An and the second secon

Asian Research Journal of Mathematics

Volume 19, Issue 9, Page 148-157, 2023; Article no.ARJOM.103159 ISSN: 2456-477X

Some Fixed Point Theorems in e- Complete E-Metric Space

K. Manoj ^{a*} and R. Jahir Hussain ^a

^a PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous) (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author KM designed the study, performed the theoretical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author RJH managed the analyses of the study and managed the theoretical reviews. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2023/v19i9707

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103159

Received: 12/05/2023 Accepted: 15/07/2023 Published: 19/07/2023

Original Research Article

Abstract

In this study, we present fixed-point results for various contractions in E- Metric Space along with several corollaries. Finding a fixed point result in E- Metric Space can also be done via implicit relations. In the investigation of our primary result stands, all the theorems will be in non-solid cone and we cannot assume interior point is non-empty.

Keywords: E-metric space; e-complete; non-solid cones; semi-interior points.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: manojguru542@gmail.com;

Asian Res. J. Math., vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 148-157, 2023

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of Cone metric space introduced by Huang and Zhang [1] replacing the real numbers by an ordered Banach space, also they discovered fixed point theorems in cone metric space using contraction mapping. In 2008, Rezapour and Hamlbarani [2] redefined fixed point result without assumptions of normality of cone in Banach space. Al-Rawashdeh et.al. [3] introduced the concept of E- metric space in 2012. TVS-valued cone Banach space were defined by Mehmood et al.[4] in 2014.

Typically, the Banach space E was taken into account with a defined order in relation to the positive solid cone E^+ of E, i.e., by assuming that interior of E^+ is not empty. The non-solid cones were just briefly mentioned in a few results [5, 6]. When non-solid cones are involved, [6] took into account the quasi interior points of P rather than interior points.

In 2017, Polyrakis [7] introduced the notions of semi-interior points and see some other article related to cone and E- metric space [8, 9, 6]. Mehmood et al. [10] generalized the Banach,Kannan and Chatterjea contractions in the setting of E- metric space via non-solid cones. some other reference related to fixed point theorems see [11, 12].

In this article, we develope Ciric type and some other different kinds of contraction in the setting of E- metric space. Also finally define some implicit relation in the setting of E- metric space.

A normed space **E** with $\|\cdot\|$, its ordered by the positive cone \mathbf{E}^+ , then $u, v \in \mathbf{E}$, such that $u \preceq v$ iff $v - u \in \mathbf{E}^+$.

Definition 1.1. [3] An ordered space E is a vector space over \mathbb{R} with a partial order relation \preceq such that

 $\begin{array}{lll} (OS_1) & \forall u, v & \& \ z \in \mathsf{E}, if \ u \preceq v \implies u + z \preceq v + z \,, \\ (OS_2) & \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^+ & \& \ u \in \mathsf{E}, u \succeq \mathbf{0}_{\mathsf{E}}, vu \succeq \mathbf{0}_{\mathsf{E}} \,. \end{array}$

Likewise, if E contains a norm, it is referred to as a normed ordered space.

Definition 1.2. A normed ordered space X 's positive cone E^+ is known as:

1. Normal, $\exists N > 0 \ni$

$$0 \le u \le v \implies ||u|| \le N ||v||$$

 $\forall u, v \in X$.

- 2. Solid, then E^+ has non-empty interior,
- 3 Reflexive, if and only if $\mathbf{E}^+ \cap U$ is weakly compact, where U is the unit ball in X,
- 4 Strongly reflexive, if and only if $E^+ \cap U$ is compact.

In the above definition, reflexive and strongly reflexive cones can be defined in [6].

Definition 1.3. [3] Given that E is an ordered space over real scalars, let X be a nonempty set. For all u, v and z in X, an ordered E-metric on X, denoted by the E- valued function $p^{E}: X \times X \to E$, we have

(e₁) $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) \ge 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ and $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) = 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ if and only if u = v,

$$(e_2) \quad p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,u)$$

(e₃) $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) \preceq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,z) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(z,v)$.

Then the tuple $(\mathbf{X}, p^{\mathbf{E}})$ is identified as **E**-metric space.

The definition that follows presumes that int (E^+) is not empty.

Definition 1.4. [10] Consider the E- metric space of an normed ordered space E and (u_n) in X is called convergent to $u \in X$ then, $\forall c \in int (E^+), \exists$ a natural number \mathbb{N} ,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u_{n},u\right)\ll c$$

 $\forall n \ge \mathbb{N},$

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u,$

or $u_n \to u$. The sequence (u_n) is called Cauchy, $\forall c \in \text{int } (\mathbf{E}^+), \exists$ a natural number N_1 such that

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u_n, u_m\right) \ll c$$

 $\forall n, m \leq N_1$

Definition 1.5. [7] Let E be a normed space with orders determined by the positive cone E^+ . We will represent the zero of E by the symbol 0_E . Let $U = \{u \in E \ni ||u|| \le 1\}$ represent the closed unit ball of E, and by U^+ we refer to the positive portion of the unit ball formed by the set

$$U^+ = U \cap \mathbf{E}^+.$$

Definition 1.6. [7] A point $u_0 \in \mathbf{E}^+$ is a semi-interior point of \mathbf{E}^+ if $\exists \rho > 0$ such that

$$u_0 - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathbf{E}^+$$

Clearly any interior point of E^+ is semi-interior point. The Collection of all semi-interior points of E^+ as indicated by $(E^+)^{\ominus}$,

$$\forall u, v \in \mathbf{E}^+, u \lll v \iff v - u \in (\mathbf{E}^+)^{\lhd}$$

Example 1.1. [7] Let X_n be the space of \mathbb{R}^2 ordered by the pointwise ordering and with norm $||u_n||_n$ having unit ball. The polygon D_n of \mathbb{R}^2 with vertices (1,0), (0,1), (-n,n), (-1,0), (0,-1), (n,-n). The norm is given by

$$\|(u,v)\|_n = \begin{cases} |u| + |v|, & \text{if } uv \ge 0\\ \max\{|u|, |v|\} - \frac{n-1}{n} \min\{|u|, |v|\}, & \text{if } uv < 0 \end{cases}$$

Suppose that \mathbf{E} is the space of all the sequences $(u_n) \ni u_n = (u_1^n, u_2^n) \in \mathbf{u}_n$ with $||u_n||_n \leq \gamma(u) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma > 0$, depends on u. Assume that \mathbf{E} is ordered by cone $\mathbf{E}^+ = \{u = (u_n) \in \mathbf{X} : u_n \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \text{ for any } n\}$ and equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_n.$$

Also, suppose that $X = E^+ - E^+$ is the subspace of E generated by E^+ and ordered by E^+ . Let $1 = (v_n)$, where $v_n = (1, 1)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then 1 is not an interior point of E^+ . For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v = (v_n) \in X \ni$,

$$v = (v_n) = \begin{cases} \zeta_n = (-2, 2), & \text{for } n = m \\ v_n = (0, 0), & \text{for } n \neq m \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show $||v||_{\infty} = \frac{2}{m}$ and $1 + v \notin E^+$, even though any $u \in E^+$ is not an interior point of E^+ , therefore E^+ has an empty interior. The positive part of closed unit ball is

$$U^{+} = \left\{ u \in \mathbf{E}^{+} : \|u\|_{\infty} \le 1 \right\}.$$

For any $u = (u_n) \in U^+$ it is easy to see that $||u_n||_n = u_n^1 + u_n^2 \leq 1$,

$$1 - U^+ \subseteq \mathbf{E}^+$$

and 1 is a semi-interior point of E^+ . Also the space X is not complete using Proposition 2.4 of [7].

Example 1.2. (In Example 2.7 of [7] and Example 5.9 of [8]) it has been shown that a strong reflexive cone \mathbf{E}^+ of $L_1([0,1])$ exists which generate a dense subspace \mathbf{X} of $L_1([0,1])$, i.e.,

$$X = E^+ - E^+$$

and

$$L_1([0,1]) = \bar{\mathtt{X}}.$$

Let $V = co\left(\left(B^+(0,1)\right) \cup \left(-B^+(0,1)\right)\right)$ and E_1^+ be a set of positive elements of $L_1([0,1])$ generated by the set $\Omega = 3v + V$, where $v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{k-1} e_k$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, where $\{e_i\}$ is the set of standard normalized basis. It has been shown in [7], that the cone E^+ has empty interior but has semi-interior points.

Hence every interior point of the cone E^+ is the semi-interior point if int (E^+) is nonempty.

Proposition 1.1. [7] Any point that is semi-interior to a closed cone E^+ in a complete ordered normed space E is also an interior point of E^+ .

Definition 1.7. [10] Assume that $(E^+)^{\ominus}$ is non-empty and E is the ordered normed space with (X, p^E) be an E- metric space. For any $(u_n) \in X$ and $u \in X$, then

- (i) $(u_n)e$ -converges to u when for every $e \gg 0_E$, \exists a natural number $u_n \stackrel{e}{\to} u$
- (ii) (u_n) is an *e*-Cauchy sequence when for every $e \gg 0_E$, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N} \ni p^{\mathbb{E}}(u_n, u_m) \ll e$ for all $n, m \ge k$.
- (iii) $(\mathbf{X}, p^{\mathbf{E}})$ is *e*-complete if every *e*-Cauchy sequence is *e*-convergent.

Theorem 1.3. [10] Let $G : X \to X$ be a mapping and (X, p^E) be an e-complete E-metric space with positive closed cone E^+ satisfying

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v)$$

 $\forall u, v \in X$ and $a \in [0, 1)$. Then G has a unique fixed point in X and for each $u \in X$, the sequence of iteration $(\mathbf{G}^{n}u)_{n>0}$ converges to a fixed-point of G.

Corollary 1.4. [10] Consider an e-complete \mathbf{E} -metric space $(\mathbf{X}, p^{\mathbf{E}})$ with positive closed cone $\mathbf{E}^+ \ni (\mathbf{E}^+)^{\ominus} \neq \varphi$. For $e \gg 0_{\mathbf{E}}, u_0 \in \mathbf{X}$, set $B(u_0, e) = \{ u \in \mathbf{X} : p^{\mathbf{E}}(u, v) \leq e \}$ and $\mathbf{G} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$ be a mapping such that

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v)$$

 $\forall u, v \in B(u_0, e)$, where $a \in [0, 1)$ and $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_0, \mathsf{G}u_0) \preceq (1 - a)e$. Then **G** has a unique fixed point in $B(u_0, e)$.

Corollary 1.5. [10] Let $(\mathbf{X}, p^{\mathbf{E}})$ be an e- complete \mathbf{E} -metric space with positive closed cone \mathbf{E}^+ such that $(\mathbf{E}^+)^{\ominus} \neq \varphi$. Let for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the mapping $\mathbf{G}: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$ satisfies

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{n}}u,\mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{n}}v) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v)$$

for all $u, v \in X$, where $a \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then G has a unique fixed point in X.

Theorem 1.6. [10] Let (X, p^E) be an e- complete E-metric space with positive closed cone E^+ such that $(E^+)^{\ominus} \neq \varphi$. A mapping $G: X \to X$ satisfies

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq \theta \left[p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,u) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}v,v) \right]$$

 $\forall u, v \in X \text{ and some } \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then G has a unique fixed point in X, and for each $u \in X$, $(G^n u)_{n \ge 0}$ converges to a fixed point of X.

2 Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Consider an be a normed space E and it's ordered by a closed positive cone E^+ . A function $G: X \to X$, where X is an e-complete E-metric space with positive closed cone E^+ such that $(E^+)^{\ominus} \neq \varphi$, it satisfies

$$\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u),p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v)\}-\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v),p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u)\} \leq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v)$$
(2.1)

 $\forall u, v \in X \& a \in (0,1) \text{ and } p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) \ll (1-a)\frac{\tau}{m}.$ Then $\{G^n u\}$ converges to a fixed point of G

Proof. Consider the iterative sequence

 $u_{n+1} = \mathbf{G}u_n = G^n u_0$

where $u_0 \in X$, with $u_n \neq u_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Substitute $u = u_n, v = u_{n+1}$ in equation (2.1), we get

$$\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u_n,\mathsf{G}u_{n+1}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,\mathsf{G}u_n),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},\mathsf{G}u_{n+1})\} - \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,\mathsf{G}u_{n+1}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},\mathsf{G}u_n)\} \leq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+1}) \\ \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},\mathsf{G}u_n),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+1}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_n)\} - \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_n),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_{n+1})\} \leq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+1})$$
(2.2)

Consider the LHS of equation (2.2),

 $\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},\mathsf{G}u_n),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+1}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_n)\} - \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_n),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_{n+1})\} = \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_{n+2}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+1})\} - \min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n,u_{n+2}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},u_{n+1})\}$

Suppose if $\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1})\} = p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}),$ From equation (2.2)

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1})$$

It is not possible, since $a \in (0, 1)$

$$\min\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1})\} = p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}).$$

Again for equation (2.2), we get

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1}, u_n) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}).$$

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u_n, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1})$$

$$\preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\preceq a^n p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0).$$
(2.3)

Now for n > m, consider

Now,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_n) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_{m+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}) + \dots + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$

$$\leq (a^m + a^{m+1} + \dots + a^{n-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq a^m (1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{n-m-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq a^m \left(\frac{1 - a^{n-m}}{1 - a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

For any $\tau \gg 0_{\mathsf{E}}, \exists \rho > 0$ such that $\tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^m \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \in \frac{\rho}{2} U^+$ for any $m, n \ge n_1$, therefore $\tau - \frac{a^{n-m}}{1-a} p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) - \frac{\rho}{2} U^+ \subseteq \tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_m) \preceq a^m \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \ll \tau \text{ for all } n, m \ge n_1$$

Hence (u_n) is an *e*-Cauchy sequence, since *u* is *e*-complete $\exists u \in X$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{e} u$. For a given $\tau \gg 0_E$, choose $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) \ll (1-a)\frac{\tau}{m}$ for all $n \ge n_2$. Consider for all $n \ge n_2$

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, \mathsf{G}u) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u)$$
$$= p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(Tu_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u)$$
$$\leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u)$$
$$\ll (1-a)\frac{\tau}{m} + a\frac{\tau}{m} = \frac{\tau}{m}$$

Therefore $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \ll \frac{\tau}{m}$ for any $\frac{\tau}{m} \gg 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $\frac{\tau}{m} - p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $-p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$, but $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$, therefore

$$p^{\mathrm{E}}(u,\mathrm{G}u)=0_{E}$$

Hence u = Gu. Let $v \in X$ be such that u = Gu and v = Gv, then consider

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v),$$

 $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) = 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ Hence u = v.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(\mathbf{X}, p^{\mathbf{E}})$ be an e- complete \mathbf{E} - metric space with closed positive cone \mathbf{E}^+ such that $(\mathbf{E}^+)^{\ominus} \neq \phi$. A mapping $\mathbf{G} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$ and there exist a non-negative number b_1, b_2, b_3 satisfying $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 < 1$ such that for each $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \leq b_1 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v) + b_2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u) + b_3 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v)$$

$$(2.4)$$

Then ${\tt G}$ has a unique fixed point in ${\tt X}.$

Proof. Consider $u_0 \in \mathbf{X}, u_{n+1} = \mathbf{G}u_n = \mathbf{G}^n u_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}, u_n \neq u_{n+1}$

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u_n, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1})$$

$$\leq b_1 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1}) + b_2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u_n) + b_3 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1})$$

$$= b_1 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_n) + b_2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}) + b_3 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1})$$

$$\leq b_2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n) + b_2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) + b_3 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1})$$

$$(1 - b_2) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq (b_2 + b_3) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$

Therfore,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq \left(\frac{b_2 + b_3}{1 - b_2}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$
 (2.5)

Here $s = \frac{b_2 + b_3}{1 - b_2} < 1$ and From equation (2.5) Continuing the above process, we get

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq s^n p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_0, u_1)$$
 (2.6)

For n > m,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_n) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_{m+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}) + \dots + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$

$$\leq (s^m + s^{m+1} + \dots + s^{n-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq s^m (1 + s + s^2 + \dots + s^{n-m-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq s^m \left(\frac{1 - s^{n-m}}{1 - s}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

153

for n > m, consider

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m}, u_{n}) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m}, u_{m+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}) + \dots + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_{n})$$

$$\leq (s^{m} + s^{m+1} + \dots + s^{n-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{1}, u_{0})$$

$$\leq s^{m} (1 + s + s^{2} + \dots + s^{n-m-1}) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{1}, u_{0})$$

$$\leq s^{m} \left(\frac{1 - s^{n-m}}{1 - s}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{1}, u_{0})$$

For any $\tau \gg 0_{\mathsf{E}}, \exists \rho > 0$ such that $\tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$ and $n_3 \in \mathbb{N} \ni s^m \left(\frac{1-s^{n-m}}{1-s}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \in \frac{\rho}{2} U^+$ for any $m, n \ge n_3$, therefore $\tau - \frac{r^{n-m}}{1-r} p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) - \frac{\rho}{2} U^+ \subseteq \tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$, hence

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_m) \preceq s^m \left(\frac{1-s^{n-m}}{1-s}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \ll \tau \text{ for all } n, m \ge n_3$$

Hence (u_n) is an *e*-Cauchy sequence, since *u* is *e*-complete $\exists u \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{e} u$. Given $\tau \gg 0_E$, choose $n_4 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) \ll (1-s)\frac{\tau}{m} \forall n \ge n_4$.

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, \mathsf{G}u) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u)$$
$$= p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(Tu_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u)$$
$$\leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) + rp^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u)$$
$$\ll (1-s)\frac{\tau}{m} + s\frac{\tau}{m} = \frac{\tau}{m}$$

Therefore $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \ll \frac{\tau}{m}, \forall \frac{\tau}{m} \gg 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{\tau}{m} - p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $-p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$, but $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \in E^+$,

$$p^{\mathtt{d}}(u, \mathtt{G}u) = 0_E$$

Hence $u = \mathbf{G}u$. Let $v \in \mathbf{X}$ be such that $u = \mathbf{G}u$ and $v = \mathbf{G}v$, then consider

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq sp^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v),$$

 $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) = 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ Hence u = v.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, p^E) be an e-complete E- Metric space with closed positive cone E^+ such that $(E^+)^{\ominus} \neq \phi$. A self mapping G of X satisfies

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq a \max\left\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u)\right\}.$$
(2.7)

For all $u, v \in X$ & $a \in (0, 1)$. Then G has a unique fixed point in X.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, p^E) be an e-complete E- Metric space with closed positive cone E^+ such that $(E^+)^{\ominus} \neq \phi$. A self mapping G of X satisfies

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \leq a \max\left\{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u)}{2}\right\}.$$
(2.8)

For all $u, v \in X$ & $a \in (0, 1)$. Then G has a unique fixed point in X.

Definition 2.1. Let Ψ be the class of all real valued continuous functions $\psi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^6 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing. Then it satisfies

For any
$$u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

If it is either $u \leq \psi\left(v, u, 0, v+u, v, \frac{u+v}{2}\right)$ or $u \leq \psi\left(v, v, u, v, u, v, \frac{u}{2}\right)$ or $u \leq \psi\left(u, v, u, u, v, v\right)$ \exists a real number 0 < a < 1 such that $u \leq av$

154

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, p^E) be an e-complete E- metric space with closed positive cone such that $(E^+)^{\ominus} \neq \phi$. A continuous self mapping G of X satisfies

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v) \preceq \psi\left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v)}{2}\right).$$
(2.9)

 $\forall u, v \in X$. Then G has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. For any $u_0 \in X$, and $n \ge 1$ such that $u_1 = \mathbf{G}u_0$ Continuing this process up to (n+1) terms, we get $u_{n+1} = \mathbf{G}u_n = \mathbf{G}^n u_0$ Consider

$$\begin{split} p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u_n, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1}) \\ &\preceq \psi \left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u_n), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u_n), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1}), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, \mathsf{G}u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, \mathsf{G}u_{n-1})}{2} \right) \\ &= \psi \left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_n), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)}{2} \right) \\ &\preceq \psi \left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1}), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}), 0, (p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1})), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)}{2} \right) \end{split}$$

From definition of 2.1

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq a p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1})$$

Similarly,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1}) \preceq a p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_{n-2})$$

Then

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq ap^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n-1}) \preceq a^2 p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_{n-2})$$

On Continuing this inequality, we get

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \preceq a^n p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_0, u_1)$$

Next for n > m,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_n) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_m, u_{m+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}) + \dots + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n-1}, u_n)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} a^k\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq a^m \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} a^k\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$\leq a^m \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$$

$$= a^m Ml$$

Where $M = \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right)$ and $l = p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0)$. Let $\tau \gg 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ be given, choose $\rho > 0 \ni \tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$ and $n_5 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^m \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \in \frac{\rho}{2} U^+$ for any $m, n \ge n_5$, $\tau - \frac{a^{n-m}}{1-a} p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) - \frac{\rho}{2} U^+ \subseteq \tau - \rho U^+ \subseteq \mathsf{E}^+$,

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_n, u_m) \preceq a^m \left(\frac{1-a^{n-m}}{1-a}\right) p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_1, u_0) \ll \tau \ \forall \ n, m \ge n_6$$

Hence (u_n) is an *e*-Cauchy sequence, since *u* is *e*-complete $\exists u \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{e} u$. For a given $\tau \gg 0_E$, choose $n_6 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, u_n) \ll (1-a)\frac{\tau}{m} \forall n \ge n_6 \ni$

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,u_{n+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n+1},\mathsf{G}u)$$

$$= p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,u_{n+1}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u_{n},\mathsf{G}u)$$

$$\leq p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,u_{n+1}) + \psi\left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},u),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},\mathsf{G}u_{n}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},\mathsf{G}u),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u_{n}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u),\frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},\mathsf{G}u_{n}) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u)}{2}\right)$$

$$= p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,u_{n+1}) + \psi\left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},u),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},\mathsf{G}u_{n}),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u_{n},\mathsf{G}u),p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u),\frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u)}{2}\right)$$

Taking $u_n \stackrel{e}{\to} u$, we get

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u,\mathsf{G}u\right) \leq 0 + \psi\left(0,0,p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u,\mathsf{G}u\right),0,p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u,\mathsf{G}u\right),\frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}\left(u,\mathsf{G}u\right)}{2}\right)$$

From the definition 2.1, we have

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) \preceq 0_{\mathsf{E}}$$

Here, $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, \mathsf{G}u) \notin \mathsf{E}^+$.

: Only possible $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, \mathsf{G}u) = 0$. Hence, $\mathsf{G}u = u$.

Suppose G has another fixed point say v = Gv, then

$$p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v) = p^{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{G}u,\mathsf{G}v)$$

$$\leq \psi\left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}u), p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v), \frac{p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,\mathsf{G}u) + p^{\mathsf{E}}(v,\mathsf{G}v)}{2}\right)$$

$$= \psi\left(p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), 0, p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), p^{\mathsf{E}}(u,v), 0, 0\right)$$
definition of 2.1, we get

From the definition of 2.1, we get

 $p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) \preceq 0_{\mathsf{E}}, -p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) \notin \mathsf{E}^{+}$ $\therefore p^{\mathsf{E}}(u, v) = 0_{\mathsf{E}}$ Hence u = v.

3 Conclusions

In the article that is being presented, we describe fixed point results with various types of contraction in an E-metric space. We also established a new implicit relation, which enables the contraction to reach a fixed point result more conveniently. The main result of my article can be extended to random cone metric space and integral type fixed point results.

Acknowledgement

In order to present my article in this manner, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the reviewer and editor. I would like to especially thank my research advisor for their invaluable insights and suggestions. Their guidance was essential in helping me to develop the research questions and to frame the argument of the article. I am also grateful to my colleagues in the Department of Mathematics for their helpful feedback on earlier drafts of the article. Their comments and suggestions helped me to improve the clarity and coherence of the argument.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- Huang LG, Zhang X. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2007;332(2):1468–1476.
- [2] Rezapour S, Hamlbarani R. Some notes on the paper Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2008;345(2):719-24.
- [3] Al-Rawashdeh A, Shatanawi W, Khandaqji M. Normed ordered and E-metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2012;272137:1-11.
- [4] Mehmood N, Azam A, Aleksic S. Topological vector-space valued cone Banach spaces. Int. J. Anal. Appl. 2014;6(2):205–19.
- [5] Kadelburg Z, Radenovic S. A note on various types of cones and fixed point results in cone metric spaces. Asian J. Math. Appl. 2013;0104:1-7.
- [6] Kunze H, La Torre D, Mendivil F, Vrscay ER. Generalized fractal transforms and self-similar objects in cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 2012;64(6):1761–1769.
- [7] Basile A, Graziano MG, Papadaki M, Polyrakis IA. Cone with semi-interior points and equilibrium. J. Math. Econ. 2017;71:366-48.
- [8] Casini E, Miglierina E, Polyrakis IA, Xanthos F. Reflexive cones. Positivity. 2013;17(3): 911–933.
- [9] Huang H. Topological properties of E-metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory. Mathematics. 2019;7(12):1222.
- [10] Mehmood N, Al Rawashdeh A, Radenovic S. New fixed point results for E-metric spaces. Positivity. 2018;23:1101–1111.
- [11] Nagoor Gani A, Mohamed Althaf M. Some results on fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Arc. 2018;9(1):66-70.
- [12] Nagoor Gani A, Mohamed Althaf M. Fixed point theorems in strong fuzzy metric spaces using generalized altering distance function. Journal of Physical Sciences. 2018;23:67-75.

© 2023 Manoj and Hussain; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103159