



## **International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**

**Volume 13, Issue 11, Page 259-265, 2023; Article no.IJECC.107001**

**ISSN: 2581-8627**

(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231-4784)

# **Effect of Iron and Boron on Growth and Yield of Foxtail Millet**

**Singi Reddy Keerthana Reddy <sup>a++\*</sup>, Umesha C. <sup>a#</sup>  
and Satti Ramya Suma Sri <sup>a++</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i113165

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107001>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 23/07/2023**

**Accepted: 29/09/2023**

**Published: 06/10/2023**

## **ABSTRACT**

The field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* season 2023 at experimental field of Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.62%), available nitrogen (225 kg/ha), available phosphorus (38.2 kg/ha) and available potassium (240.7 kg/ha). The experiment was laid down in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments which are replicated thrice. The experiment consists of Iron and Boron. The treatment combinations are T<sub>1</sub>: Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.25%, T<sub>2</sub>: Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.50%, T<sub>3</sub>: Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.75%, T<sub>4</sub>: Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.25%, T<sub>5</sub>: Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.50%, T<sub>6</sub>: Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.75%, T<sub>7</sub>: Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.25%, T<sub>8</sub>: Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.50%, T<sub>9</sub>: Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%, T<sub>10</sub>: Control (RDF 50:30:20 NPK kg/ha). Results revealed that significant and higher plant height (84.43 cm), maximum number of tillers/hill (8.60), higher plant dry weight (14.41 g), higher panicle length

<sup>++</sup> M. Sc. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: [singireddykeerthanareddy@gmail.com](mailto:singireddykeerthanareddy@gmail.com);

(15.33 cm), number of grains/ panicle (1,263.00), test weight (4.34 g), grain yield (1.99 t/ha), straw yield (3.39 t/ha), maximum gross return (INR 66,480.00), net return (INR 43,186.40) and B:C ratio (1.85) were recorded in treatment 9 [Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%].

**Keywords:** Foxtail millet; iron, boron; growth; yield; economics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Foxtail millet is one of the world's oldest cultivated crops, widely grown in Asia and Africa's arid and semi-arid regions, as well as in some other economically developed countries where it is more commonly used as bird feed. This article provides a thorough examination of the physicochemical and health-functional properties of foxtail millet, as well as the processing techniques used to improve these properties and create more palatable food products. Foxtail millet has high protein, fiber, mineral, and phytochemical content. Anti-nutrients in this millet, such as phytic acid and tannin, can be reduced to negligible levels by using appropriate processing methods” [1]. “Millet is also said to have hypolipidemic, low-glycemic index, and antioxidant properties. According to this review, foxtail millet, like most millet varieties, is under-utilized as a food source. It is, however, receiving increased research and commercial attention, because its cultivation is not overly demanding in terms of agricultural inputs, and it can grow in difficult terrains. It is reasonable to believe that foxtail millet has the potential to improve nutritional and food security. Foxtail millet has an excellent nutritional profile and is miles ahead of rice and wheat in terms of protein, fiber, minerals, and vitamins. It has good nutritive value as it is rich in proteins (12.3 g), carbohydrates (60.9 g), fat (4.3 g), crude fiber (8.0 g), calcium (3.1 g), vitamins and thiamin (50 mg) per 100g. The grain is a good source of Beta carotene, which is the precursor of Vitamin A” (Murugan and Nirmala, 2006). “Worldwide production of millets is 89.17 m.m.tons. from an area of 74.00 m.ha in 2076. In India area under the cultivation of small millets is 0.459m.ha, production is 0.33 m.tons and its productivity is 809 kg/ha, Foxtail millet predominates all millets in terms of productivity, yielding about 2166 kg/ha” (GOI, 2021-22).

“Iron is a micronutrient that is required by almost all living organisms because it is involved in metabolic processes such as DNA synthesis, respiration, and photosynthesis. Iron also activates many metabolic pathways and is a prosthetic group constituent of many enzymes.

The primary causes of iron chlorosis are an imbalance between the solubility of iron in soil and the plant's demand for iron. Although abundant in most well-aerated soils, iron has low biological activity because it forms highly insoluble ferric compounds at neutral pH levels. Iron is important in many physiological and biochemical pathways in plants. It is required for a wide range of biological functions because it is a component of many vital enzymes, such as cytochromes of the electron transport chain. Iron is involved in the synthesis of chlorophyll in plants and is required for the maintenance of chloroplast structure and function” [2].

“Boron is a trace element that can be applied in soil as well as foliar. It is many times observed that foliar applied boron causes increased in yield more than soil applied boron because boron is required more at reproductive stage and foliar applied is instantly present for plant in compared to soil applied boron. Boron is very important in plant metabolism through acting activity of certain enzymes, cell division, carbohydrate transport, and calcium and potassium uptake and protein synthesis; ultimately it may enhance in pod and seed formation. Boron ranks third place among micronutrients in its concentration in seed and stem as well as its total amount after zinc. Boron is an essential micronutrient for plants, but the range between deficient and toxic B concentration is smaller than for any other nutrient element. Plant responds directly to the activity of B soil solution and only indirectly to B adsorbed on the soil constituents. Soil factors affecting availability of B to plants are viz, pH, texture, moisture, temperature, organic matter, and clay mineralogy” [3]. Keeping in mind the above mentioned points a field trail was conducted to evaluate the effect of iron and boron on growth, yield and economics of foxtail millet.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *Zaid* season 2023 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The soil of the

experimental field constituting a part of central Gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. Pre-sowing soil samples were taken from a depth of 15 cm with the help of an auger. A spacing of 30×10cm was maintained. The composite samples were used for the chemical and mechanical analysis. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.62%), available nitrogen (225 kg/ha), available phosphorus (38.2 kg/ha) and available potassium (240.7 kg/ha). The treatments consist of foliar application of Iron (0.25, 0.50, 0.75 %) at 20, 35 DAS and foliar application of Boron (0.25, 0.50, 0.75 %) 30, 45 DAS along with control. The experiment was layout in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated thrice. The data recorded on different aspects of crop viz., growth parameters, yield attributes and yield were subjected to statistical analysis by variance method Gomez and Gomez, (1984) and economics is also calculated.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth Parameters

##### 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

At 80 DAS, [Table 1] higher plant height (84.43 cm) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (83.50 cm) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

This might be due to iron role in starch formation and protein synthesis as well as maintenance and synthesis of chlorophyll in plants. The increased in the availability of iron to plant might have stimulated the metabolic and enzymatic activities thereby increasing the growth of the crop. Similar results are obtained by Vaja, RP. et al. [4]. Boron enhances the differentiation of tissue cell division and nitrogen absorption from the soil. Similar result was found by Singh et al. [5].

##### 3.1.2 Plant dry weight (g)

At 80 DAS, [Table 1] highest plant dry weight (14.41 g) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (14.29 g) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

This might be due to iron application which has many important functions in plant growth and

development, such as involvement in the biosynthesis of chlorophyll, respiration, chloroplast development and improves the performance of photosystems, which resulted in higher dry weight. Similar results are obtained by Srihari et al. [6]. Application of boron aids in the synthesis of chlorophyll, photosynthetic process, enzyme activation and grain formation, as well as carbohydrate metabolism, which leads to nutrient uptake and finally results in an increase in growth and finally resulting in increased dry weight. Similar results are obtained by Naiknaware et al. [7].

##### 3.1.2 Tillers/hill

At 80 DAS, [Table 1] more tillers/hill (8.60) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (8.40) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

This might be due to iron application which is a structural component of porphyrin molecules, cytochromes, hemes, hematin, ferrichrome and leghemoglobin. These substances are involved in oxidation-reduction reactions in respiration and photosynthesis. Similar results are obtained by Choudhary et al. [8]. The application of Boron which resulted in the increase in growth attributes, may be due to the translocation of plant nutrients due to foliar application of it to growing plant parts and more photosynthesis which in turn may have promoted more tiller per plant. Similar results are obtained by Kader et al. [9].

##### 3.1.3 Crop Growth Rate (g/m<sup>2</sup>/day)

At 60-80 DAS, [Table 1] higher crop growth rate (7.315 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (7.199 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

#### 3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

##### 3.2.1 Panicle length (cm)

At Harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher panicle length (15.33 cm) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75% Iron + 0.75% Boron). However. Treatment 8 (15.10 cm) (0.75% Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

**Table 1. Effect of iron and boron on growth attributes of foxtail millet at 80 DAS**

| S. No. | Treatments                       | Plant Height (cm) | Dry Weight (g) | Tillers/hill | CGR (60-80 DAS) |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.25%         | 80.40             | 13.28          | 6.47         | 5.411           |
| 2.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.50%         | 82.60             | 13.40          | 6.53         | 5.857           |
| 3.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.75%         | 81.07             | 13.53          | 7.00         | 5.697           |
| 4.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.25%         | 81.47             | 13.60          | 7.33         | 6.077           |
| 5.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.50%         | 82.13             | 13.73          | 7.47         | 6.880           |
| 6.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.75%         | 82.37             | 13.88          | 7.73         | 6.858           |
| 7.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.25%         | 83.07             | 14.10          | 8.20         | 6.907           |
| 8.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.50%         | 83.50             | 14.29          | 8.40         | 7.199           |
| 9.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%         | 84.43             | 14.41          | 8.60         | 7.315           |
| 10.    | Control (RDF 50:30:20 NPK kg/ha) | 80.30             | 13.16          | 6.13         | 5.868           |
|        | F-test                           | S                 | S              | S            | S               |
|        | SEm(±)                           | 0.35              | 0.05           | 0.08         | 2.367           |
|        | CD (p = 0.05)                    | 1.03              | 0.15           | 0.23         | 3.945           |

**Table 2. Effect of iron and boron on yield and yield attributes of foxtail millet**

| S. No. | Treatments                       | Yield Attributes and Yield |                      |                    |                    |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|        |                                  | Panicle length (cm)        | Grains/panicle (no.) | Grain yield (t/ha) | Straw yield (t/ha) |
| 1.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.25%         | 13.47                      | 1,108.67             | 1.63               | 2.63               |
| 2.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.50%         | 13.53                      | 1,124.33             | 1.67               | 2.74               |
| 3.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.75%         | 13.73                      | 1,131.67             | 1.71               | 2.89               |
| 4.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.25%         | 13.87                      | 1,144.67             | 1.76               | 2.98               |
| 5.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.50%         | 14.27                      | 1,176.00             | 1.81               | 3.04               |
| 6.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.75%         | 14.53                      | 1,200.00             | 1.84               | 3.11               |
| 7.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.25%         | 14.73                      | 1,215.00             | 1.89               | 3.2                |
| 8.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.50%         | 15.10                      | 1,231.33             | 1.94               | 3.31               |
| 9.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%         | 15.33                      | 1,263.00             | 1.99               | 3.39               |
| 10.    | Control (RDF 50:30:20 NPK kg/ha) | 13.40                      | 1,087.33             | 1.59               | 2.58               |
|        | F-Test                           | S                          | S                    | S                  | S                  |
|        | SEm (±)                          | 0.09                       | 10.77                | 0.03               | 0.04               |
|        | CD (p = 0.05)                    | 0.27                       | 31.99                | 0.09               | 0.11               |

**Table 3. Effect of iron and boron on economics of foxtail millet**

| S. No. | Treatments                       | Economics             |                      |                           |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
|        |                                  | Gross return (INR/ha) | Net returns (INR/ha) | Benefit Cost ratio (B: C) |
| 1.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.25%         | 54,160.00             | 31,426.00            | 1.38                      |
| 2.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.50%         | 55,580.00             | 32,566.00            | 1.41                      |
| 3.     | Iron 0.25% + Boron 0.75%         | 57,080.00             | 33,786.00            | 1.45                      |
| 4.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.25%         | 58,760.00             | 36,026.00            | 1.58                      |
| 5.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.50%         | 60,380.00             | 37,366.00            | 1.62                      |
| 6.     | Iron 0.50% + Boron 0.75%         | 61,420.00             | 38,126.00            | 1.63                      |
| 7.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.25%         | 63,100.00             | 40,366.00            | 1.77                      |
| 8.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.50%         | 64,820.00             | 41,806.00            | 1.81                      |
| 9.     | Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%         | 66,480.00             | 43,186.00            | 1.85                      |
| 10.    | Control (RDF 50:30:20 NPK kg/ha) | 52,860.00             | 30,406.00            | 1.35                      |

Iron provides potential for many of the enzymatic transformations. Several of these enzymes are involved in chlorophyll synthesis, grain formation and dry matter production, which ultimately lead to increase in yield characters like panicle length. Similar results are obtained by Maharana and Singh [10]. Boron is important for root and shoot growth, sugar translocation and protein synthesis as well as increase translocation of photosynthates which characters and ultimately resulted in increased yield attributes like panicle length. These results agree with the findings of Sreelatha et al. [11].

### 3.2.2 Grains/panicle

At Harvest, [Table 2] significantly more grains/panicle (1263.00) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (1231.33) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

Boron, required for cell differentiation, development and growth of pollen grains. It acts as a greater role in translocation of photosynthates, resulting in increased pollination and seed setting and plant metabolism. Similar results are obtained by Movalia et al. [3].

### 3.2.3 Grain yield (t/ha)

At harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher grain yield (1.99 t/ha) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (1.94 t/ha) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50 % Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

Iron plays a major role in the biosynthesis of IAA and especially due to its role in the initiation of primordial reproductive parts portioning of photosynthetic towards them which promotes the yield. Similar result was also observed by Rao et al. [12]. Boron involves in physiological processes and plant Growth and adequate nutrition is a critical for increases yield and quality of crops. Similar result was reported by Banoth et al. [13].

### 3.2.4 Straw yield (t/ha)

At harvest, [Table 2] significantly higher straw yield (3.39 t/ha) was recorded in treatment 9 (0.75 % Iron + 0.75 % Boron). However. Treatment 8 (3.31 t/ha) (0.75 % Iron + 0.50%

Boron) was found to be statistically at par with treatment 9.

This might be due to favourable effect of iron on the proliferation of roots and thereby increasing the uptake of the plants nutrients from the soil supplying in to the aerial parts of the plant and ultimately enhancing the vegetative growth of the plant. Similar results are obtained by Rao, S. G. B. et al. [14].

### 3.2.5 Economics

Higher Gross returns (66,480.00 INR/ha), Net returns (43,186.00 INR/ha) and Benefit cost ratio (1.85) was found to be highest in treatment 9 (Iron 0.75% + Boron 0.75%). [Table 3].

## 4. CONCLUSION

Based on above findings, it is concluded that the application of 0.75% Iron along with 0.75% Boron in foxtail millet, performed better in growth and yield and also proven profitable.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj -211007, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing us necessary facilities to undertake the studies.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Swaroop,Debbarma. Effect of organic manures and zinc on growth, yield and economics of Foxtail millet. Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change. 2023;13(8):344-351. Article no. IJECC.100038.
2. Grace PS, Dawson J, Swaroop BT, Maniratnam K. Effect of Nitrogen and Iron on Growth and yield of foxtail millet. (*Setaria italica* L.). Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change. 2023;13(6):180-185.
3. Movalia Dr, Donga S, Parmar KB. Effect of boron and molybdenum on summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) (GM-4) under medium black calcareous soils. Proceedings of the National Conference on

- Innovations in Biological Sciences (NCIBS); 2020 Januray 10.
4. Vaja RP, Bhuva HM, Mokariya LK, Jani C. Effect of zinc and iron fortification on growth and yield of summer pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend. Stuntz). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(10):2699-2704.
  5. Singh AK, Khan MA, Srivastava A. Effect of boron and molybdenum application on seed yield of mungbean. Asia. J. Biol. Sci. 2014;9(2):169-172.
  6. Srihari, P, Mehera B, Swaroop BT, Kumar P. Effect of iron and silicon on growth and yield of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.. Article no.IJECC.99636 ISSN: 2581-8627. 2023;13(7):630-636
  7. Naiknaware MD, Pawar GR, Murumkar SB. Effect of varying levels of boron and Sulphur on growth, yield, and quality of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2015;33(2):471-474.
  8. Choudhary SK, Mathur AK, Purohit HS, Jain HK. Effect of micronutrients on yield attributes and yield of sorghum. Annals Plant Soil Res. 2015;17(2):180-2.
  9. Kader AE, Mona G. Effect of sulfur application and foliar spraying with zinc and boron on yield, yield components, and Seed Quality of Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2013;9(4):127-135,.
  10. Maharana S, Singh S. Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)]. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):546-550.
  11. Sreelatha N, Seshaiyah BV, Sankara Rao V. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur nutrition on nutrient composition, oil content and yield of groundnut. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2004; 15(384):380-383.
  12. Rao V, Yadav BJKS, Jeeterwal RC. Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) To Integrated nitrogen management. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(2):429-437.
  13. Banoth MK, Sai Kumar H, Priyanka G, Malavath VN, Umesha C. Influence of boron and zinc on growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022; 11(3):1674-1678.
  14. Rao SGB, Immanuel RR, Ramesh S, Baradhan G, Sureshkumar S, et al. Effect of zinc and iron fertilization on growth and development of rice. Plant Arch. 2019;1877-80 e-ISSN:2581-6063.

© 2023 Reddy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107001>