



Effect of Different Sources of Organic Manures on Plant Growth and Flowering Parameters of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) var. *Kashi Aman* under Bundelkhand Region

**Nitin Mukesh^a, Jay Narayan Tiwari^{b++}, Anand Sahil^a,
Sanjeev Yadav^a and Shubham Yadav^{c##*}**

^a Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agriculture Science, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi-284128 (U.P.), India.

^b Institute of Agriculture Science, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi-284128 (U.P.), India.

^c Department of Fruit Science, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110 (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92207

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100676>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/04/2023

Accepted: 13/06/2023

Published: 26/06/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Effect of different sources of organic manures on plant growth and flowering parameters of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) var. *Kashi Aman* under Bundelkhand region" was carried out at Organic Research farm at Karguan ji, Department of

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

[#] Research Scholar;

^{*} Corresponding author: E-mail: shubhamyadavq@gmail.com;

Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (Uttar Pradesh) during Rabi season of 2021- 2022. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 8 treatments and 3 replications. The detail of treatments were as T0 (Control), T1 (FYM100%), T2 (Poultry manure 100%), T3 (Vermicompost 100%), T4 (FYM 50% + Poultry manure 50%), T5 (Poultry manure 50% + Vermicompost 50%), T6 (Vermicompost 50% + F YM 50%), T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%). The data were collected from five randomly selected plants of each treatment and subjected to statistical analysis. The results reflected that among 8 treatments under study, treatment T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%) was best in all characters and recorded maximum in terms of plant height (18.607 cm at 30 DAT), (46.333 cm at 60 DAT), (75.913 cm at 90 DAT) number of branches (5.467 at 30 DAT), (12.933 at 60 DAT), (16.533 at 90 DAT) stem diameter (3.167 cm at 30 DAT), (7.233 cm at 60 DAT), (12.140 cm at 90 DAT) plant spread (2.467 cm N-S & 22.023 cm E-W at 30 DAT), (42.633cm N-S & 41.200 cm E-W at 60 DAT), (63.467 N-S & 62.300cm E-W at 90 DAT) and better plant growth of tomato promote the number of flower per clusters with the application of treatment T7 (FYM 33%+ Poultry 33%+Vermicompost 33%) which were significantly highest in terms of number of flower per cluster (18.517), days of first flowering (30.243) and days of 50% flowering (38.340), whereas similar trend was closely followed by the treatment T6 (Vermicompost 50%+FYM 50%) for these traits, while the constantly lower performance in similar growth and flowering traits at all growth stages was recorded with treatment T0 (Control). These findings are reliable for increasing organic and chemical free tomato production under Bundelkhand region.

Keywords: Tomato; FYM; vermicompost; poultry manure; bundelkhand.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Tomato is one of the most popular vegetable crops worldwide. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) belongs to family Solanaceae having chromosomenumber (2n=24). It is a self-pollinated crop and Peru- Equator region is the centre of origin. The total global area under tomato is 50.52 lakh ha and the global production is to the tune of 1868.21 lakh tones. World's largest tomato producer country China, India, Turkey, USA Egypt, Spain” (FAOSTAT, 2020).

“Tomato fruit contains 93.1g water, protein 1.9 g, fat 0.1g, carbohydrate 3.6 g, mineral matter 0.6 g, calcium 20 mg, phosphorus 36mg, iron 0.8 mg, carotene (Vit-A) 320 IU, thiamine 2.27 mg, nicotinic acid 0.4 mg, riboflavin 0.01mg and ascorbic acid 31mg per 100g of pulp of fruit. It also contains folic acid, panthothenic acid, biotin, Vit-K and inhibitors which are related to Vit-E and minerals like potassium, calcium, sodium, magnesium, phosphorus, boron, manganese, zinc, copper, iron, etc. Tomato is a rich source of vitamins, minerals, organic acids, sugars, ascorbic acids, acidity and lycopene” [1]. “Apart from these, it also contains organic acids such as citric, malic and acetic acids which are known as health acids in fresh tomato fruit. Tomato is one of the popular vegetables of great commercial value and is used in various forms of soup, ketchup, pickles, salad, sauce, chutney, powder, juice, paste, puree, whole canned fruits. Recently

studies suggest that tomatoes contain the antioxidant Lycopene, which markedly reduces the risk of prostate cancer” [2].

“Tomato is thermo-sensitive crop and fruit set is adversely affected when night temperature falls below 13°C or day temperature exceeds 30°C. The optimum temperature required for this cultivation is 15 – 27°C. Prevailing low temperature and frost injury winter are limiting factors in North India and hills to make their cultivation successful in winter and spring summer season, polyhouse is a vital solution. Due to erratic behavior of weather, the crops grown in open field are often exposed to fluctuating levels of temperature, humidity, wind flow etc. which ultimately affect the crop productivity adversely” [3].

Organic manures, such as cow dung, chicken manure, and vermin compost, enhance soil structure, aeration, and slow-release nutrients that stimulate root development and increase tomato plants' growth and output. For the growth of tomatoes, the macronutrient calcium and the micronutrients boron, manganese, molybdenum, and iron are crucial [4,5]. Many bacteria and animals, such as earthworms, use organic matter as a food source to break down the material into micronutrients that plants may readily absorb. As a source of all essential macro- and micronutrients in forms that are available during mineralization, organic manure directly

contributes to plant growth while also enhancing the physiological and physical characteristics of soils. The majority of the time, biologically active soils with sufficient organic matter provide enough of these nutrients (Singh and Kushwah, 2006). Chemical fertilisers are frequently employed in agriculture because they quickly release vital nutrients to the crops, but they can have negative effects on quality, the health of the soil, the water supply, and the environment. In addition to improving the quality of product, soil health, and environmental safety, organic manures are a great source of nutrients because they release nutrients gradually [6]. "Organic sources, the old-fashioned concept of nutrient application is the use of farm yard manure, vermicompost is a simple and effective manure, and fresh poultry manure accounts for about 5% of live bird weight. These manures are a good source of essential plant nutrients, particularly NPK, and provide good crop stand by improving the physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the soil" [7].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out at Organic Research farm at Karguan ji, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (Uttar Pradesh) during *Rabi* season of 2021- 2022. Geographically Jhansi is the district of Uttar Pradesh situated between the rivers Pahuj and Betwa at an average elevation of 285 m above mean sea level longitude 78°59'E and is situated at latitude 25°27'N at an altitude of 271.0 meters above the mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 8 treatments and 3 replications. The detail of treatments were as T0 (Control), T1 (FYM100%), T2 (Poultry manure 100%), T3 (Vermicompost 100%), T4 (FYM 50% + Poultry manure 50%), T5 (Poultry manure 50% + Vermicompost 50%), T6 (Vermicompost 50% + FYM 50%), T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%). The plot size was 2.4 x 1.8 m and spacing followed was 60 x 45 cm. The soil of the experimental field was red loamy in texture, poor nitrogen level (192 kg N/ha), comparatively medium in phosphorus (179 kg K₂O₅/ha) and poor in potash (4.1 kg P₂O₅/ha) with slightly alkali reaction. The land was brought to a fine tilth through ploughing and tillage. Irrigation channels and bunds were maintained properly. A raised nursery bed of 1.0 m x 1.0 m was prepared thoroughly. Then the seeds were sown on 15 October, 2021. The nursery beds were

maintained systematically till the seedlings were ready for transplanting. After arriving seedling to second true leaves, uniform size and healthy seedlings were selected for the transplanting into the sack to planting seedlings separately after arriving to the fourth true leaves, nursery transplanting was done into the experimental plot on 02 November, 2021. Light irrigation was given after transplanting. The organic manures were applied one week before transplanting for proper decomposition. All cultural practices were followed regularly during crop growth and observations were recorded at every 30 days interval (30, 60, 90 DAT) on growth characters i.e., Plant height (cm) was measured from the base of the plant to the tip of the longest leaf of five randomly selected plants using the metric scale, select five random plants to find Number of branches, Stem diameter (mm) of five randomly selected plants was measured in millimetre with the help of vernier calipers and plant spread (cm) of five plants at the time of flowering stage was measured using metric scale. The spread was measured from East – West and North – South direction. Flowering parameters like number of flowers per truss were counted each plot at the time of flowering, Days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering were recorded from time to time.

2.1 Statistical Analysis

The data of experiment was statistically analysed as per method given by Panse and Sukhatme [8] and results were evaluated at 5% level of significance.

The standard (SE±) for the difference of treatment means were computed as follows.

$$S. Em_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2MSE}{r}}$$

Where,

MSE = Mean Sum of Squares due to error
r = Number of replication

The calculation of C.D. at 5% of table value was carried out with the help of following formula.

$$C. D. = \sqrt{S. Em_{\pm} \times 2 \times \text{Table value at 5\%}}$$

C.D. = Critical difference

S.Em. ± = Standard error of mean.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

The data revealed that the combination of different organic manures affected growth parameter like plant height, number of branches, stem diameter and plant spread of Tomato as shown in (Table 1). The plant height at (30, 60, 90 DAT) the application of different organic manures increased the plant height significantly. The application of (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%) in plot T7 was recorded significantly higher plant height (18.60 cm, 46.33 cm, 75.91 cm) followed by (17.26cm, 44.03 cm, 74.13 cm) recorded under (Vermicompost 50% + FYM 50%) in plot T6. However, the minimum height (11.26 cm, 30.66 cm, and 64.60 cm) was noted with control plot (T0). The increased vegetative growth and balanced C/N ratio could lead to increased synthesis of carbohydrates which ultimately promoted greater yield. This opinion agrees with the result found by [9,10] in tomato. At (30, 60, 90 DAT) the maximum number of branches (5.46, 12.93, 16.53) per plant was recorded with treatment T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%) followed by treatment T6 (Vermicompost 50%+FYM 50%) with (4.66, 11.56, 15.66). The number of branches per plant also affected significantly due to different organic manures whereas, the number of branches count was significantly minimum with the control plot (3.16, 8.57, and 11.13). It is obvious that organic form proved better in number of branches. This could be attributed to a higher C/N ratio and increased plant metabolism. These results are in conformity with Abusaleha and Shanmugavelu [11] in bhindi and Mellengouda et al. (1995) in chillies. These results coincides with the result obtained by Aldalin and Alhrout [12]. At (30, 60, 90 DAT) the maximum stem diameter (3.16 mm, 723 mm, 12.14 mm) per plant was recorded with treatment T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%) which was followed by treatment T6 (Vermicompost 50% + FYM 50%) with (2.69 mm, 6.87 mm, 11.82 mm). Stem diameter per plant also affected significantly due to different organic manures whereas, the stem diameter count was significantly minimum with the treatment T0 (Control) (1.69 mm, 4.57 mm, 7.04 mm). Corroborative results were also reported by Berova et al. [13] in sweet pepper and Singh et al. [14] in tomato. At (30, 60, 90 DAT) the maximum plant spread (25.46 cm, 46.63 cm, 63.46 cm) N.S and (22.02 cm, 41.80 cm, 62.80 cm) E.W per plant was recorded with treatment

T7 (FYM 33% + Poultry 33% + Vermicompost 33%) followed by treatment T6 (Vermicompost 50% + FYM 50%) (21.33cm, 39.83cm, 57.06 cm) N.S and (20.53 cm, 39.49 cm, 56.39 cm) E.W. The plant spread per plant also affected significantly due to different organic manures whereas, the plant spread count was significantly minimum with treatment T0 (control) (15.66 cm, 31.20 cm, 49.04 cm) N.S and (11.74 cm, 29.52 cm, 48.56 cm) E.W. The improvement in the plant spread might be due to better uptake and translocation of nitrogen to the growing plants as a result of their easy availability in the treatment. The beneficial effect of organic sources on plant spread may be due to the fact that after proper decomposition and mineralization of organic and inorganic fertilizer such as vermicompost, micro and macro nutrients were made easily available to plants and also helped in solubilizing the fix form of nutrient in the available soil Hedge [15]. The findings of present study are also in agreement with the results of Purakayastha and Bhatnagar [16] in tomato.

3.2 Flowering Parameters

Experimental findings of different treatments significantly altered with all flowering parameters at all successive stage of growth and flowering. The combination of different organic manures significantly affected flowering parameters of Tomato as shown in (Table 2). The findings of the present study revealed that among the various combinations, treatment T7 attained maximum vegetative growth and resulted in enhanced flowering by producing more number of flower per truss, days of first flowering and days to 50% flowering with the application of (FYM 33%+Poultry 33%+Vermicompost 33%), which were significantly highest in terms of number of flower pre truss (18.51), days of first flowering (30.24) and days to 50% flowering (38.34) whereas similar trend was closely followed by the treatment T6 (Vermicompost 50%+FYM 50%) terms of number of flower pre truss (18.15), days of first flowering (32.23) and days to 50% flowering (39.45) whereas, the number of flower pre truss (10.07), days of first flowering (42.45) and days to 50% flowering (52.76) was significantly minimum with treatment T0 (control). These nutrient availability from combined nutrient sources which ultimately results in an improved flowering attributes and photosynthetic activities of the plants [17,18] in tomato. The results are in line with the findings of Abusaleha and Shanmugavelu [11] in bhindi, and Mehdizadeh et al. [19] in tomato.

Table 1. Effect of different sources of organic manures on plant growth of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under Bundelkhand region

Treatments No.	Treatments Combination	Plant Height (cm)			Numbers of Branches			Plant Stem Diameter (mm)			Plant spread (cm)					
		30	60	90	30	60	90	30	60	90	30 DAT		60 DAT		90 DAT	
		DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W
T0	Control	11.267	30.667	64.600	3.167	8.573	11.133	1.697	4.577	7.043	15.667	11.747	31.200	29.527	49.045	48.561
T1	FYM 100%	13.750	39.067	69.380	4.533	10.667	13.933	2.147	5.940	9.120	19.283	16.917	35.033	35.463	58.530	57.197
T2	Poultry manure 100%	13.467	36.733	69.400	4.533	11.667	14.400	2.183	6.750	10.227	19.800	18.067	33.933	35.930	56.960	55.960
T3	Vermicompost 100%	15.600	41.833	71.533	4.533	10.733	14.533	2.223	6.567	10.760	20.867	17.733	34.400	34.400	54.400	53.733
T4	FYM 50%+Poultry manure 50%	16.067	41.267	70.733	4.567	11.133	15.400	2.147	6.540	11.013	19.933	17.663	32.733	35.960	56.033	52.767
T5	Poultry manure 50%+ Vermicompost 50%	15.333	43.200	68.200	4.533	10.533	14.540	2.153	6.637	11.130	19.267	17.887	39.533	35.257	55.267	54.933
T6	Vermicompost 50% +FYM 50%	17.267	44.033	74.137	4.667	11.567	15.667	2.697	6.877	11.820	21.333	20.533	39.833	39.493	57.060	56.393
T7	FYM 33% +Poultry 33% +Vermicompost 33%	18.607	46.333	75.913	5.467	12.933	16.533	3.167	7.233	12.140	25.467	22.023	42.633	41.800	63.467	62.800
	S.E. (m) ±	0.681	0.695	2.005	0.139	0.595	0.531	0.115	0.388	0.399	0.800	0.440	1.312	0.847	0.271	0.576
	C.D. (P=0.05)	2.086	2.129	6.139	0.424	1.822	1.627	0.353	1.187	1.222	2.451	1.349	4.019	2.595	0.830	1.763

Table 2. Effect of different sources of organic manures on flowering of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under Bundelkhand region

Treatments No.	Treatments Combination	Flowering Parameters		
		Number of flowers per truss	Days to first flowering	Days to 50% flowering
T0	Control	10.070	42.453	52.767
T1	FYM 100%	15.667	34.497	40.700
T2	Poultry manure 100%	16.967	35.700	42.133
T3	Vermicompost 100%	17.150	35.940	44.150
T4	FYM 50%+Poultry manure 50%	17.227	36.727	43.133
T5	Poultry manure 50%+ Vermicompost 50%	17.667	37.803	42.560
T6	Vermicompost 50% +FYM 50%	18.150	32.237	39.457
T7	FYM 33% +Poultry 33% +Vermicompost 33%	18.517	30.243	38.340
	S.E. (m) ±	0.770	0.059	0.130
	C.D. (P=0.05)	2.358	0.182	0.397

4. CONCLUSION

Based on results of one year experimentation, it may be inferred that The application of T7 (FYM 33%+Poultry 33%+Vermicompost 33%) was superior treatments whereas, the next best treatment was T6 (Vermicompost 50%+FYM 50%) proved to be the most superior treatment combination with regard to growth and flowering However, these results are only indicative and require further experimentation to arrive at some more consistent and final conclusion.

5. FUTURE SCOPE

The future scope of the study on “Effect of different sources of organic manures on plant growth and flowering parameters of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) var. *Kashi Aman* under Bundelkhand region” could be 1. Conducting further studies to investigate the long term effects of different organic manures on soil health, nutrient availability, and plant growth. 2. Developing practical guidelines and recommendations for farmers to help them select and use the most appropriate types and amounts of organic manures for tomato production in their specific farming systems. 3. exploring the potential for using different types of organic manures, such as vermicompost, poultry manure enhance the productivity and sustainability of tomato farming in the region.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Beutner S, Bloedorn B, Frixel S, Hernández Blanco I, Hoffmann T, et al. Quantitative assessment of antioxidant properties of natural colorants and phytochemicals: Carotenoids, flavonoids, phenols and indigoids. The role of β -carotene in antioxidant functions. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2001;81(6):559-568.
2. Kenwar MS. Performance of Tomato under Greenhouse and Open Field Conditions in Thetrans-Himalayan Region of India; 2011.
3. Abdel-Mawgoud AMR, El-Greadly NHM, Helmy YI, Singer SM. Responses of tomato plants to different rates of humic-based fertilizer and NPK fertilization. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 2007;3(2):169-174.
4. Adekiya AO, Dahunsi SO, Ayeni JF, Aremu C, Aboyeji CM, Okunlola F, Oyelami AE. Organic and in-organic fertilizers effects on the performance of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) grown on soilless medium. *Scientific Reports*. 2022 Jul 16;12 (1):12212.
5. Gore NS, Sreenivasa MN. Influence of liquid organic manures on growth, nutrient content and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in the sterilized soil. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2011 Feb 11;24(2).
6. Abusaleha G. The effect of half poultry manures and half N through ammonium sulphate in flowering and yield of Bhindi. *Vegetable Crops in India*. 1992;3(4):312-316.
7. Singh V, Prasad VM, Kasera S, Singh BP, Mishra S. Influence of different organic and inorganic fertilizer combinations on growth, yield and quality of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under protected cultivation. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;6(4):1079-1082.
8. Joshi BH. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers* (Book Review). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 1956;11(4):81.
9. Narayan S, Ahmed N, Narayan R, Mufti S, Bhat R. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on fruit yield of tomato. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. 2008; 3(1):72-74.
10. Muralidharan B, Saravanan S, Prasad VM, Ramteke PW, Dawson J. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on plant yield and economics indeterminate tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Hy. Gs– 600. *International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and Social Sciences*. 2016;4(9):177-182.
11. Abusaleha K, Shanmugavelu KG. Studies on the effect of organic v/s inorganic source of nitrogen on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Indian J. Hort*. 1988;45(3&4):312-318.
12. Alhrout HH, Aldalin HKH, Haddad MA, Bani-Hani NM, Al-Dalein SY. The impact of organic and inorganic fertilizer on yield and yield components of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 2016;10(9):8-14.

13. Berova M, Karanatsidis G, Sapundzhieva K, Nikolova V. Effect of organic fertilization on growth and yield of *Pepper plants* (L.). *Folia Horticulturae*. 2010;22(1):3-7.
14. Singh A, Gulati IJ, Chopra R. Effect of various fertigation schedules and organic manures on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) yield under arid condition. *The Bioscan*. 2013;8(4):1261-1264.
15. Hedge DM. Nutrient requirements of solanaceae crops. *FFIC Database*; 2007.
16. Purakayastha TJ, Bhatnagar RK. Vermicompost: A promising source of plant nutrients. *INDIAN FARMING-DELHI-US JAIN*. 1997;46:35-37.
17. Laxmi PR, Saravanan S, Naik ML. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on plant growth, yield, fruit quality and shelf life of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) CV PKM-1. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR)*. 2015;5(2):7-11.
18. Kalbani FOSA, Salem MA, Cheruth AJ, Kurup SS, Senthilkumar A. Effect of some organic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *International Letters of Natural Sciences*. 2016;53.
19. Mehdizadeh M, Darbandi EI, Naseri-Rad H, Tobeh A. Growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) as influenced by different organic fertilizers. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*. 2013;4(4):734-738.

© 2023 Mukesh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100676>