bart: 8 year age. Indian Forester. 1997;123(8):755-758.
35. Sundaram Reeja. Genetic variability and divergence studies in *Prosopis juliflora* DC. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(4):3479-3484.
36. Pavithra Hosalli Ramaswamy, Balakrishna Gowda, Kuthi Thammaiah Prasanna, Manchanahally Byrappa Shivanna. Pod and seed traits in candidate plus trees of *Pongamia pinnata* (L.) Pierre from southern peninsular India in relation to provenance variation and genetic variability. Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology. 2013;16 (2):131-142.
37. Chavan Raju, Viswanath S, Shivanna H. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in five *Casuarina* species for productivity of biomass. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012; 24 (5):156-187.
38. Ashok Kumar, Dhillon GPS. Clonal testing of *Eucalyptus* clones and estimation of their genetic parameters. Indian Forester. 2016;142 (2):127-132.
39. Priyanka GP, Biradar IB, Satish D, Sandhyarani Nishani, Nagesh Naik and Manukumar. H.R. Character association and path analysis studies in tamarind (*Tamarindus indica* L.). genotypes Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10 (2):606-609.
40. Aslam Mohd, Zafar A Reshi, Siddiqi TO. Genetic Divergence In Half-Sib Progenies Of *Pinus wallichiana* A. B. Jackson Plus Trees In The Kashmir Himalaya, India. Tropical Ecology. 2011;52 (2):201-208.
41. Chauhan SK, Sehgal RN. Genetic divergence among progenies of Himalayan long leaf pine. Indian Journal of Forestry. 2001; 24:65-71.
42. Kala S, Kumaran K. Genetic divergence studies among the progenies of plus trees in *Bixa orellana*, L. Indian Forester. 2012;138 (9):828-834.
43. Chaturvedi OP, Pandey N. Genetic divergence in *Bombax ceiba* L. germplasms. Silvae Genetica. 2001;50(3-4):99-102.
44. Bagchi SK. Divergence in *Tectona grandis*. Ann. For. 2000;8(1):25-27.
45. Kumaran K, Nesamani K, Govinda Rao M. Correlation and path coefficient studies in *simarouba glauca* DC. Indian Forester. 2010;136 (3):322-330.
46. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) Publication, New Delhi, India; 1987.
47. Parthiban KT. Mini clonal technology for tree crops: Forestry technologies-a complete value chain approach; 2017.
48. Rahman MS, Islam SMS. Genetic diversity analysis based on morphological characters in mulberry (*Morus* spp.). Journal of Bio-Science. 2020b; 28:111-119.
49. Rao CR. Advanced statistical methods in biometric research; 1952.
50. Rao, GR, Shanker, AK, Srinivas,I, Korwar,GR and Venkateswarlu,B. Diversity and variability in seed characters and growth of *Pongamia pinnata* (L.) Pierre accessions. Trees. 2011;25 (4):725-734.
51. Sehgal RN, Chauhan SK, Dhall SP. Half-sib progeny evaluation in Chir pine. Silvae Genetica (Germany); 1995.
52. Selvan RT, Parthiban KT. Clonal evaluation and genetic divergence studies in *Neolamarckia cadamba* roxb. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2018; 9 (2):692-704.
53. Tasisa, Jiregna, Derbew Belew, and Kassahin Bantte. Genetic associations analysis among some traits of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) genotypes in West Showa, Ethiopia. International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics. 2012;6 (3):129-139.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114533>