



Potential of Ultrasound in Food Processing: An Overview

Darsana K. ^{a++*} and P. Sivakumar ^{a#}

^a College of Food and Dairy Technology, Koduveli, Chennai-51, Tamilnadu Veterinary and Animal Science University, Tamilnadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2023/v42i324217

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105808>

Review Article

Received: 05/07/2023

Accepted: 08/09/2023

Published: 25/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Ultrasound is well known for its versatile processing in food industry. Ultrasound can be used in various unit operations such as filtration, freezing, thawing, brining, sterilization/pasteurization, and cutting, in different food divisions including meat, fruits and vegetables, cereals, and dairy. It serves as a sustainable and low-cost alternative to traditional heat-based technologies. Ultrasound technology has revolutionized the food processing industry by offering rapid processes, enhanced efficiency, improved shelf life. Application of ultrasound in food will serve the benefits in several manners like conserve the nutrients for ultimate customer, improve physico-chemical characters of food along with sensory characteristics and responsible for preservation of food through different mechanisms. In this review the major applications of ultrasonic technique during processing or as a pre-treatment show tremendous potential as a non-thermal technique are discussed briefly.

Keywords: *Applications; food industry; enzyme inactivation; microbial inactivation; ultrasound.*

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: darsanafoodtech@gmail.com;

Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 42, no. 32, pp. 14-34, 2023

1. INTRODUCTION

Ultrasound, a form of sound waves with frequencies above 20 kHz that cannot be heard by humans, has a wide range of applications in various fields. In the field of human medicine, ultrasound is used to visualize internal organs, muscles, and tendons, allowing for the detection of flaws in concrete buildings. It is also utilized as non-contact sensors in industries such as medical, pharmaceutical, military, and general sectors for detection and ranging purposes. Furthermore, ultrasonic devices are commonly employed to detect objects and measure distances accurately. Interestingly, animals like bats and dolphins use low-intensity ultrasound to locate their prey while certain marine creatures utilize high-intensity ultrasound to stun their targets before capturing them. In the food processing industry, ultrasound finds its application in two categories based on frequency and intensity. Low-intensity ultrasound with frequencies above 100 kHz and energies below $1\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ serves as a non-destructive analytical method to assess the composition and structure of foods[1]. On the other hand, high intensity ultrasound ranging between 18 to 100kHz with energize above $1\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ can cause physical disruption of tissues, create emulsions, clean equipment, and promote chemical reactions.

One remarkable characteristic of ultrasounds is their ability to propagate through solids, liquids and gases seamlessly. This property opens up a world of possibilities for further exploration and application in various scientific fields [2,3].

2. MECHANISM OF ULTRASOUND




Fig. 1. Acoustic cavitation generated by ultrasound devices

During the sonication process, longitudinal waves are created, Longitudinal waves can travel in solids, liquids, or gases, and have short wavelengths producing sharply defined beams and high velocities. When a sonic wave meets a liquid medium, it creates cyclic succession of expansion (rarefaction) and compression phases due to mechanical vibration of food molecules [4]. Compression cycles exert a positive pressure and push the liquid molecules together, while expansion cycles exert a negative pressure and pull the molecules apart [5]. These regions of pressure change cause cavitations to occur and gas bubbles are formed in the medium. Once a bubble is created, two different cavitation phenomena that could take place in the liquid are: stable or transient cavitation. Stable cavitation occurs at low intensities where the size of the bubble oscillates in phase with expansion and compression cycles and the bubbles grow slowly over many cycles. Stable cavitation will accelerate heat and mass transfer [6]. When high intensity acoustic field is introduced, transient cavitation usually occurs. This causes growing cavitation bubble to eventually become unstable after a number of cycle and collapse during the compression cycle of ultrasonic wave it shows in Fig. 1. In this cavitation phenomena, the size of a bubble drastically increases from tens to hundreds of times the equilibrium radius before it collapses violently in less than a microsecond [7]. In this case, the instantaneous implosion of these bubbles can locally generate extreme temperature (up to 5000 K) and pressure (up to 100 MPa). Nevertheless, the classification of cavitation is vague as stable cavitation could lead to transient cavitation or transient cavitation could produce very small bubbles that undergo stable cavitation [5]. In summary, phenomenon of cavitation consists of the repetition of three distinct steps: formation (nucleation), rapid growth (expansion) and explosion, violent collapse in the liquid [8]. When ultrasonic frequency increases the formation of cavitation bubbles decreases this is due to insufficient time for the rarefaction cycle to allow the growth of the bubble so that disruption of the liquid can be produced [9].

3. APPLICATION OF ULTRASOUND IN FOOD INDUSTRY

3.1 Filtration

Filtration is the process of separating useful solid or liquid from a mother mixture in which either can be useful as solid isolate or clear liquid. In

filtration the membranes are acting as selectively permeable material which permits some selective material according to size to pass. Membrane Filtration process depends on flux of processing that decreases with respect to time due to polarization and fouling [10]. Many have tried to improve the efficiency of membrane filters by applying magnetic or electric assistance [11-13] but the other problems like high power requirement raises its head [14] which restricted the popularity of these equipment's in the industries. The properties of ultrasonic waves such as cavitation, radiation pressure, acoustic streaming, dispersion, coagulation, and ability to change liquid properties can increase the flux of filtration by preventing the deposition of proteins and retarding the growth of filter cake on membrane which ultimately improves the life of membranes [15] as shown in Fig. 2. Due to such properties many studies on have been conducted application of ultrasonic waves in filtration known as acoustic filtration of different food materials as shown in Table 1.

According to a study conducted by [16], scanning electron microscopy (SEM) visualization revealed that ultrasound effectively eliminated membrane fouling. This finding suggests that the use of ultrasound filtration can address safety concerns and improve the efficiency of processed items, as stated by Bhargava et al. 2021[17].

The studies showed that acoustic filtration is having positive effects in increase in the flux which in this depends on properties like frequency, power intensity, feed properties, membrane properties, cross flow velocity, process temperature and pressure. Many researchers have been studied the effect of the above parameters on the flux changes and found that the flux increases at low frequencies rather

than higher frequencies [18,19]. This may be happening because at higher frequencies the rate of cavitation is too high so the bubble formation and collapse rate will be higher which in terms not allowing the bubbles to grow, so these will not allow the formation of fouling. As we consider power intensity of the sound waves the increase in the intensity will also increase the fluidization of cake into liquid [18-20]. As the power intensity of the sound wave increases the wave pressure will goes on increasing which will increases the streaming that avoids the cake formation and protein deposition. Though the ultrasound gives positive results in filtration the power consumption is higher in case of the continuous processing so the equipment with timely application of ultrasound for rupturing of cake are designed for industrial use.

3.2 Freezing and Crystallization

In traditional method of freezing and crystallization it is difficult to obtain uniform size of crystal due to lack of uniform nucleation. There will be destruction of food material structure and loss in sensory quality, fluctuations in temperatures and pressure and non-uniform crystal growth due to uneven mixing [25,26]. Acoustic cavitation can promote nucleation in a phenomenon referred to as sono-crystallization. Further, due to occurrence of microstreaming and general turbulence heat and mass transfer can be accelerated. the cutting-edge technology that harnesses the power of ultra-high pressure and cavitation bubbles to revolutionize various industries. With its ability to generate pressures up to 100 MPa, ultrasound sets a new standard in industrial processes. By leveraging rapid nucleation and primary/secondary nucleation processes, this remarkable device enables precise control and manipulation of transport media.




Fig. 2. Mechanisms for particle removal/detachment with ultrasonic cleaning

Table 1. Applications of ultrasound in food filtration

Sl.no	Food commodity	Treatment	Effect	Reference
1	Whey filtration	50kHz	Ultrasound was effective in improving production flux values by between 40% - 70%.	[21]
2	Membrane technology in dairy	20kHz ,16W	Enhance the flux in ultra filtration or micro filtration processes and to improve the cleaning of fouled membranes	[22]
3	Surface water fouled ceramic membranes	20kHz,16W	Improved in the normalized permeate flux of surface water from 0.21 to 0.70	[23]
4	Grape pomace extracts	24kHz ,400W	Filtration rate increases and extension of filter life, because clogging and caking are prevented	[24]

Incorporating the latest research findings Dalvisfahan et al. [27], ultrasound unleashes a world of possibilities. From enhancing chemical reactions to improving material synthesis, this innovative solution offers unprecedented efficiency and reliability.

Ultrasound has been proven to reduce oil migration in palm kernel fat and improve the properties of trans-free fats, such as elasticity. As the food industry seeks alternatives to partially hydrogenated oils, Ultrasound provides a way to achieve comparable techno-functional properties while promoting healthier options [28,29].

A wide category of foodstuffs like have been successfully frozen under the influence of ultrasound [30].

Freeze preservation of fresh food stuffs: In immersion freezing of apple when ultrasound was applied from 0°C or -1°C for 120 s in total, with 30 s intervals, the average freezing rate represented by the characteristic freezing time was significantly improved up to 8% (P<0.05). Freezing rates were enhanced (P<0.05) compared to the control treatment [31]. Immersion freezing of potato exhibited a better cellular structure because there is increase in the nucleation rate in extra cellular region, as less extracellular void and less cell disruption/breakage as compared to control [32]. A comparison of freezing curves for potato with ultrasound (power level of 15.85 W, 2 min) and without ultrasound indicated that the application of power ultrasound reduced the freezing time required for bringing down the product

temperature from 0 to -7°C was reduced to 6.9 min instead of 8.7 min [33].

In partial freezing of ice cream power ultrasound has several benefits inside a scraped surface freezer e.g. reducing crystal size, preventing incrustation on freezing surface [34].

The study states that in liquids samples creation of bubbles could be a promising and feasible approach to improve the effectiveness of ultrasound irradiation on the initiation of nucleation. This approach could have great potential for the frozen food industry [35]. In case of carrot juice freezing there was reduction in freezing time after the application of ultrasound [36]. Application of ultrasound assisted freezing on the quality and microstructure of frozen dough, were investigated results showed that crystal nucleation enhanced by ultra sound and formation of many tiny ice crystals inside the frozen dough, and the maximum penetration force of ultrasound-assisted frozen dough increased due to ultrasonication [37]. Finally, it concluded that the potential of power ultrasound to aid food freezing is promising, especially for high value food (ingredients) and pharmaceutical products.

3.3 Thawing

Freezing is one of the most accepted food preservation techniques to increase the shelf life but the process of thawing should be done before using the product. Thawing can be explained as conversion of the frozen food into unfrozen state at thawing temperature. This could be a problem at industrial level due to more

process time and could be more expensive [38] however it depends on the conditions at which the process has been done [39]. As being a slower process, it can cause physico-chemical changes as well as microbial decay of food so instead of applying conventional method different thawing techniques are employed like high pressure, microwave, ohmic but there are still several disadvantages due to the rate at which thawing can be accomplished [33]. The application of ultrasound for the thawing of food was tried nearly 50 years before but due to the limitations like poor penetration in frozen food, localized heating and high power requirement became hurdle in industrial acceptance [39]. Liu et al. [40] states that ultrasound shortens thawing time in mango pulp while preserving sensory and nutritional quality. Proper ultrasound parameters can be used to enhance the freezing and thawing processes. But Kissam et al. [41] reported good results when studies are conducted on thawing of frozen fish and reported that the process of the ultrasonic thawing done at relaxative frequencies will give good results. An experiment on blocks of cod has shown that, compared to simple immersion thawing the energy requirement will reduce upto 71% when acoustic wave at 1500 Hz at 60W was applied in water immersion thawing.

3.4 Extraction

Issues related with existing extraction issues are increased the consumption of energy and longer period of extraction. Ultrasound in combination with conventional extraction is potential technique to enhance the rate of yield and can accelerate heat and mass transfer. A phenomenon of ultrasound extraction is attributed by ultrasound pressure waves, and results in cavitation. Cavitation, which generates high shear forces and micro-bubbles that enhances surface erosion, fragmentation and mass transfer resulting in high yield of extracted materials and fast rate of extraction. Cavitation on the product surface causes impingement by micro-jets that could punch holes through the cell walls result which in turns in surface peeling, erosion and particle break down as shown in Fig. 3. Extraction yield varies with the hardness of the seed structure, ultrasound frequency and intensity.

By these mechanisms many products have been extracted and some of the applications are as follow. In extraction of oil samples like soybean oil, flaxseed oil, rice bran and edible oil, ultrasound extraction increases the yield and

reduces the time compared to conventional extraction [42-44]

By GC-MS analysis percentage of the unsaturated fatty acid is more in ultrasound extraction compared to conventional extraction for rice bran oil [45].

Bio active extraction: Ultrasound assisted extraction is an effective method for the extraction of some active components like anthocynins, polyphenols, and tartaric acid from plant materials [46-48] Ultrasound extraction of phenolic compounds does not damage the major compounds present in the sample [49,47,50]. Three components which anthocynins, phenols, tannins were compared in grapes which states that the yields are similar or higher but the frequency 40kHz time taken for extraction is very less in ultrasound extraction [51]. Ultrasound extraction is found to be very useful for full utilization of mulberry, which also indicated that the ultrasound assisted extraction is a powerful tool for the extraction of important phytochemicals from plant materials [46]. In animal bio active compound extraction much studies where not conducted but chitin extraction studies from prawn shells, showed that the chitin yield decreased during sonication, this loss is due to depolymerization of extracted chitin in the wash water. Subsequently, the degree of acetylation of chitin was unaffected by sonication, but the degree of acetylation of chitosans produced from sonication chitin decreased [52].

On dry matter extraction by using intensity in the range of 6.8–11.2 W/cm² the yield was more compare to the classical extraction method [53]

Fahmi et al. [54] reported that with the frequency of 35 kHz the protein content of soymilk after treating with ultrasound has been increased to 6.3% and there was no significant change in viscosity.

Valuable compounds from winter melon seeds have been extracted by ultrasound assisted extraction. This allowed the extraction at lower temperature and the extracts obtained possess higher quality compared to conventional solvent extraction [55].

Ultrasound has the unique capacity to extract and simultaneously encapsulate extracted substance with a wall material [44]. All these results suggested that ultrasound assisted extraction is more effective than conventional extraction method.




Fig. 3. Mechanism of ultrasound extraction

1) Due to cavitation phenomenon this bubble will collapse 2) Micro-jet directed to the matrix 3) Micro jet penetrate to matrix and destroy the cell wall 4) Ingredient is been extracted

3.5 Meat Tenderization

Meat palatability depends on qualities like aroma, flavour, appearance, tenderness, and juiciness. Meat tenderness is one of the most important eating quality parameters [56,57]. After the slaughtering of the animal the homeostasis of the body losses, this leads to the proteolysis of the animal muscles by endogenous proteases enzymes giving rise to tenderization [58-64]. An aged animal will give tough meat which is due to higher collagen present in the muscle fiber [65], which leads to reduced acceptance by consumer. To overcome this problem changing pre- and post-slaughter conditions using physical methods, as electrical stimulation [66,67] and tender stretch (pelvic suspension) [68,69] in prerigor stage of meat. While considering post-rigor meat mechanical tenderization methods like blade tenderization, grinding high pressure technology (1000–8000 bars) and Hydrodyne process [70-72], Chemical methods like injection of a brine containing sodium chloride, calcium chloride, polyphosphates and acids. [73-75] and biochemical methods like activity of exogenous (eg., bromine, fisin, papain) or endogenous enzyme during aging [76,77] are also being used for tenderization. The tenderization of meat depends on length of sarcomere [78], the intramuscular connective tissue [79] and proteolytic effect of the muscle [80]. Traditionally weak acid method using vinegar, lemon juice, salt and enzymatic preparation are used for improving the tenderness of the meat providing optimum pH to action of enzymes.

The use of ultrasound in meat industry started early as 1950's on beef tenderization but there was not any effect found on pH by ultrasound [81] reported that the tenderization effect on

meat by ultrasound is due to lysosomal rupture and disruption of myofibrillar protein. The reasons of lysosomal rupture are stable cavitation and collapse cavitation which is principle of ultrasonic process [82].

Numerous studies have confirmed the effectiveness of ultrasound in enhancing meat tenderness and reducing aging time, all while maintaining the highest quality standards. By utilizing Warner-Bratzler shear force analysis, ultrasound ensures that muscle fibers are perfectly tenderized. With HIU (High-Intensity Ultrasound) at its core, our device delivers outstanding results, as evidenced by a significant reduction in shear force after sonication.

In fact, recent research demonstrated that a mere 30 minutes of HIU treatment at 500 W led to a remarkable 26.2% decrease in chicken gizzard muscle fiber diameter and a 27.1% reduction in shear forces. This breakthrough technology conclusively proves that sonication can elevate the tenderness of chicken gizzards to new heights.

Some of the studies shown that there is no effect of ultrasound on the pH [83;81] whereas some of them stated that there is significant change in the pH after treatment [84;85]. This can be concluded as, the tenderization of meat increases due to collagen fragmentation which is confirmed in the microstructure examination of meat [86], if the treatment is done at lower intensity there is no pH change observed but when it comes to higher intensity there is increase in pH. Dolatowski et al. and Stadnik et al. [81,83] stated that the water holding capacity of the treated meat increases after the treatment. Though there are many studies done on ultrasonic meat tenderization the research is still

needed and an [83] ongoing project “Non-Invasive high-power ultrasound (HPU) processing method for meat tenderization” coordinated in Spain shows the same.

3.6 Marination

Marination is the process of adding liquids into the meat prior to cooking process. Process of marination is dependent on the ingredient used in the solution which are mostly salt and phosphate [87]. According to the [87] around 50 % of the poultry meat is marinated this improves color, texture, flavour, juiciness along with saleable yield which increases the profit of seller. Conventionally the process can be accomplished by immersion, injection and vacuum tumbling [88] but together sharing the disadvantage of higher process time in addition to irregular distribution is observed due to damage in the meat structure. So the industrial interest is to find the process which retain quality attributes and complete the process within shorter time interval. Ultrasound is a promising technology for meat processing; many applications like meat tenderization, microbial inactivation can be accomplished by ultrasound. In a study conducted by [88] subjected pork lion sample immersed in brine solution for 45 min interval three conditions namely unstirred, mechanically stirred and power ultrasound and found that the brine absorption is more in power ultrasound treatment, but also noticed that the ultrasound intensity must be higher than $64\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ (20kHz) which means the quantity of salt accumulated in meat is influenced by ultrasound intensity. Studies by J [87] and [89] also showed the improved rate of brine absorption in meat marination due to power ultrasound treatment. Considering the injection process, if previously injected meat is subjected to even low power ultrasound, positive results were observed [90], while opposite of these found if the meat is not previously injected [91].

Al-Nagmawy [92] have studied the marination rate in Halal and Non-Halal chicken and found that the water uptake in Halal chicken is higher at the beginning (94% in 15 min.) of the ultrasound process but as process continues the water uptake decreases (22% in 30 min.) with respect to the processing time, Contradictorily Non-Halal chicken shows the increasing rate of water uptake with respect to time (56% in 15 min. and 63% in 30 min.). This may be because in the Halal type of slaughtering, the animal is hanged inverted for nearly complete bleeding, which may facilitate the initial water uptake.

3.7 Drying

Ultrasound does not lead the product to being heated as a consequences ultrasound drying is been used for the heat sensitive material. Ultrasound drying has a direct contact with the food material. Drying of food material in ultrasound drying is by forced-air drying assisted. Where high intensity ultrasonic vibrations as rapid series of alternative contractions and expansions which produce a kind of sponge effect to extract the inside moisture. These alternating series creates microscopic channels which will make the moisture removal easier. Pressure variations at liquid/gas interfaces increase the surface moisture evaporation rate. Ultrasonic energy which produces oscillating velocities and micro streaming at the interfaces which may increases the mass transfer and diffusion boundary layer [93]. High-intensity acoustic waves also may produce cavitation of water molecules inside the solid matrix, which will remove strongly attached moisture.

Ultrasound as pre-treatment: Pre-treatment is used to reduce the initial water content or to modify the fruit tissue structure to reduce the total drying, in a similar way to a sponge when it is squeezed and released repeatedly (sponge effect). Ultrasound pre-treatment consists in immersing fruit pieces in water or in an osmotic solution and to subject the fruit and solution to ultrasonic waves (at frequencies ranging from 18 to 40 kHz) for a period of time (usually less than 60 min). Ultrasound pre-treatment on several fruits whole or sliced fruits : banana, papaya, melon, strawberry, sapota, malay apples, star fruit and pineapples. Ultrasound showed higher influence on fruits with high water content (pineapples, melons, malay apples) and high content of fibers and phenolic cells (saptas). Low influence was observed in very porous fruits (strawberries) and on dense fruits with strong cell attachment (papaya). The ultrasound pre-treatment was able to reduce drying time by 20% and to reduce drying costs up to 30% [94] .The main difficulty in dehydration by air-borne ultrasonic radiation is the low penetration of the acoustic energy in the food material due to the mismatch between acoustic impedances. Therefore, in order to increase the ultrasonic effect on food dehydration a new procedure was developed and tested in which the ultrasonic vibration was applied in direct contact with the food samples and together with a static pressure, which is shown in the Fig. 4.




Fig. 4. Ultrasound drying

3.8 Pasteurization and Sterilization

The process of pasteurization and sterilization are conventionally described as the destruction of microbes either pathogenic or complete by application of heat. The processes are highly adopted in the industries though there are some of the drawbacks like the amount of nutrient loss, development of undesirable flavors and deterioration of functional properties of food products due to the time temperature combinations of these. This leads to innovation of non thermal pasteurization and sterilization involving pulsed electric field inactivation, pulsed light inactivation, high pressure and ultrasonication [94]. This provided an alternative to the food processing techniques of conventional pasteurization and sterilization this gives a new concept of the processes explaining that “the destruction of microbes pathogenic or complete by either of the method”. The bactericidal effect of ultrasound was first observed by Harvey and Loomis in the 1920s which inspired the scientists to apply the ultrasound in the process of microbial inactivation in food. Though the technique is known as non-thermal the cavitation will generate some amount

of heat but the microbial destruction is due to implosion, shock wave formation and hot spot formation[95]. By taking this ability of ultrasonic waves into consideration many studies have been conducted to check the ability of the ultrasound on the microbial destruction. Some applications of ultrasound Pasteurization and sterilization are given in Table 3.

Along with specific microbes’ food commodities are also studied to check the feasibility of the process [96] has studied the effect of ultrasound on the *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in Milk. Milk is been treated with 20 kHz power ultrasound, temperature (20,40 and 60°C), amplitude (60, 90 and 120 mm) and treatment time (6, 9 and 12 min). The study shows that the microbial disintegration of the species in the milk shows direct proportion to the amplitude, process temperature and process time.

3.9 Cooking

In conventional cooking food is subjected to higher temperature which will facilitate the mass transfer to cook the food. During cooking many physicochemical changes occurs in food making

it more palatable and eases the digestibility. In foods like brown rice, beef and meat some of the disadvantages observed during conventional cooking like in brown rice the cooking time is more as it contain more fibers in bran portion [97] and resist the mass transfer where as in meat and beef the low water absorption results in harder texture. To overcome this problem in brown rice many treatments like heating with immediate cooling, Pre-gelatinization, Germination, and Enzymatic treatment has been studied but each treatment is having own disadvantages. So many people are interested with some other treatments to overcome the problem of time consumption. The ultrasound treatment has also been studied showing reduction in time of cooking as the ultrasounds will depolymerize the fibers in the bran improving the mass transfer in the endosperm. It reduces around 10 min at the temperature of 55°C [98]. In Meat and Beef the ultrasound will give a softer texture to the product and improving the water absorption of the myofibrillar protein as the cavitation may results the hydrogen bondings with water. Due to higher water binding, the nutrient retained in meat which reduces leaching losses during cooking. With reference to these studies, Ultrasound can come forward as a newer, faster, and healthier method of cooking of food. Backed by scientific research, ultrasound uses ultrasound waves to cook meat, ensuring it stays moist and preserves essential nutrients. Say goodbye to dry and overcooked meals!

But that is not all. Ultrasound's benefits extend beyond meat. Fruits and vegetables cooked with ultrasound technology retain more nutrients, have improved heat transfer rates, and offer enhanced sensory attributes. With ultrasound, it can create healthy and flavourful dishes that will impress even the most discerning palate.

3.10 Homogenization

Homogenization is the process of converting larger sized particles in mixture to the smaller size using high pressures (10-20 MPa.) at

elevated temperatures of 55-70°C [99] Homogenization process is an important and necessary pretreatment in most of the food processing industries dealing with pulps, milk, ice-cream and yoghurt. As mentioned in the emulsion and pasteurization-sterilization topic of this paper the ultrasound is capable of dividing the dispersed phase into smaller size and can also kill the microbes so scientists have studied the simultaneous homogenization and microbial destruction (pasteurization) in industrial processing [101] as shown in Fig. 5. In a study conducted by Wu et al. [100] showed the effect of ultrasound on homogenization followed by fermentation. In this the milk 150 ml was subjected to an ultrasound generator (500 W nominal powers at 20 kHz.) with a 13-mm diameter probe and power levels selected were 20, 50 and 100. In the results homogenization efficiency was examined under the microscope which shows disruption of fat globules is not because of vibrations but it is because of intense cavitation and longer exposure which will result in formation of smaller size granules. The examination of water holding capacity, viscosity and syneresis also has done which shows water holding capacity and viscosity follow direct proportion, whereas syneresis follow indirect proportion. In another study conducted by [101] which is a primary study on the chemical changes took place during the ultrasonic homogenization of milk. In normally pasteurized and homogenized milk, four compounds, acetone, 2-butanone, chloroform and dimethylsulfide were found of which acetone and 2-butanone were the major compounds [102]. In Ultrasound treated sample many more compounds namely 1-hexene, 1-octene, 1-nonene, 5-methyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene, benzene, toluene, p-xylene, n-hexanal, and n-heptanal increased in concentration over the first 5 min of treatment and changed little thereafter. These compounds are synthesized due to localized temperature associated with cavitation. So, though the ultrasonic treatment is useful may rises to off flavor after processing.




Fig. 5. Droplet size distributions




Fig. 6. Dispersion of immiscible solvents

3.11 Emulsification

Emulsification is a process of mixing the immiscible liquids to form a stable mixture. Now a day's emulsion got importance as they are used as carriers to deliver the hydrophobic bioactive compounds into different food products. As compared to the conventional methods Ultrasonic emulsion provides particles with submicron size, more stability without the use of any chemical surfactant and lower energy consumption. Due to such reasons ultrasonic emulsification is attracting interest for in-line treatment [103]. In the food industry, ultrasonic emulsification is attracting interest for products such as fruit juices, mayonnaise and tomato ketchup [94] and in aroma encapsulation [95].

In the conventional methods agitation will divide the droplets or particles of the dispersed phase into micron sized drops or particles but ultrasound is comparable to micro-fluidization in terms of generating sub-micron dispersions in equal time interval [104], the shock wave and cavitation will divide the dispersed phase. Though the ultrasounds divide the droplets and particles in sub-micron size the stabilization of emulsion is dependent on the emulsifying agents used this is shown in Fig. 6.

Proteins perform many functions in the food industries such as emulsification, encapsulation, improve viscosity and gelation [105]. Proteins are mainly utilized for the stabilization of the emulsion as they are having both hydrophobic and hydrophilic characters in a single molecule which helps them to adsorb oil and water to form an interfacial film [106]. In a study conducted by [107]

analyzed the effect of ultrasound on the different proteins of plant and animal origin. Treated Bovine gelatin (BG), Egg white protein (EWP), Pea protein isolate (PPI) shows that there is

significant reduction in emulsion droplet size by comparison to their untreated counterparts ~200 nm at 4 wt% whereas Fish gelatin (FG), Soy protein isolate (SPI), Rice protein isolate (RPI) 0.1 wt% FG yielded emulsion droplets ~5 nm with untreated sample whereas ~2 nm which is not much different.

Likewise, a study on dairy proteins [108]: sodium caseinate (NaCas), whey protein isolate (WPI) and milk protein isolate (MPI). As the solutions (0.1% wt.) were treated and shown decrease in protein size NaCas 245 ± 12 to 58 ± 4 , WPI 433 ± 11 to 72 ± 9 and MPI 956 ± 48 to 256 ± 6 , this is due to the ultrasonic cavitation induce higher shear on the proteins in solution. This changes the electrostatic and hydrophobic interaction of proteins [109]. Though ultrasound is an effective method but there are indications that the emulsification of edible oils might lead to some deterioration in quality [110] this may be due to free radicals formed during the treatment of ultrasound which may react with the free and unsaturated fatty acids.

3.12 Fermentation

Ultrasound fermentation generally uses low frequency ≥ 20 kHz with high intensity which will accelerate the process and yield better quality in product with less undesirable flavor. Cavitation phenomenon is the major mechanism that changes biological tissues thereby increasing the cell membrane permeability during the fermentation process [111]. Cell Membrane permeability is referring to the diffusion of molecule through the membrane. In cavitation phenomena ultrasound used to destroy the microorganisms inside the product by denaturing enzymes and protein inside the cell. Low frequency level of ultrasound will speed up the movement of liquid medium, increase mass transfer of substrate through cell membrane and increases reaction rates [49]. The gas bubbles

generate along the circulation liquid was known as micro streaming. Micro streaming will lead the flux of reagents to the cell and thus increasing the reaction rate [112] reported that low frequency ultrasound fermentation with the intensities of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 W/cm² which shows enhancements of the yeast growth compared to commonly used method and the quantity of fermentation products is directly depended on the fermented time it could decrease lag time by up to 1 h compared to control. With the frequency of 20 kHz and different amplitudes it results that intensity of the ultrasound did not affect the duration of the fermentation or the decreasing rate of the pH during fermentation, while increase of the ultrasound intensity led to faster evolution of viscosity. The yogurt produced from ultrasound treated milk had higher viscosity, stronger coagulum and superior texture characteristics. For yoghurt fermentation with probiotics three Bifidobacteria strains and β -galactosidase enzyme in milk were investigated. β -galactosidase is an enzyme that hydrolyzes the glycosidic bond between a galactose and another sugar or alcohol molecule. So in ultrasound fermentation higher the power of ultrasound, more bacterial cells were killed and enzymatic activity also increases [113].

3.13 Defoaming

In many industrial processes like fermentation, syrup preparation excess of foam is the main problem. Foam is a dispersion of gas in liquid in which the distances between the individual bubbles are very small. Existing chemical antifoaming agents sometimes may contaminate the product and the requirement of agents will be huge so the cost is high. High-intensity ultrasonic waves represent a clean and efficient methodology to break foam bubbles. High-intensity ultrasonic defoamer is based on the use of the stepped-grooved-plate high power transducer for air-borne focusing ultrasound. This system has been successfully applied to control the excess of foam produced in high-speed bottling and canning lines of carbonic beverages [114]. Acoustic influence depends on the structure of foam where large bubbles can be easily destructed by low intensity but small bubbles are more stable and require higher intensity. Ultrasonic emitter is mounted on the rotation system that is electronically controlled. When the radiators begin revolving on their axis with angular velocity, the foams will be destructed since it is revolving the destruction will

be in larger area as shown in Fig. 7. Acoustic vibrations in the volume with the diameter of 1800 mm two radiators in the form of stepped-variable disks with the diameter of 250 mm each and frequency range from 20 to 30 kHz which results in defoaming of different types of foam in industrial volume, was designed [115].

3.14 Cutting

Cutting of the food will give the finished structure to the food, generally the friction forces during cutting depend on the size of the contact area and increase with the penetration of the blade and due to these forces product deformation during cutting of food can occur [116]. Because of the increasing demands of the food industry for an improved quality of the cutting process, which gives high accuracy and low product deformation, cutting with ultrasonic assistance as gaining the importance. Tools assisted by ultrasound are mainly applied in cutting of cheese, candy bars, bakery and confectionery products, and convenience food [117],[118] explained the relation of excitation on cutting velocity and cutting work. They stated that cutting work is increasing with increase in cutting velocity whereas velocity decreases significantly with magnitude of excitation. [116] studied the effect of excitation on the frictional forces, based on this study there is significant reduction in frictional forces due to ultrasonic excitation but the amplitude is not playing any role in it. The textural properties of food will affect the intensity of ultrasonic transmission, due to the transmission of the waves to surface of food some of its properties will change at the contact layer this change in the properties is due to deformation at contact point, heating due to friction and wave absorption.

Due to these properties, different food is needed to process differently at optimum level, this motivated researchers to study different cuttings of food the measurement of cutting forces allows a characterization and understanding of the cutting process [119]. [120] tested the ultrasonic cutting of the cheese and found that the forces required for cutting are more in the wire cutting in comparison to ultrasonic. Taking the cheese composition into account, the cutting work will also vary according to chemical composition. Where as in bakery products different products were studied subjecting to ultrasonic excitation. In these whole grain and white bread do not show any reduction in cutting work, reduction of cutting forces were less initially but higher




Fig. 7. Defoaming by ultrasonic transducer

towards end for ciabatta, Hamburger buns, and yeast dumplings, Rye bread and malted bread shows linear decrease, whereas the cutting structure is reported to be improved with respect to vibrations [121,129]

3.15 Depolymerization

The application of ultrasound in the practice of depolymerization is one of the oldest known applications as far as food industry concerned the studies were done even in 1933 [122]. The application of ultrasound to food gives rise to cavitation, during the cavitation some of the small molecules breaks and gives birth to hydroxyl radicals both the phenomena can cause

depolymerization. Cavitation process forms the shock wave and hot spot which will break the polymer, considering hydroxyl radicals formed will act chemically on food to depolymerize its constituents [123]. Such breakdown of the complex molecules into simpler one will lead to change in different physico-chemical characteristics of the foods, the most common include solubility, viscosity, texture,[124] and in some of the cases foaming capacity, emulsifying characters and even conductivity [125]. These changes are dependent on the temperature, pH and concentration of polymers [126] Some of the food application of depolymerization are given in Table 4 [137-149].

Table 2. Application of ultrasound in drying

Sl. No.	Food commodity	Treatment	Effect	Reference
1	Blueberries	850 kHz ,and 55 ^o brix syrup	The physical properties increase along with reduction in time compared to controlled sample	[127]
2	Carrot	20 kHz with 2.4 and 8 mm in thick slices dried with forced-air at 60 ^o C, 90 ^o C and 115 ^o C	As an effect of ultrasound the drying time reduced as compared to normal drying at same time.	[128]
3	Lemmon	21.8 kHz and thickness 10mm	The application of power ultrasound improved the drying rate at low air velocities in all the products	Garcia-Perez et al. (2009)
4	Vegetable sample cut in 24 mm in diameter and 8 mm in thickness	Ultrasonic power 0 W, 25 W, 50W, 75 W and 100 W, temperature 24–26 ^o C and relative humidity 30–46%, static pressure was fixed at 0.06 kg/cm ²	Drying occurs at low temperatures and together with vacuum, forced-air and static pressure observed less bioactive loss.	[130]

Table 3. Applications of ultrasound pasteurization and sterilization

Sl. No.	Micro organisms	Treatment	Effect	Reference
1	<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	20 kHz and amplitude of 117 Am	Reduction of bacterial activity by 90%,	[95]
2	<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	160 kHz at a power of 100Wfor 10 min	4-log reduction in viable cell count	[131]
3	<i>B. subtilis</i>	20 kHz and 150 Am at 500 kPa for 12 min	Reduction of spores between 70% and 99.9%	[132]
4	<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	Increase in amplitude at 30°C and 200 kPa from 21°C to 150 Am	Reduced D-value exponentially from 4 to 0.37 min	[95]
5	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	20 kHz 45°C	D-value was reduced to 22.3 min. from 739 min	[133]

Table 4. Application of depolymerization

Sl. No.	Food commodity	Treatment	Effect	Reference
1	Solution of guar gum	Ultrasound intensity (3.7, 6.3, 8.1 and 10.1 W/cm ²) time (1, 3, 5 10, 20 and 30 min) at 25 ° C	The extent of Depolymerization has been analyzed in terms of the reduction in intrinsic viscosity which decreases with higher amplitude	[124]
2	Beta-Lacto globulin-sodium alginate	24 kHz, times (10, 20 or 30 min) Temperatures (25 or 75 ^o C) and amplitudes (50 or 100%).	High intensity ultrasound could effectively decrease the intrinsic viscosity of the ALG solution with time and amplitude.	[134]
3	Starch solution	211/631 kHz	Functionality of starch granules was significantly influenced by the length of sonication and the solution temperature.	[135]
4	Soy protein	20 kHz probe and ultrasound baths (40 and 500 kHz) system	Treatment with 20 kHz ultrasound lead to significant changes in conductivity, increased solubility for SPC, significantly changes food texture and increased emulsion activity index. Improvement in foaming and emulsifying properties of soy protein model systems after 500 kHz bath treatment.	[125]
5	Whey protein suspensions	Low-intensity ultrasound (500 kHz) and high-intensity ultrasound (20 kHz and 40 kHz), 15 and 30 min.	40 kHz frequency had less effect on protein properties and shows better results with 15.	[136]

4. CONCLUSION

Preferences of the consumers have shifted towards healthy, tasty foods, which are readily available, ready to eat and easily to store. Thermal processing always leads to loss of nutritive value and sensory attributes whereas in non thermal processing, the challenge faced by food industries is providing such foods, in a form suitable for distribution and mass production so ultrasound technology is a potential technique to meet this demand. Many applications in food can be done by application of ultrasound during processing or as a pre-treatment to enhance the quality and shelf life of the products compared to conventional method.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Jayasooriya SD, Bhandari BR, Torley P, Darcy BR. Effect of high power ultrasound waves on properties of meat: A review. *International Journal of Food Properties*. 2004;2:301-319. DOI:10.1081/JFP-120030039
2. McClements DJ. Advances in the application of ultrasound in food analysis and processing. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 1995;6(9):293-299.
3. Povey MJW, McClements DJ. Ultrasonics in food engineering Part I: Introduction and experimental methods. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 1988;8(4):217-245.
4. Tang WZ. *Physicochemical treatment of hazardous wastes*. CRC Press; 2003.
5. Vajnhandl S, Le Marechal AM. Ultrasound in textile dyeing and the decolouration/mineralization of textile dyes. *Dyes and Pigments*. 2005;65(2):89-101.
6. Thangavadivel K, Megharaj M, Mudhoo A, Naidu R. The degradation of organic pollutants using ultrasound. 2012;18.
7. Destailats H, Hoffmann MR, Wallace HC. Sonochemical degradation of pollutants. *Environmental Science and Pollution Control Series*. 2003;201-234.
8. Pang YL, Abdullah AZ, Bhatia S. Review on sonochemical methods in the presence of catalysts and chemical additives for treatment of organic pollutants in wastewater. *Desalination*. 2011;277(1):1-14.
9. Bendicho C, Lavilla I. *Ultrasound extractions*. Encyclopedia of separation science. Academic Press, London; 2000.
10. Huisman HI. *Cross-flow micro-filtration of particle suspensions: The influence of hydrodynamics and physicochemical interactions*. Thesis, Lund University, Sweden; 1988.
11. Bowem WR, Williams RA. (Ed). *Colloidal and surface engineering: Application in process industries* Butterworth-Heinemann. Sweden. 1992;215-247.
12. Shirato M, Kobayashi K, Iwata M. Proc 10th anniversary international symposium of recent advances in papermaking technology. Chunchon Korea.1998;141-150.
13. Raats MHM, Van Diemen AJG, Laven J, Stein HN. Full scale electrokinetic dewatering of waste sludge colloids and surfaces A: Physicochemical and engineering aspects. 210(2):231-241
14. Huotari HM, Nystrom M. Electrofiltration in industrial wastewater applications. *The Journal of the Filtration Society*. (Trans Filt Soc) 2000;1:17-22.
15. Grossner MT, Belovich JM, Feke DL. Transport analysis and model for the performance of an ultrasonically enhanced filtration process. *Chemical engineering science*. 2005;60(12):3233-3238.
16. Al-Juboori RA, Naji O, Bowtell L, Alpatova A, Soukane S, Ghaffour N. Power effect of ultrasonically vibrated spacers in air gap membrane distillation: Theoretical and experimental investigations *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2021;262:118319. DOI:10.1016/j.seppur.2021.118319
17. Bhargava N, Mor RS, Kumar K, Sharanagat VS. Advances in application of ultrasound in food processing: A review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 2021;70:105293. DOI:10.1016/j.ultsonch. 2020.105293
18. Lamminen MO, Walker HW, Weavers LK. Mechanisms and factors influencing the ultrasonic cleaning of particle-fouled ceramic membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2004;237(1):213-223.
19. Kobayashi T, Kobayashi T, Hosaka Y, Fujii N. Ultrasound-enhanced membrane-cleaning processes applied water treatments: Influence of sonic frequency on filtration treatments. *Ultrasonics*. 2003;41(3):185-190.

20. Muthukumar S, Yang K, Seuren A, Kentish S, Ashokkumar M, Stevens GW, Grieser F. The use of ultrasonic cleaning for ultrafiltration membranes in the dairy industry. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2004;39(1):99-107.
21. Muthukumar S, Kentish S, Lalchandani S, Ashokkumar M, Mawson R, Stevens GW, Grieser F. The optimisation of ultrasonic cleaning procedures for dairy fouled ultrafiltration membranes. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry*. 2005;12(1):29-35.
22. Shanmugam A, Chandrapala J, and Ashokkumar M. The effect of ultrasound on the physical and functional properties of skim milk. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*. 2012;16:251-258.
23. Gao Y, Chen D, Weavers LK, Walker HW. Ultrasonic control of UF membrane fouling by natural waters: Effects of calcium, pH, and fractionated natural organic matter. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2012;401:232-240.
24. Miles CA, Morley MJ, Rendell M. High power ultrasonic thawing of frozen foods. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 1999;39(2):151-159.
25. Ashokkumar M, Lee J, Iida Y, Yasui K, Kozuka T, Tuziuti T, Towata A. The detection and control of stable and transient acoustic cavitation bubbles. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2009;11(43):10118-10121.
26. Lakshmisha IP, Ravishankar CN, Ninan G, Mohan CO, Gopal TKS. Effect of freezing time on the quality of Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*) during frozen storage. *Journal of Food Science*. 2008;73(7):S345-S353.
27. Dalvi-Isfahan M, Hamdami N, Xanthakis E, Le-Bail A. Review on the control of ice nucleation by ultrasound waves, electric and magnetic fields. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2017;195:222-34. DOI:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.10.001
28. da Silva TLT, Danthine S, Martini S. Palm-based fat crystallized at different temperatures with and without high-intensity ultrasound in batch and in a scraped surface heat exchanger. *LWT* 2021;138:110593. DOI:10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110593
29. Lee J, da Silva RC, Gibon V, Martini S. Sonocrystallization of interesterified soybean oil: Effect of saturation level and supercooling. *Journal of Food Science*. 2018;83(4):902-10. DOI:10.1111/1750-3841.14084
30. Delgado AE, Sun DW. *Ultrasound-assisted freezing. Ultrasound Technologies for Food and Bioprocessing*, Springer New York. 2011;495-509.
31. Delgado AE, Zheng L, Sun DW. Influence of ultrasound on freezing rate of immersion-frozen apples. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*. 2009;2(3):263-270.
32. Sun DW, Li B. Microstructural change of potato tissues frozen by ultrasound-assisted immersion freezing. *Journal of food engineering*. 2003;57(4):337-345.
33. Li B, Sun DW. Effect of power ultrasound on freezing rate during immersion freezing of potatoes. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2002;55(3):277-282.
34. Mortazavi A, Tabatabaie F. Study of ice cream freezing process after treatment with ultrasound. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 2008;4(2):188-190
35. Hu F, Sun DW, Gao W, Zhang Z, Zeng X, Han Z. Effects of pre-existing bubbles on ice nucleation and crystallization during ultrasound-assisted freezing of water and sucrose solution. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*. 2013a;20:161-166.
36. Janiszewska E, Sakowski P. Effect of the ultrasound on the carrot juices freezing process. *Inżynieria Rolnicza*. 2013;17.
37. Hu SQ, Liu G, Li L, Li ZX, Hou Y. An improvement in the immersion freezing process for frozen dough via ultrasound irradiation. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2013b;114(1):22-28.
38. Chemat F, Khan MK. Applications of ultrasound in food technology: processing, preservation and extraction. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry*. 2011;18(4):813-835.
39. Rouillé J, LeBail A, Ramaswamy HS, Leclerc L. High pressure thawing of fish and shellfish. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2002;53(1):83-88.
40. Liu Y, Chen S, Pu Y, Muhammad AI, Hang M, Liu D, Ye T. Ultrasound-assisted thawing of mango pulp: Effect on thawing rate, sensory, and nutritional properties. *Food Chemistry*. 2019;286: 576-83. DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.02.059
41. Kissam AD, Nelson RW, Ngao J, Hunter P. Water-thawing of fish using low frequency acoustics. *Journal of Food Science*. 1982;47(1):71-75.

42. Li H, Pordesimo L, Weiss J. High intensity ultrasound-assisted extraction of oil from soybeans. *Food research international*. 2004;37(7):731-738.
43. Zhang ZS, Wang LJ, Li D, Jiao SS, Chen XD, Mao ZH. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of oil from flaxseed. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2008;62(1):192-198.
44. Vilku K, Mawson R, Simons L, Bates D. Applications and opportunities for ultrasound assisted extraction in the food industry—A review. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*. 2008;9(2):161-169.
45. Krishnan VCA, Kuriakose S, Rawson A. Ultrasound assisted extraction of oil from rice bran: A response surface methodology approach. *Journal of Food Processing and Technology*; 2015.
46. Zou TB, Wang M, Gan RY, Ling WH. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of anthocyanins from mulberry, using response surface methodology. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2011;12(5):3006-3017.
47. Falleh H, Ksouri R, Lucchessi ME, Abdelly C, Magné C. Ultrasound-assisted extraction: Effect of extraction time and solvent power on the levels of polyphenols and antioxidant activity of *Mesembryanthemum edule* L. Aizoaceae shoots. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2012;11(2):243-249.
48. Ghafoor K, Choi YH, Jeon JY, Jo IH. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of phenolic compounds, antioxidants, and anthocyanins from grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seeds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2009;57(11):4988-4994.
49. Liu D, Vorobiev E, Savoie R, Lanoiselle JL. Comparative study of ultrasound-assisted and conventional stirred dead-end microfiltration of grape pomace extracts. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry*. 2013;20(2):708-714.
50. Wang J, Sun B, Cao Y, Tian Y, Li X. Optimisation of ultrasound-assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from wheat bran. *Food Chemistry*. 2008;106(2):804-810. Muthukumar S, Kentish SE, Ashokkumar M, Stevens GW. Mechanisms for the ultrasonic enhancement of dairy whey ultrafiltration. *Journal of membrane science*. 2005;258(1):106-114. Pagán R, Manas P, Palop A, Sala FJ. Resistance of heat-shocked cells of *listeria monocytogenes* to mano-sonication and mano-thermo-sonication. *Letters in applied microbiology*. 1999;28(1):71-75.
51. Carrera C, Ruiz-Rodríguez A, Palma M, Barroso CG. Ultrasound assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from grapes. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 2012;732:100-104.
52. Kjartansson GT, Zivanovic S, Kristbergsson K, Weiss J. Sonication-assisted extraction of chitin from shells of fresh water prawns (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*). *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2006;54(9):3317-3323.
53. Kobus Z. Studies upon the ultrasonic extraction process on an example of dry matter extraction from dried carrots. *Portfolio of the Automotive and Energy Committee of Agriculture*. 2006;6.
54. Fahmi R, Khodaiyan F, Pourahmad R, Emam-Djomeh Z. Effect of ultrasound assisted extraction upon the protein content and rheological properties of the resultant soymilk. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*; 2011.
55. Bimakr M, Rahman RA, Taip FS, Adzahan NM, Sarker MZI, Ganjloo A. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of crude oil from winter melon (*Benincasa hispida*) seed using response surface methodology and evaluation of its antioxidant activity, total phenolic content and fatty acid composition. *Molecules*. 2012;17(10):11748-11762.
56. Gonzalez CB, Salitto VA, Carduza FJ, Pazos AA, Lasta JA. Effect of calcium chloride marination on bovine Cutaneous trunci muscle. *Meat science*. 2001;57(3):251-256.
57. Nowak D. Enzymes in tenderization of meat-The system of calpains and other systems-a review. *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 2011;61(4):231-237.
58. Ouali A. Meat tenderization: Possible causes and mechanisms: A review. *Journal of Muscle Foods*. 1990;1(2):129-165.
59. Koohmaraie M. Biochemical factors regulating the toughening and tenderization processes of meat. *Meat Science*. 1996;43:193-201.

60. Koohmaraie M. Muscle proteinases and meat aging. *Meat science*. 1994;36(1):93-104.
61. Penny IF. *Enzymology of conditioning. Developments in meat science*; 1980.
62. Dransfield E. Modelling post-mortem tenderisation—III: Role of calpain I in conditioning. *Meat Science*. 1992;31(1):85-94.
63. Asghar A, Bhatti AR. Endogenous proteolytic enzymes in skeletal muscle: Their significance in muscle physiology and during postmortem aging events in carcasses. *Adv Food Res*. 1987;31:343-451.
64. Mane BG, Mendiratta SK, Dhanze HIMANI. Tenderization of meat and meat products: A detailed review. *Food Composition and Analysis: Methods and Strategies*. 2014;95.
65. Smith NB, Cannon JE, Novakofski JE, McKeith FK, OBrien WD. Tenderization of semitendinosus muscle using high intensity ultrasound. *Ultrasonics Symposium Proceedings IEEE*. 1991; 1371-1374.
66. Geesink GH, Mareko MHD, Morton JD, Bickerstaffe R. Effects of stress and high voltage electrical stimulation on tenderness of lamb m longissimus. *Meat Science*. 2001;57(3):265-271.
67. Hwang IH, Devine CE, Hopkins DL. The biochemical and physical effects of electrical stimulation on beef and sheep meat tenderness. *Meat Science*. 2003;65(2):677-691.
68. Fisher AV, Poulos A, Wood JD, Young-Boong K, Sheard PR. Effect of pelvic suspension on three major leg muscles in the pig carcass and implications for ham manufacture. *Meat science*. 2000;56(2): 127-132.
69. Sorheim O, Idland J, Halvorsen EC, Froystein T, Lea P, Hildrum KI. Influence of beef carcass stretching and chilling rate on tenderness of m longissimus dorsi. *Meat Science*. 2001;57(1):79-85.
70. Hayward LH, Hunt MC, Kastner CL, Kropf DH. Blade tenderization effects on beef Longissimus sensory and instron textural measurements. *Journal of Food Science*. 1980;45(4):925-935.
71. Cheftel JC, Culioli J. Effects of high pressure on meat: A review. *Meat Science*. 1997;46(3):211-236.
72. Solomon MB, Eastridge JS, Zuckerman H, Long JB, Johnson W. Hydrodyne-treated beef: Tenderness and muscle ultrastructure. *Proceedings of the 43rd International Congress, Meat Science Technology, Lillehammer, Norway*; 1997.
73. Lansdell JL, Miller MF, Wheeler TL, Koohmaraie M, Ramsey CB. Postmortem injection of calcium chloride effects on beef quality traits. *Journal of animal science*. 1995;73(6):1735-1740.
74. Perez ML, Escalona H, Guerrero I. Effect of calcium chloride marination on calpain and quality characteristics of meat from chicken, horse, cattle and rabbit. *Meat Science*. 1998;48(1):125-134.
75. Perez-Chabela MDL, Escalona-Buendia H, Guerrero-Legarreta I. Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of calcium chloride-treated horse meat. *International Journal of Food Properties*. 2003;6(1):73-85.
76. Varnam A, Sutherland JP. *Meat and meat products: Technology, chemistry and microbiology*. Springer Science and Business Media. 1995;3.
77. Gerelt B, Ikeuchi Y, Suzuki A. Meat tenderization by proteolytic enzymes after osmotic dehydration. *Meat Science*. 2000;56(3):311-318.
78. Hostetler RL, Link BA, Landmann WA, Fitzhugh HA. Effect of carcass suspension on sarcomere length and shear force of some major bovine muscles. *Journal of Food Science*. 1972;37(1):132-135.
79. Greaser ML, Fritz JD. Post-mortem changes in myofibrillar proteins in relation to meat texture. *Expression of Tissue Proteinases and Regulation of Protein Degradation as Related to Meat Quality*. 1995;293-309.
80. Whipple G, Koohmaraie M, Dikeman ME, Crouse JD, Hunt MC, Klemm RD. Evaluation of attributes that affect longissimus muscle tenderness in *Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus* cattle. *Journal of animal science* 1990;68(9):2716-2728.
81. Stadnik J, Dolatowski ZJ, Baranowska HM. Effect of ultrasound treatment on water holding properties and microstructure of beef (m semimembranosus) during ageing. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*. 2008;41(10):2151-2158.
82. Amin T, Bhat SV, Sharma N. Technological advancements in meat tenderization- a review. *Journal of Meat Science and Technology*. 2014;2(1):01-09.
83. Dolatowski Z, Stasiak DM, Latoch A. Effect of ultrasound processing of meat before

- freezing on its texture after thawing. Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities. 2000;3(2):02.
84. Got F, Culioli J, Berge P, Vignon X, Astruc T, Quideau JM, Lethiecq M. Effects of high-intensity high-frequency ultrasound on ageing rate, ultrastructure and some physico-chemical properties of beef. *Meat Science*. 1999;51(1):35-42.
 85. Jayasooriya SD, Torley PJ, Darcy BR, Bhandari BR. Effect of high power ultrasound and ageing on the physical properties of bovine semitendinosus and longissimus muscles. *Meat Science*. 2007;75(4):628-639.
 86. Nishihara T, Doty P. The sonic fragmentation of collagen macromolecules. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1958;44(5):411.
 87. Young LL, Smith DP. Effect of vacuum on moisture absorption and retention by marinated broiler fillets. *Poultry Science*. 2004;83(1):129-131
 88. Alvarado C, McKee S. Marination to improve functional properties and safety of poultry meat. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research*. 2007;16(1):113-120. Cárcel JA, Benedito J, Bon J, Mulet A. High intensity ultrasound effects on meat brining. *Meat Science*. 2007;76(4):611-619.
 89. Mulet A, Cárcel J, Benedito C, Rosselló C, Simal S. Ultrasonic mass transfer enhancement in food processing. *Transport phenomena of food processing*; 2003.
 90. Jorgensen AS, Christensen M, Erenbjerg P. Marination with kiwifruit powder followed by power ultrasound tenderizes porcine M biceps femoris; 2008.
 91. Smith DP. Meat quality and salmonella contamination. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 2011;10(10):757-759.
 92. Al-Nagmawy MHM, Al-Aswad MB. Effect of aging treatment with pepsin on myofibrillar protein and some other characteristics of meat from old sheep. *Meat Science*. 1988;45(3):329-337.
 93. Gallego-Juarez JA, Rodriguez-Corral G, Gálvez Moraleda JC, Yang TS. A new high-intensity ultrasonic technology for food dehydration. *Drying Technology*. 1999;17(3):597-608.
 94. Fernandes FAN, Gallão MI, Rodrigues S. Effect of osmosis and ultrasound on pineapple cell tissue structure during dehydration. *J Food Eng*. 2009;90:186-190. DOI:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.06.021
 95. Povey MJ, Mason TJ. *Ultrasound in food processing*. Springer Science and Business Media; 1998.
 95. Mongenot N, Charrier S, Chalier P. Effect of ultrasound emulsification on cheese aroma encapsulation by carbohydrates. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2000;48(3):861-867.
 96. Raso J, Palop A, Pagan R, Condon S. Inactivation of *Bacillus subtilis* spores by combining ultrasonic waves under pressure and mild heat treatment. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 1998;85(5):849-854.
 96. Herceg Z, Režek Jambrak A, Lelas V, Mededovic Thagard S. The effect of high intensity ultrasound treatment on the amount of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in milk. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*. 2012;50(1):46.
 97. Muramatsu Y, Tagawa A, Sakaguchi E, Kasai T. Water absorption characteristics and volume changes of milled and brown rice during soaking. *Cereal Chemistry*. 2006;83(6):24-631.
 98. Cui L, Pan Z, Yue T, Atungulu GG, Berrios J. Effect of ultrasonic treatment of brown rice at different temperatures on cooking properties and quality. *Cereal chemistry*. 2010;87(5):403-408.
 99. Tamime AY, Robinson RK. *Quality control in yoghurt manufacture-Yoghurt*. Science and Technology; 1985.
 100. Wu H, Hulbert GJ, Mount JR. Effects of ultrasound on milk homogenization and fermentation with yogurt starter. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*. 2000;1(3):211-218.
 101. Riener J, Noci F, Cronin DA, Morgan DJ, Lyng JG. Characterisation of volatile compounds generated in milk by high intensity ultrasound. *International Dairy Journal*. 2009;19(4):269-272.
 102. Ott A, Germond JE, Baumgartner M, Chaintreau A. Aroma comparisons of traditional and mild yogurts: Headspace gas chromatography quantification of volatiles and origin of α -diketones. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 1999;47(6):2379-2385.
 103. Behrend O, Schubert H. Influence of hydrostatic pressure and gas content on continuous ultrasound emulsification.

- Ultrasonics Sonochemistry. 2001;8(3):271-276.
- Chendke PK, Fogler HS. Macrosonics in industry: 4. Chemical processing. *Ultrasonics*. 1975;13(1):31-37.
104. Jafari SM, He Y, Bhandari B. Production of sub-micron emulsions by ultrasound and microfluidization techniques. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2007;82(4):478-488.
105. OConnell JE, Flynn C. The manufacture and applications of casein-derived ingredients. *Handbook of food products manufacturing*. 2007:557-591
106. Foegeding EA, Davis JP. Food protein functionality: A comprehensive approach. *Food Hydrocolloids*. 2011;25(8):1853-1864.
107. OSullivan J, Arellan M, Pichot R, Norton I. The effect of ultrasound treatment on the structural, physical and emulsifying properties of dairy proteins. *Food Hydrocolloids*. 2014;42:386-396.
108. OSullivan J, Murray B, Flynn C, Norton I. The effect of ultrasound treatment on the structural, physical and emulsifying properties of animal and vegetable proteins. *Food Hydrocolloids*; 2015.
109. OBrien WD. Ultrasound–biophysics mechanisms. *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology*. 2007;93(1):212-255.
110. Chemat F, Grondin I, Costes P, Moutoussamy L, Sing ASC, Smadja J. High power ultrasound effects on lipid oxidation of refined sunflower oil. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 2004;11(5):281-285.
111. Bommannan D, Okuyama H, Stauffer P, Guy RH. Sonophoresis I The use of high-frequency ultrasound to enhance transdermal drug delivery. *Pharmaceutical research*. 1992;9(4):559-564.
112. Jomdecha C, Prateepasen P. The research of low-ultrasonic affects to yeast growth in fermentation process. *Proceedings of the 12th Asia-Pacific Conference on Nondestructive Testing*; 2006.
113. Huang BX, Zhou WB. Ultrasound aided yogurt fermentation with probiotics. *NUROP Congress, Singapore*; 2009.
114. Gallego-Juárez JA. High-power ultrasonic processing: Recent developments and prospective advances. *Physics Procedia*. 2010;3(1):35-47.
115. Khmelev VN, Shalunov AV, Barsukov RV, Khmelev MV, Romashkin AA, Galakhov AN. The development of the equipment for ultrasonic defoaming for industrial application. *International Conference and Seminar of Young Specialists on Micro/Nanotechnologies and Electron Devices*; 2012.
116. Schneider Y, Zahn S, Schindler C, Rohm H. Ultrasonic excitation affects friction interactions between food materials and cutting tools. *Ultrasonics*. 2009;49(6):588-593.
117. Schneider Y, Zahn S, Linke L. Qualitative process evaluation for ultrasonic cutting of food. *Engineering in Life Sciences*. 2002;2(6):153-157
118. Zahn S, Schneider Y, Rohm H. Ultrasonic cutting of foods: Effects of excitation magnitude and cutting velocity on the reduction of cutting work. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*. 2006;7(4):288-293.
119. Brown T, James SJ, Purnell GL. Cutting forces in foods: Experimental measurements. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2005;70(2):165-170.
120. Arnold G, Leiteritz L, Zahn S, Rohm H. Ultrasonic cutting of cheese: Composition affects cutting work reduction and energy demand. *International Dairy Journal*. 2009;19(5):314-320.
121. Zahn S, Schneider Y, Zücker G, Rohm H. Impact of excitation and material parameters on the efficiency of ultrasonic cutting of bakery products. *Journal of Food Science*. 2005;70(9):E510-E513.
122. Szent-Györgyi A. Chemical and biological effects of ultra-sonic radiation. *Nature*. 1933;131:278.
123. Grönroos A, Pirkonen P, Ruppert O. Ultrasonic depolymerization of aqueous carboxy-methylcellulose. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 2004;11(1):9-12.
124. Prajapat AL, Gogate PR. Depolymerization of guar gum solution using different approaches based on ultrasound and microwave irradiations. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*. 2015;88:1-9.
125. Jambrak AR, Lelas V, Mason TJ, Krešić G, Badanjak M. Physical properties of ultrasound treated soy proteins. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2009;93(4): 386-393.
126. Price GJ, West PJ, Smith PF. Control of polymer structure using power ultrasound. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 1994;1(1):S51-S57.

127. Stojanovic J, Silva JL. Influence of osmotic concentration, continuous high frequency ultrasound and dehydration on antioxidants, colour and chemical properties of rabbiteye blueberries. *Food Chemistry*. 2007;101(3):898-906.
128. Gallego-Juárez JA, Riera E, De la Fuente Blanco S, Rodríguez-Corral G, Acosta-Aparicio VM, Blanco A. Application of high-power ultrasound for dehydration of vegetables: Processes and devices. *Drying Technology*. 2007;25(11):1893-1901.
129. Du X, Li H, Nuerjiang M, Shi S, Kong B, Liu Q, Xia X. Application of ultrasound treatment in chicken gizzards tenderization: Effects on muscle fiber and connective tissue. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 2021;79:105786. DOI:10.1016/J.ULTSONCH.2021.105786
130. De la Fuente-Blanco S, De Sarabia ERF, Acosta-Aparicio VM, Blanco-Blanco A, Gallego-Juárez JA. Food drying process by power ultrasound. *Ultrasonics*. 2006;44:e523-e527.
131. Lee BH, Kermasha S, Baker BE. Thermal, ultrasonic and ultraviolet inactivation of *Salmonella* in thin films of aqueous media and chocolate. *Food Microbiology*. 1989;6(3):143-152.
132. Sala FJ, Burgos J, Condon S, Lopez P, Raso J. Effect of heat and ultrasound on microorganisms and enzymes. *New methods of food preservation*. 1995;176-204.
133. Guerrero S, Alzamora SM. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* thermal inactivation kinetics combined with ultrasound. *Journal of Food Protection*. 1999;62(10):1215-1217.
134. Hosseini SMH, Emam-Djomeh Z, Razavi SH, Moosavi-Movahedi AA, Saboury AA, Atri MS, Van der Meeren P. β -Lactoglobulin–sodium alginate interaction as affected by polysaccharide depolymerization using high intensity ultrasound. *Food Hydrocolloids*. 2013;32(2):235-244
135. Zuo JY, Knoerzer K, Mawson R, Kentish S, Ashokkumar M. The pasting properties of sonicated waxy rice starch suspensions. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry*. 2009;16(4):462-468.
136. Jambrak AR, Mason TJ, Lelas V, Herceg Z, Herceg IL. Effect of ultrasound treatment on solubility and foaming properties of whey protein suspensions. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2008;86(2):281-287.
137. Dřimalová E, Velebný V, Sasinková V, Hromádková Z, Ebringerová A. Degradation of hyaluronan by ultrasonication in comparison to microwave and conventional heating. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 2005;61(4):420-426.
138. Fellows PJ. *Food processing technology: Principles and practice* Elsevier; 2000.
139. Gallego-Juárez JA, Rodríguez-Corral G, Riera E. Sonoprocessing of fluids for environmental and industrial applications. *Proceedings of 20th International Congress on Acoustics, ICA*. 2010;1-4.
140. García-Pérez JV, Cárcel JA, Benedito J, Mulet A. Power ultrasound mass transfer enhancement in food drying. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*. 2007;85(3):247-254.
141. García-Pérez JV, Cárcel JA, Riera E, Mulet A. Influence of the applied acoustic energy on the drying of carrots and lemon peel. *Drying Technology*. 2009;27(2):281-287.
142. Kyllönen HM, Pirkonen P, Nystrom M. Membrane filtration enhanced by ultrasound: A review. *Desalination*. 2005;181(1):319-335.
143. Lam RS, Nickerson MT. Food proteins: A review on their emulsifying properties using a structure–function approach. *Food chemistry*. 2013;141(2):975-984.
144. Leal-Ramos MY, Alarcon-Rojo AD, Mason TJ, Paniwnyk L, Alarjah M. Ultrasound-enhanced mass transfer in halal compared with non-halal chicken. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2011;91(1):130-133.
145. McClements DJ, Gunasekaran S. Ultrasound characterization of foods and drinks: Principles, methods, and applications. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 1997;37(1):1-46.
146. Rawson FF. 14 An introduction to ultrasonic food cutting. *Ultrasound in Food Processing*. 1998;254.
147. Shackelford SD, Koohmaraie M, Miller MF, Crouse JD, Reagan JO. An evaluation of tenderness of the longissimus muscle of angus by hereford versus brahman crossbred heifers. *Journal of animal science*. 1991;69(1):171-177.
148. Siró I, Vén C, Balla C, Jónás G, Zeke I, Friedrich L. Application of an ultrasonic assisted curing technique for improving the diffusion of sodium chloride in porcine

- meat. Journal of Food Engineering. 2009; 91(2):353-362.
149. Utsunomiya Y, Kosaka Y. Application of supersonic waves to foods. Journal of the Faculty of Applied Biological Science, Hiroshima University. 1979;18: 225–231.

© 2023 Darsana and Sivakumar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105808>