



Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management and Agronomic Biofortification on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L.)

Reenu Kumar^{a+++*}, M. Z. Siddiqui^{a#}, Ram Naresh^{a++},
Sanjeev Kumar^{a#}, Vikas Teotia^{a++}, Jitendra Kumar^{a++}
and Anushi^{b++}

^a Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, India.

^b Department of Fruit science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of agriculture and technology, Kanpur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i203899

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106019>

Original Research Article

Received: 03/07/2023

Accepted: 07/09/2023

Published: 06/10/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Students' Instructional Farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology Kanpur, (U.P.) to assess the effect of organic source with foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of wheat for two consecutive years (2021-22) and (2022-23). The experiment comprises three

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#] Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: reenukumar660@gmail.com;

treatment under main plot viz: F₁- Control (100% RDF), F₂- 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha, and F₃- 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha, and five treatments foliar spray of micronutrient in sub plot viz: M₁- control (No spray), M₂- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages, M₃- Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% spray at tillering and booting stages, M₄- Foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages and M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages. Fifteen treatment combination were assigned under Split Plot Design (SPD) and replicated thrice. Wheat variety (K- 1006) was grown with the recommended agronomic practices. Results showed that maximum increase in growth parameters and yield and yield attribute of wheat viz: plant height (cm), number of tillers/m², leaf area index and spike length (cm), number of spikelets /spike and harvest index (%) and biological yield (ton ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher with F₂- 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha treatments Among the sub-plot , M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages, produced significant maximum highest harvest index (42.77 %) and biological yield (13.88 ton /ha) as compared to all other treatments.

Keywords: FYM; vermicompost; nano-zinc; zinc sulphate; iron sulphate; wheat.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) one of the most important cereal crops in the world of family "Poaceae" and genus "Triticum" grown under different agro-climatic conditions and it occupies 220.29-million-hectare (mha) area with the production of 780.59 million tones and productivity of 3390 kg ha⁻¹. The largest producer of wheat in the world wide is the European Union followed by China and India. In India wheat cultivated in area of with the production 109.52 million tones and productivity of 3464 kg ha⁻¹ [1]. Promising wheat producing states are Uttar Pradesh (30 million tons), Punjab (16.4 million tons) and Haryana (11.6 million tons), with an estimated area of 9.75, 3.59, 2.52, mha, respectively. Uttar Pradesh stands first in area and production of wheat in India. Productivity of wheat (2661 kg/ha) is still less than the national average [1] which remains a serious thought on crop improvement and management process. Wheat is considered to be a major staple food in many countries of the world. Despite its lower zinc and iron content (20-40 mg/kg [2] in grain, the consumption by the target groups is increasing at a higher rate, which further elevated nutritional related problems. Primarily both zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe) contributes to the human health and any deficient noticed leads to affect the immune

system that has a major impact on health leading to many other diseases, even to the extent of mortality. Thus, agronomic biofortification at critical stages by using zinc and iron as foliar application will play a critical role in providing a complimentary effect in grains.

Almost 50% of the agricultural lands around the world are Zn deficient for cereal productivity [3]. Biofortification is the process of increasing the content and bioavailability of essential nutrients in crops during plant growth through genetic and agronomic pathways [4]. Agronomic biofortification involves the practices of fertilization that increase the nutrient content in grains. Micronutrients such as Fe and Zn very essential for normal plant growth and development of wheat crops [5]. Agronomic biofortification is achieved through micronutrient fertilizer application to the foliar application directly to the leaves of the crop to improve the growth and yield in wheat crop. Biofortification is mainly focused on starchy staple crops wheat because they dominate diets worldwide especially among groups vulnerable to micronutrient deficiencies and provide a feasible means of reaching malnourished populations with limited access to diverse diets, supplements, and commercially fortified foods [6]. Balanced nutrient application through

organic and inorganic source plays an important role in improving the micronutrient level in grain and straw as well as increase in yield of wheat crop. The organic source of nutrients not only provides good soil health but also acts as a catalyst for other available soil chemical properties in the soil. Among integrated nutrient management (INM), Farm Yard Manure (FYM) is widely chosen because of its availability and ease in application which contributes for soil fertility and physical properties. It plays a major role towards build-up of organic matter in soil [7]. The improvement in grain and straw yield with the INM application proves beneficial, on nutrient uptake, crop growth rate and yield attributing components [8].

Keeping these views in mind the research was conducted to optimize the use of nutrients by combined application of both organic and inorganic source along with the foliar application of micro nutrients for better improvement of Zn and Fe content in wheat grains.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted consecutively on two rabi seasons during 2021-22 and 2022-23 at Students' Instructional Farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, which is situated in the alluvial tract of Indo - Gangetic plains in central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. The soil type and fertility status were determined by the mechanical and chemical analysis of the soil. In order to ascertain the physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil, primary soil samples were drawn randomly up to 15cm depth from different spots of the entire experimental area. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, well drained, slightly saline in nature having initial values of pH (8.00), EC (0.36 dsm^{-1}), low in organic carbon (0.37%), low in available nitrogen ($195.50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), medium in phosphorus (19.75 kg ha^{-1}), Potash ($178.85 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$). Iron (1.85 mg kg^{-1}) and Zinc (15.50 mg kg^{-1}). respectively.

2.2 Geography and Climate

This zone has semi-arid climatic condition having alluvial fertile soil. The average relative humidity during the experimental season fluctuated between 44.4 % to 85.8 % and 47 % to 96% During 2021 to 2022 respectively. During the crop growing period, the mean weekly highest and lowest total rainfall recorded ranging from 0.0 mm to 23.5 mm and 0.0 mm to 39.2 mm and evaporation ranged from 7.6 to 26.0 mm/day^{-1} and 8.8 to 25 mm/day^{-1} during 2021 to 2022, respectively. The mean weekly total evaporation mm/day^{-1} recorded ranging from 326.4 to 340.4 mm/day^{-1} during 2021-2022 and 2022-23 respectively. The weekly mean wind velocity during the year 2021-22 and 2022-23 experimental season was between 1.2 to 6.2 km and 1.1 to 6.0 km respectively.

2.3 Experiment Details

The field experiment was laid out in Split Plot Design. There were fifteen treatments combinations consisting of three organic manure as a main treatment viz: F₁- Control (100% RDF), F₂- 100 %RDF+ Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha, and F₃- 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha, and five sub-treatments with Zn and Fe as foliar spray viz: M₁- control (No spray), M₂- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages, M₃- Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% at tillering and booting stage, M₄- Foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages and M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages the treatments were replicated three times.

2.4 Agronomic Practices

The pre sowing irrigation was applied 7-10 days before sowing of wheat for optimum level of moisture for field preparation for good germination, The seed of wheat variety K- 1006 was selected for these studies. Clean and healthy seed @ 120 kg ha^{-1} were taken and sown at shallow furrows of 5 cm deep with 20 cm row spacing on both rabi seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23.

2.5 Manure and Fertilizers application

The experimental crop was uniformly fertilized according to treatments, Farm yard manure (FYM) 5 t ha⁻¹, and Vermicompost (VC) 2.5 t ha⁻¹ applied Before 15 days of sowing. The basal application of fertilizers @ 40 kg N and full does P₂O₅, & K₂O was given and foliar spray of micronutrients @ 0.25% ZnSO₄, Foliar spray of Nano-Zinc @ 0.25%, @ 0.25% FeSO₄ and foliar spray @ 0.25% ZnSO₄ + @ 0.25% FeSO₄ at tillering and booting stages were done according to treatments and remaining nitrogen was top dressed in two splits.

2.6 Observation Recorded

The observations were recorded on plant height (cm), number of tillers/m² and leaf area index as well as growth characters. Plant height of the longest tiller was measured from five tagged plants from the base of the plant to highest terminal point by a meter scale. Average of five plant height at harvest was taken for analysis of plant height. Number of tillers was recorded by using meter row from three places in each plot at harvest and average of three places was taken for analysis of number of tillers m⁻² and Plants from 25 cm row length removed from two places from the second row on either side in each plot were used for recording leaf area. The leaves were separated from the base of lamina. Leaf area was measured at 30 days intervals till the senescence of crop with the help of leaf area meter and the spike length of wheat was measured by using meter scale of five spikes of each plot and mean of the spike length was used for analysis of data and expressed in cm. For grain yield (tha⁻¹), grains were separated with the help of mini plot thresher from biological yield obtained from net area of each plot. The grain yield obtained from net plot area was converted into tha⁻¹. After harvesting of the net plot area, the bundles of wheat crop were sun dried for two days and then weight recorded and converted into t ha⁻¹ for calculating biological yield. and harvest index are which

is the ratio of economic yield to biological yield expressed in percent respectively.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Using the F-test as per the procedure given by Gomez and Gomez [8]. Critical difference values at P=0.05 were used to determine the significance of differences between treatment means.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Characters

The data plant height and number of tillers/m² during both the years of experimentation and data were pooled and presented in Table 1. It is clear from the data the maximum tallest plant height and number of tillers/m² was recorded with the treatments F₂- 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha at harvest, followed by F₃- 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha. Both F₂ and F₃ treatments were significantly superior over the Control (100% RDF) during both the year as well as pooled basis data.

Similar type of experimental finding reported by Patra [10], Singh et al. [11], and Chaudhary et al. [12], and Kumawat et al. [13]. Among micronutrient foliar spray M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages recorded tallest plant height which was at par with M₃- Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% at tillering and booting stages followed by, M₂- foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% M₄- foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages at harvest stages. Whereas minimum plant height was recorded in control (No spray) for both the years as well as on pooled basis. Highest growth characters under these treatments may be due to micronutrient spray which gave better environment for crop growth and development. It confirms the conclusion drawn by Fatima et al., [14] and Noreen et al. [15]. However, the maximum number of tillers/m² recorded in M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% was

Table 1. Effect of organic manure + RDF and foliar spray of micronutrients on plant height (cm), No. of tillers and Leaf area index (LAI) of wheat.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of tiller/m ²			LAI		
	At harvest			At harvest			90 DAS		
	2021-22	2022-23	Pooled	2021-22	2022-23	Pooled	2021-22	2022-23	Pooled
Main plot (organic manure + RDF)									
F ₁ - Control (100% RDF)	70.73	71.72	71.23	273.40	276.40	274.90	2.81	2.90	2.85
F ₂ - 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha	77.50	78.32	77.91	338.20	341.20	339.70	4.93	5.06	5.00
F ₃ - 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha	73.72	74.53	74.23	318.80	321.80	320.30	3.68	3.76	3.72
S.Em, ±	0.156	0.190	0.194	0.761	0.390	0.756	0.008	0.007	0.011
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.629	0.767	0.780	3.067	1.572	3.048	0.031	0.029	0.044
Sub plot (foliar spray of micronutrient)									
M ₁ - Control (No spray)	70.52	71.67	71.09	287.00	290.00	288.50	3.14	3.20	3.17
M ₂ - Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages.	74.53	75.17	74.85	313.00	316.00	314.50	3.99	4.07	4.03
M ₃ - Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% spray at tillering and booting stages.	75.13	75.87	75.50	318.00	321.00	319.50	4.02	4.16	4.09
M ₄ - Foliar spray of FeSO ₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages.	73.67	74.52	74.09	308.33	311.33	309.83	3.71	3.77	3.74
M ₅ - Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.25% + @ 0.25% FeSO ₄ at tillering and booting stages.	76.07	77.07	76.57	324.33	327.33	325.83	4.18	4.32	4.25
S.Em, ±	0.423	0.723	0.641	2.593	1.789	1.970	0.023	0.036	0.030
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.243	2.123	1.882	7.614	5.253	5.785	0.066	0.106	0.089
Interactions									
S.Em, ±	0.674	1.136	1.011	4.089	2.799	3.145	0.036	0.057	0.048
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	12.161	8.277	9.433	0.107	0.167	0.144

Note: - RDF- (Recommended dose of fertilizers), FYM- (Farm yard manure), ZnSO₄ – (Zinc Sulphate) and FeSO₄ – (Ferrous Sulphate) and DAS (day after sowing)

Table 2. Effect of organic manure + RDF and foliar spray of micronutrient on Spike length, no of spikelet/spike, harvest index and biological yield in wheat.

Treatments	Spike length (cm)			No of spikelet/spike			Harvest Index			Biological yield (t/ha)		
	2021- 22	2022- 23	Pooled	2021- 22	2022- 23	Pooled	2021- 22	2022- 23	Pooled	2021- 22	2022- 23	Pooled
Main plot (Organic manure +RDF)												
F ₁ - Control (100% RDF)	8.87	9.16	9.01	17.83	18.68	18.25	40.99	41.65	41.32	10.83	11.16	11.00
F ₂ - 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha.	11.99	12.81	12.40	20.90	21.83	21.37	43.50	44.49	44.00	13.34	13.97	13.65
F ₃ - 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha.	10.38	11.25	10.82	19.23	20.09	19.66	41.96	42.77	42.37	11.94	12.66	12.30
S.Em, ±	0.054	0.039	0.044	0.035	0.055	0.088	0.140	0.087	0.173	0.029	0.048	0.046
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.219	0.159	0.179	0.141	0.220	0.355	0.564	0.349	0.697	0.118	0.195	0.185
Sub plot (Foliar spray of micronutrient)												
M ₁ - Control (No spray)	9.54	9.71	9.63	18.56	18.70	18.63	41.90	42.55	42.22	10.08	10.47	10.28
M ₂ - Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stage.	10.49	10.83	10.66	19.38	20.43	19.91	42.07	43.37	42.72	12.19	12.76	12.48
M ₃ - Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% spray at tillering and booting stages.	10.71	11.73	11.22	19.61	20.67	20.14	42.15	43.02	42.58	12.72	13.30	13.01
M ₄ - Foliar spray of FeSO ₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages.	10.21	11.63	10.92	18.94	19.92	19.43	42.07	42.94	42.51	11.62	12.26	11.94
M ₅ - Foliar spray of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.25% + @ 0.25% FeSO ₄ at tillering and booting stages.	11.10	11.45	11.28	20.11	21.28	20.70	42.56	42.99	42.77	13.57	14.20	13.88
S.Em, ±	0.102	0.100	0.095	0.157	0.174	0.120	0.213	0.220	0.334	0.113	0.124	0.095
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.300	0.293	0.278	0.461	0.510	0.353	NS	NS	NS	0.333	0.364	0.280
Interactions												
S.Em, ±	0.157	0.159	0.153	0.246	0.275	0.206	0.358	0.352	0.546	0.178	0.198	0.155
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.469	0.479	0.464	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.562	0.626	0.490

Note: - RDF- (Recommended dose of fertilizers), FYM- (Farm yard manure), ZnSO₄- (Zinc Sulphate) and FeSO₄- (Ferrous Sulphate) and DAS (day after sowing) non-significant difference found between harvest index in foliar spray of micronutrients.

significant at par with the treatment of M₃-Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% spray, M₂-Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages. The minimum number of tiller/m² was recorded in control (No spray) at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest stage of growth during both the years as well as pooled basis. These results corroborated with the findings of Meena et al. [16], and Ramzen et al. [17]. Similarly, leaf area index at 90 DAS (Table 1) revealed that organic manure F₂- 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha was significantly higher than other treatments during both the year as well as pooled basis data. The result is close conformity with the finding of Tiwari et al. [18]. Among micronutrient foliar spray, highest LAI was recorded under the M₅-Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% followed by M₃- Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @ 0.5% at tillering and booting stages at par M₂- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% and M₄- foliar spray of FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages at harvest stage. Whereas, lowest LAI was recorded under the control (No spray) for both the years as well as pooled basis. Similar type of result was recorded Nadim et al., [19] and Dimkpa et al. [20].

3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

Data on spike length (cm), No of spikelet/spike, harvest index and biological yield (ton/ha) was presented in Table 2. The spike length (cm), No of spikelet/spike, harvest index and biological yield was recorded higher in F₂- 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha at harvest followed by F₃- 100 % RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha. Both were significantly superior over the Control (100% RDF) during both the year as well as pooled basis data. These results corroborated with the findings of Davi et al. [21], Verma et al (2016), Bairagya et al. [22] and Kumari et al. [23]. Among foliar spray of micro nutrient, M₅- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% recorded highest spike length (cm), no. of spikelet/spike, harvest index and biological yield which was at par with M₃- Foliar spray of Nano Zinc @

0.5% spray followed by, M₂- Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25%, and M₄- foliar spray of @ 0.25% FeSO₄ at tillering and booting stages respectively. It confirms the conclusion drawn by Yadav et al. [24]. These results corroborated with the findings of Sattar et al. [25], Kandil and Marie [26] and Noreen et al., [15]. However, there is However, there is non-significant difference found between harvest index in foliar spray of micronutrients.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing discussion it can concluded that the combined application of 100 % RDF + Vermicompost @ 2.5t/ha are found to be superior with respect of growth, yield as well as yield attribute of wheat crop. Moreover, the combined foliar spray of ZnSO₄ @ 0.25% + FeSO₄ @ 0.25% at tillering and booting stages proved to be best for growth parameters, yield attribute as well as yield of wheat crop as compared to others treatment combinations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author are deeply obligating to the chandra shekhar azad university of agriculture and technology, kanpur, for their support for providing experimental materials and their recipient was professor dr. M.Z. Siddiqui, who gave some important suggestions about conceptions methodology and perforation of the experiment and the writing of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous, Annual report Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers'

- Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture Govt. of India. New Delhi. 2022-23.
2. Welch RM, Graham RD. Breeding of micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *Journal Exp. Bot.* 2004;55(5):353-364.
 3. Cakmak IP, Faiffer WH, McClafferty B. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. *Journal of Cereal chem.* 2010; 15(87):10- 20.
 4. Bouis HE, Welch RM. Biofortification- A sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. *Crop Science.* 2011; 10(50): 20- 32.
 5. Fageria NK. Soil fertility and plant nutrition research under field conditions: Basic principles and methodology. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.* 2007;30(2):203–223.
 6. Saltzman A, Ekin B. Biofortification: Progress toward a more nourishing future. *Global Food Security.* 2013;13(2):9-17.
 7. Das A, Shivay YS, Prasad M. Production potential and economic evaluation of cotton – wheat cropping system as influenced by periled urea, *Azotobacter* a farmyard manure under Indo-Gangetic plains. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture.* 2008;32(1):37–50.
 8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, a Wiley Inter Science Publications, New York, USA. 1984;2.
 9. Singh R, Agrawal SK. Effect of organic manuring and nitrogen fertilization on productivity, nutrient use efficiency and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 2004;49(1): 49–59.
 10. Patra A, Singh V. Effect of FYM and manganese on yield and uptake of nutrients in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) *Annals of Plant and Soil Research.* 2017;19(4):381-384.
 11. Singh G, Kumar S, Sidhu GS, Kaur R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under irrigated conditions. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.* 2018;6(2): 904-907.
 12. Choudhary L, Singh KN, Gangwar K, Sachan R. Effect of FYM and Inorganic fertilizers on growth performance, yield components and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Indo-Gangetic plain of Uttar Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 2022; 11(4):1476-1479.
 13. Kumawat L, Jat L, Kumar A, Yadav M, Ram B, Dudwal BL. Effect of organic nutrient sources on growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat under rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system. *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 2022;11(2):1618-1623.
 14. Fatima FA, Hashim, Anees S. Efficacy of nanoparticles as nano fertilizer production: A review of Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021;28(15):1292-1303.
 15. Noreen S, Sultan M, Mahmood S, Shah KH, Akhter MS, Zafar ZU, Parveen M. Zinc-induced enhancement in growth, ionic contents and yield of bio-fortified and standard wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. *International Journal of Applied and Experimental Biology.* 2023;2(2):1-9.
 16. Meena MC, Dwivedi BS, Singh D Sharma, BM, Kumar K, Singh RV, Kumar P, Rana DS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and soil health in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 2012;57(4):333-337.
 17. Ramzan Y, Hafeez MB, Khan S, Nadeem M, Batool S, Ahmad J. Biofortification with zinc and iron improves the grain quality and yield of wheat crop. *International Journal of Plant Production.* 2020;14(20):501-510.
 18. Tiwari DK, Chaturvedi PK, Kumar R, Yadav DS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The*

- Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(12):5032-5034.
19. Nadim MA, Awan I, A, Baloch MS, Khan EA, Naveed K, Khan MA, Zubai M, Hussain N. Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of wheat. *Journal of Agriculture Science*. 2011;48 (3):191-196.
 20. Dimkpa CO, Andrews J Sanabria, J Bindraban PS, Singh U, Elmer WH, White JC. Interactive effects of drought, organic fertilizer, and zinc oxide nanoscale and bulk particles on wheat performance and grain nutrient accumulation. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2020;722:137808.
 21. Devi KN, Singh MS, Singh NG, Athokpam HS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed science*. 2011;7(2):23-27.
 22. Bairagya MD, Sarath KD, Maitra S, Roja M and Shankar T. Effect of FYM and Vermicompost on Yield and Economics of Rabi wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L). *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 2019;11(7): 1-9.
 23. Kumari S, Sharma M, Dudi DS, Kaswan PK, Kharra R, Purohit HS. Effect of fertility levels, organic sources and bio-inoculants on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022; 11(2):624-627
 24. Yadav A, Krishnan P, Kundu M, Bana RS, Shaloo, Choudhary AK, Shivay YS, Meena SL, Begam GS, Ranjan RK, Yadav NS, Singh T, Yadav A, Raj R. Nanozinc-fertilization enhances wheat productivity and biofortification. *International Lcense*. 2023;3(19):25-35
 25. Sattar A, Wang X, Ul-Allah S, Sher A, Ijaz M, Irfan M, Skalicky M. Foliar application of zinc improves morpho-physiological and antioxidant defense mechanisms, and agronomic grain biofortification of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water stress. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2022; 29(3):1699-1706.
 26. Kandil EE, Marie EA, Marie EA. Response of some wheat cultivars to nano-, mineral fertilizers and amino acids foliar application. *Alexandria science exchange journal*. 2017; 38(1):53-68.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106019>