Assessment of Soil Variables under Different Sesame Productivities of Northern Telangana Zone

M. Deepthi [a*], R. Sai Kumar [a], P. Ravi [b] and O. Sampath [c]

[a] *Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Polasa, Jagtial, Telangana, India.*
[b] *Department Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Regional Agriculture Research Station, Polasa, Jagtial, Telangana, India.*
[c] *Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Polasa, Jagtial, Telangana, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

A survey was carried out in major sesame-growing areas of Northern Telangana Zone in high, medium and low productivity zones of districts namely Nizamabad, Jagtial, Nirmal and Kamareddy. 50 surface soil samples (0-15 cm) were collected from each zone and a total of 150 surface soil samples were analyzed for various physical, physicochemical and chemical properties the results showed that the bulk density ranged from 1.10 to 1.56 Mg m^{-3}, from 1.20 to 1.60 Mg m^{-3} and from 1.20 to 1.63 Mg m^{-3} in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. Water holding capacity ranged from 30.00 to 56.80%, from 20.80 to 56.50% and from 19.60 to 51.70% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. pH ranged from 7.11 to 7.82, from 6.45 to 8.51 and from 6.81 to 7.46 in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. EC ranged from 0.32 to 0.46 d Sm^{-1}, from 0.32 to 0.56 d Sm^{-1} and from 0.12 to 0.56 d Sm^{-1} in high, medium and low

_____

Corresponding author: E-mail: mathangideepthi@gmail.com;

productivity zones respectively. Organic carbon ranged from 0.48 to 1.08%, from 0.23 to 0.72% and from 0.21 to 0.64% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. Available nitrogen ranged from 176.20 to 279.70 kg ha^{-1}, from 116.50 to 261.50 kg ha^{-1} and from 76.40 to 139.80 kg ha^{-1} in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. Available phosphorus ranged from 13.41 to 82.33 kg ha^{-1}, from 11.81 to 64.71 kg ha^{-1} and from 19.98 to 49.06 kg ha^{-1} in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. Available potassium ranged from 213.00 to 562.00 kg ha^{-1}, from 126.25 to 585.00 kg ha^{-1} and from 182.50 to 562.50 kg ha^{-1} in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil is an important natural resource of the earth, because of which survival of almost all living organisms is possible on earth. For the soil to be more productive, fertility status is the major constraint. Hence, soil fertility status needs to be assessed to know the quality of the soil. Based on the soil quality, fertilizer application need to be improved.

The major oilseed crop sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is indigenous to India. It is primarily restricted to tropical and subtropical locations and is valuable for its high-quality edible oil and seed, which are used directly in confectionary. Sesame is grown in areas with rainfall ranging from 625 to 1100 mm and temperatures above 27°C [1]. Sesame is known as "Queen of oilseeds", because of its high oil and protein content [2]. Sesame maintains a prominent role in world trade since its significance is widely acknowledged. Additionally, the rise in sesame oil consumption over the past ten years is evidence of the crop's significance on a global scale.

In India, 2021-22 sesame is being grown over an area of 16.27 lakh hectares with production of 7.89 lakh tons and productivity of 485 kg ha^{-1} [3]. The cultivated area under sesame in Telangana state was 57,485 acres and production of 1,48,310 Lakh tons with a productivity of 645 kg ha^{-1} [4]. The sesame production is concentrated in Northern Telangana Zone and the area under sesame cultivation is higher (50,000 acres) compared to other districts of Telangana State. Soil fertility status is decreasing day by day and thereby, productivity of crops is also decreasing. The main reason for the low productivity of sesame is the use of low-yielding varieties, poor soil fertility and imbalanced nutrition [5]. In India, the nutrient status of soil is decreasing continuously because of extensive agricultural practices [6]. Though soil fertility status of some districts like Karimnagar, Adilabad is already available for various crops, information on sesame crop is meagre. Hence, present study was carried out to give detailed and updated information about the fertility status in major sesame-growing areas of Northern Telangana Zone.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area and Soil Sample Collection

Major sesame growing areas of Northern Telangana Zone namely Nizamabad, Jagtial, Nirmal and Kamareddy were divided into high, medium and low productivity zones based on the past five years yield data collected from farmers. 50 samples from each productivity zone and total of 150 surface (0-15 cm) soil samples were collected.

2.2 Laboratory Analysis

The collected soil samples were analyzed for different physical, physicochemical, chemical and biological properties. Sand, silt and clay percentages were analyzed by using bouycous hydrometer method [7]. Bulk density was analyzed by using the core sampler method [8]. Water holding capacity of soil was determined by using Keen cup (Keen Rhoezwoski,1905).

Soil pH and EC were analyzed by using pH meter and EC meter with 1:2 and 1:2.5 soil water suspensions respectively. Soil organic carbon was determined by using Walkley and Black method [9].

Available nitrogen was analyzed by alkaline permanganate method [10]. Available phosphorus was analyzed by using sodium bicarbonate method [11]. Available potassium was analyzed by using neutral normal ammonium acetate method [12].

Fig. 1. Geographical location of soil sample sites under sesame growing areas of Northern Telangana Zone

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Texture

Soil samples were analyzed for sand, silt and clay percentages and it was observed that sand ranges are from 10.00 to 82.00% with a mean value of 52.36 and CV 29.27%, from 28.00 to 88.00% with a mean value of 62.76 and CV 23.55% and from 14.00 to 84.00% with a mean value of 55.15 and CV 27.76% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

Silt ranges from 4.00 to 61.30% with a mean value of 20.10 and CV 56.26%, from 0.50 to 54.50% with a mean value of 15.00 and CV 94.93% and from 0.20 to 54.00% with a mean value of 17.06 and CV 72.09%% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of soil variables used for soil quality assessment in HIGH sesame productivity zone

Parameters	Min	Max	Mean	Standard deviation	CV (%)	Skewness
% Sand	10.00	82.00	52.36	15.33	29.27	-0.16
% Silt	4.00	61.30	20.10	11.31	56.26	1.18
% Clay	8.60	49.00	27.48	9.88	35.95	-0.19
Bulk Density (g cm^3)	1.10	1.56	1.32	0.10	7.57	0.33
WHC (%)	30.00	56.80	46.02	6.35	13.79	-0.30
pH (1:2)	7.11	7.82	7.26	0.17	2.34	1.86
EC (1:2) (dSm^{-1})	0.32	0.46	0.47	0.13	27.65	0.75
OC (%)	0.48	1.08	0.71	0.13	18.30	0.91
Available N (kg ha^{-1})	176.20	279.70	218.59	26.79	12.25	0.02
Available P (kg ha^{-1})	13.41	82.33	41.50	15.53	37.42	0.81
Available K (kg ha^{-1})	213.00	562.00	411.86	83.85	20.35	-0.76

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of soil variables used for soil quality assessment in medium sesame productivity zone

Parameters	Min	Max	Mean	Standard deviation	CV (%)	Skewness
% Sand	28.00	88.00	62.76	14.78	23.55	-0.34
% Silt	0.50	54.50	15.00	14.24	94.93	1.78
% Clay	3.00	60.50	22.25	10.11	45.43	0.72
Bulk Density (g cm^3)	1.20	1.60	1.41	0.10	7.09	0.27
WHC (%)	20.80	56.50	41.06	9.17	22.33	-0.36
pH (1:2)	6.45	8.51	7.39	0.56	7.57	0.59
EC (1:2) (dSm^{-1})	0.32	0.56	0.41	0.07	17.07	0.67
OC (%)	0.23	0.72	0.50	0.14	28.00	-0.20
Available N (kg ha^{-1})	116.50	261.50	154.19	31.70	20.55	1.71
Available P (kg ha^{-1})	11.81	64.71	39.38	15.66	39.76	-0.06
Available K (kg ha^{-1})	126.25	585.00	332.53	100.84	30.32	0.22

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of soil variables used for soil quality assessment in LOW sesame productivity zone

Variable	Min	Max	Mean	Standard deviation	CV (%)	Skewness
% Sand	14.00	84.00	55.15	15.31	27.76	-0.85
% Silt	0.20	54.00	17.06	12.30	72.09	1.29
% Clay	15.80	43.00	27.85	6.16	22.11	0.06
Bulk Density (g cm^3)	1.20	1.63	1.43	0.12	8.39	-0.05
WHC (%)	19.60	51.70	40.16	7.02	17.48	-1.02
pH (1:2)	6.81	7.46	7.15	0.15	2.09	-0.80
EC (1:2) (dSm^{-1})	0.12	0.56	0.34	0.10	29.41	-0.43
OC (%)	0.21	0.64	0.42	0.11	26.19	-0.13
Available N (kg ha^{-1})	76.40	139.80	97.19	13.82	14.21	0.82
Available P (kg ha^{-1})	19.98	49.06	26.88	5.48	20.38	2.19
Available K (kg ha^{-1})	182.50	562.50	300.50	88.79	29.54	0.82

Clay ranges from 8.60 to 49.00% with a mean value of 27.48 and CV 35.95%, from 3.00 to 60.50% with a mean value of 22.25 and CV 45.43% and from 15.80 to 43.00% with a mean value of 27.85 and CV 22.11% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

Soil texture is a permanent physical property of soil that influences many functions of soil like nutrient and water uptake [13]. Variations in the soil texture might be due to the difference in nature and composition of the parent material. Due to the occurrence of a wide range of soil texture in the study area, it can be a substantial source of soil diversity.

3.2 Bulk Density (g cm^{-3})

Collected soil samples were analyzed for bulk density. It was observed that the bulk density ranges from 1.10 to 1.56 g cm^{-3} with a mean value of 1.32 and CV 7.57%, from 1.20 to 1.60 g cm^{-3} with a mean value of 1.41 and CV 7.09% and from 1.20 to 1.63 g cm^{-3} with a mean value of 1.43 and CV 8.39% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

Bulk density is used for judging soil quality [14]. The low productivity zone has significantly greater bulk density over the high and medium productivity zones, which restricts root growth and affects the transport of nutrients in the soil. This is in line with Liu et al. [15]. The incorporation of organic nutrient sources led to low bulk density in the high production zone. This agrees with the findings of Kathiresan [16].

3.3 Water Holding Capacity (%)

Soil samples were analyzed for water holding capacity and it was observed that the water holding capacity ranges from 30.00 to 56.80% with a mean value of 46.02 and CV 13.79%, from 20.80 to 56.50% with a mean value of 41.06 and CV 22.33% and from 19.60 to 51.70% with a mean value of 40.16 and CV 17.48% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

The results demonstrated that significantly higher water holding capacity was found in higher sesame productivity zone soils compared to medium and low sesame growing areas of Northern Telangana zone, which may be due to organic matter addition, resulted in improved physical condition of soil and helps in maintaining better aeration for good seed germination and root growth of the crops [17]. This is in accordance with Paul et al. [18] findings.

3.4 Soil Reaction

pH was determined for the samples and the results shown that the pH ranges from 7.11 to 7.82 with a mean of 7.26 and CV 2.34%, from 6.45 to 8.51 with a mean value of 7.39 and CV 7.57% and from 6.81 to 7.46 with a mean value of 7.15 and CV 2.09% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively. This shows that the soils are alkaline in nature.

Mean soil pH values were higher in high and medium sesame productivity zones than in low sesame productivity zones, possibly due to less base leaching and the moderating impact of organic matter, which reduces the activity of exchangeable Al^{3+} ions in soil solution via chelation and the production of alumino-phosphate complexes [19]. This is supported by the previous findings of Prasad et al. [20].

3.5 Electric Conductivity (d Sm^{-1})

Electric conductivity was determined for the soil samples and the results shown that the EC ranges from 0.32 to 0.46 d Sm^{-1} with a mean value of 0.47 and CV 27.65%, from 0.32 to 0.56 d Sm^{-1} with a mean value of 0.41 and CV 17.07% and from 0.12 to 0.56 d Sm^{-1} with a mean value of 0.34 and CV 29.41% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

The study revealed that none of the sesame growing soils have a salinity problem. Electrical conductivity values that were within the normal range could be attributed to salt leaching to lower horizons of soil due to light texture. As stated by Richard [21], an EC value of less than 0.80 dSm^{-1} is considered normal and suitable for all crops, and the EC varies in this study from 0.12 to 0.56 dSm^{-1}, which is considered relatively safe for sesame cultivation.

3.6 Organic Carbon (%)

Soil organic carbon was analyzed and the results shown that the organic carbon ranges from 0.48 to 1.08% with a mean value of 0.71 and CV 18.30%, from 0.23 to 0.72% with a mean value of 0.50 and CV 28.00% and from 0.21 to 0.64% with a mean value of 0.42 and CV 26.19% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

The low organic carbon content in most soils can be attributed to the prevalence of semi-arid conditions, where organic matter degrades at a faster rate, combined with little or no addition of organic manures and low vegetation cover on the fields, resulting in less likelihood of organic carbon accumulation in these soils. The similar results were also reported by Vilakar et al. [2].

3.7 Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})

Soil samples were analyzed for available nitrogen and findings are that it ranges from 176.20 to 279.70 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 218.59 and CV 12.25%, from 116.50 to 261.50 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 154.19 and CV 20.55% and from 76.40 to 139.80 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 97.19 and CV 14.21% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

The low variability and nitrogen content are accounted for by leaching of dissolved organic nutrient and inorganic nitrogen [22]. Moharana et al. [23] recorded low available nitrogen in soil of western plain of Rajasthan, India.

3.8 Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})

Soil samples were analyzed for available phosphorus and findings are that it ranges from 13.41 to 82.33 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 41.50 and CV 37.42%, from 11.81 to 64.71 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 39.38 and CV 39.76% and from 19.98 to 49.06 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 26.88 and CV 20.38% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

Comparatively higher soil available phosphorus content in high sesame productivity zones might be due to prolonged consumption of P fertilizer without testing the soil [24]. Another reason for the increase in phosphorus content in the rhizosphere was the fixation of P with Ca [25]. The lower values of available phosphorus in the low sesame productivity zone might be due to its continuous removal without matching the application of phosphorus-containing fertilizers as well as organic manures. Similar results have also been reported by Seevagan et al. [26] and Bhagwan et al. [27].

3.9 Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})

Soil samples were analyzed for available potassium and findings are that it ranges from 213.00 to 562.00 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 411.86 and CV 20.35%, from 126.25 to 585.00 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 332.53 and CV 30.32% and from 182.50 to 562.50 kg ha^{-1} with a mean value of 300.50 and CV 29.54% in high, medium and low productivity zones respectively.

With increase in application of FYM, available potassium content increases, because potassium fixation in soil decreases due to the interaction of organic matter with clay content [28]. Vilakar et al. [2] also reported similar results in sesame growing soils of Northern Telangana zone [29].

4. CONCLUSION

Study revealed that the soil samples analyzed were alkaline and non saline in nature in all three zones. Most of the soils are sandy clay loam. Available nitrogen content is low in all three zones (<280 kg ha^{-1}), comparitively higher in high productivity zone than medium and low productivity zones. Nitrogen fertilizers need to be applied in all the three zones. All the physical, physico-chemical and chemical properties are higher in high productivity zone except bulk density which is higher in low productivity zone which hinders the root growth and thereby decreasing the yield of the crop. On a whole, this study suggests that application of recommended dosage of fertilizers is mandatory in medium and low productivity zones.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Amit K, Seema Sharma, Bikramjit Singh. Yield gap analysis, economics and adoption of sesame cultivation through front line demonstration in Pathankot district of Punjab, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(09):1536-1544.
2. Vilakar K, Sharma SHK, Ravi P, Rao PM, Revathi P. Soil fertility status of sesame growing soils of Northern Telangana zone; 2021.
3. Indiastat. (2021-22). Agriculture Production. Available:https://www.indiastat.com).
4. Agriculture Action plan. Department of Agriculture, Government of Telangana; 2021-22.
5. Ranganatha ARG. Improved technology for maximizing production of sesame. AICRP on sesame and Niger, ICAR, JNKVV Campus, Jabalpur. 2013;1-17.
6. Sathyanarayana E, Padmaja G, Saranya S, Bharghavi J, Santhosh M, Kumar MR, Veeranna J, Sunita K. Soil fertility status of soybean growing soils of Adilabad district, Telangana; 2021.
7. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. The University of Adelaide: Adelaide, Australia; 1950.
8. Black GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. In methods of soil structure and migration of colloidal materials soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2011;26:297-300.
9. Walkley A, Black LA. Estimation of organic carbon by chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37:29-38
10. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current science, 1956; 15:656-659.
11. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular. 1954;939.
12. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited., New Delhi; 1973.
13. Nabiollahi K, Heshmat E, Mosavi A, Kerry R, Zeraatpisheh M, Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi R. Assessing the influence of soil quality on rainfed wheat yield. Agriculture. 2020; 10(10):469.
14. Bhardwaj AK, Jasrotia P, Hamiltona SK, Robertson GP. Ecological management of intensively cropped agro-ecosystems improves soil quality with sustained productivity. Agr Ecosyst Environ, 2011; 140:419–429.
15. Liu Z, Zhou W, Lv J, He P, Liang G, Jin H. A simple evaluation of soil quality of waterlogged purple paddy soils with different productivities. Plos One. 2015;10(5):e0127690.
16. Kathiresan R. Diversification of rice-based farming system to improve farm productivity and livelihood: A case of Tamilnadu in India. Rice Technological Innovation and Value Chain Development in South Asia: Current Status and Future Directions: SAARC Agriculture Centre, 2018;132.

17. Shah TI, Shah AM, Bangroo SA, Sharma MP, Aezum AM, Kirmani NA, Lone AH, Jeelani MI, Rai AP, Wani FJ, Bhat MI. Soil quality index as affected by integrated nutrient management in the himalayan foothills. Agronomy. 1870;12(8).

18. Paul J, Choudhary AK, Suri V, Sharma A, Kumar V, Shobhna. Bioresource nutrient recycling and its relationship with biofertility indicators of soil health and nutrient dynamics in rice–wheat cropping system. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2014;45(7):912-924.

19. Hue NV. Correcting soil acidity of a highly weathered Ultisols with chicken manure and sewage sludge. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 1992;23:241-264.

20. Prasad V, Yadav M, Rundan V, Geetha GP, Mounika V, Vyas R. Assessment of soil quality of selected districts of Kaleshwaram Project Command Area of Telangana State, India. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):646-659.

21. Richard LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. Agriculture Handbook 60, US Department of Agriculture, Washington DC. 1954;160.

22. Verma RR, Srivastava TK, Singh P, Manjunath BL, Kumar A. Spatial mapping of soil properties in Konkan region of India experiencing anthropogenic onslaught. Plos One. 2021;16(2):e0247177.

23. Moharana PC, Jena RK, Pradhan UK, Nogiya M, Tailor BL, Singh RS, Singh SK. Geostatistical and fuzzy clustering approach for delineation of site-specific management zones and yield-limiting factors in irrigated hot arid environment of India. Precision Agriculture, 2020;21:426-448.

24. Sathish A, Ramachandrappa BK, Devarajappa K, Savitha MS, Gowda MNT, Prashanth KM. Assessment of Spatial variability in fertility status and nutrient recommendation in Alantha cluster villages, Ramanagara district, Karnataka using GIS. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 2018;66(2):149-157

25. Rajeshwar M, Mani S. Nutrients status in the surface and subsurface soils of dryland Agricultural Research Station at Chettinad in Sivaganga district of Tamil Nadu. An Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2014;9(2):169-175.

26. Seevagan L, Kaleeswari RK, Backiyavathy MR, Balachandar D, Amirtham D. Assesment of soil physico-chemical quality indicators in rice soils of Cuddalore district of Tamil Nadu, India. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(4):995-1001.

27. Bhagwan VPV, Theerthala AA, Devi UDMU, Neelima TLTL, Chary DS. Delineation and evaluation of management zones for site specific nutrient management in maize tracts of northern telangana using geostatistical and fuzzy C mean cluster approach; 2023

28. Urkurkar JS, Alok T, Shrikant C, Bajpai RK. Influence of long-term use of inorganic and organic manures on soil fertility and sustainable productivity of rice (Oryza sativa) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in inceptisols. Indian J Agric Sci. 2010;80:3 208–212.

29. Bandyopadhyay P, Saha S, Mallick S. Comparison of soil physical properties between a permanent fallow and a long-term rice–Wheat cropping with inorganic and organic inputs in the humid subtropics of eastern India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2020;42(4):435-44.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104188