



Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology

9(2): 1-17, 2019; Article no.AJEE.48831
ISSN: 2456-690X

Land Transformation of Tropical Forest Conservation of Gashaka-Gumti National Park, Nigeria from 1987–2014

Elizabeth Elijah¹, Vincent N. Ojeh^{1*}, Anita H. Philip¹, Joshua, M. Maaku¹
and Gabriel Bonchak¹

¹Department of Geography, Taraba State University, P.M.B. 1167, Jalingo, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2019/v9i230090

Editor(s):

(1) Prof. Daniele De Wrachien, Professor of Agricultural Hydraulics at the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, State University of Milan, Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Ngakan Ketut Acwin Dwijendra, University of Udayana, Indonesia.

(2) Schirley Costalonga, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil.

(3) Augustine Osayande, University of Abuja, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48831>

Received 23 February 2019

Accepted 02 May 2019

Published 09 May 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study utilized Landsat imageries of 1987 (Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM)), 2000 (Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+)) and 2014 (Landsat Operational Land Imager (OLI)) to examine land transformation in the Gashaka-Gumti National Park. The analysis indicated that dense forest which occupied 367,500 hectares at 62.2% of the total area of the park in 1987 has been converted into farmland and built-up area. Thus, the dense forest has reduced to 343, 300 hectares by the year 2000 and 107, 600 hectares in 2014 respectively. The result shows that the riparian forest decreased from 21,300 hectares in 1987 at 3.6% to 16, 000 hectares in 2000 at 2.7% and further to 11, 000 hectares (1.8%) by 2014. Savannah vegetation found to be concentrated in the northern part of the study area and occupied a total area of 81,260 hectares at 13% in 1987, reduced to 62,100 hectares at 10.5% in 2000 and increased to 183,800 hectares at 31.1% of the total area in 2014. The built-up area occupied a total area of 4,476 hectares at 7.5% in 1987. The built-up increased to 11,070 hectares at 1.81% in 2000 but decreased to 10,930 hectares at 1.85% in

*Corresponding author: E-mail: drojehvn@hotmail.com, drojehvn@tsuniversity.edu.ng, lizjosh2011@gmail.com;

2014 as a result of the news that the insurgents were shifting their base towards the park to hide from security forces and some of the people living within the area became afraid and deserted their houses and resettled in the nearby towns and villages that are outside the park.

Keywords: Land transformation; Gashaka-Gumti National Park; Landsat images.

1. INTRODUCTION

The land is defined as the earth's surface, including both land and water, and the natural resources in their original states. Land use involves both the manner in which the biophysical attributes of the land are manipulated and the intent underlying that manipulation – the purpose for which the land is used [1]. The consequences of forest fragmentation include habitat loss for some plant and animal species, habitat creation for others, decreased connectivity of the remaining vegetation, decreased patch size, increased distance between patches, and an increase in edge at the expense of interior habitat [2].

Uncontrolled human activities have led to significant modification of the natural biodiversity in the world over the years. Consequentially, land use and land covers are changed abruptly without adequate consideration for future developments. There is continuous deterioration from the rich biodiversity. The effects of land use on the environment ranges from minor land cover changes and soil modification to severe desertification, deforestation, erosion, and river encroachment problems.

According to FAO [3], fragmentation of forest may also be as a result of natural occurrences or human-induced activities, which vary in terms of the extent, severity, quality, origin, and frequency. The natural induced process can be through fire, storm, drought, pest, and disease among others, and the human-induced activities could be unsustainable logging, excessive fuelwood collection, shifting cultivation, unsustainable hunting, overgrazing just to mention but few. The International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) [4] estimated that eight hundred and fifty (850) million hectares of tropical forest and forest lands could be forest edge through human-induced activities such as logging and agricultural practices.

In Gashaka-Gumti National park (GGNP), forest fragmentation is a serious problem to the environment as it affects the social activity and the economy of the nation as a whole. Gashaka-

Gumti forest is one of the revenue generating sources to the nation through its timber production, wildlife conservation, and tourism. The forest service's River Benue, Donga, and River Taraba tributaries as they flow through it. These rivers serve as the transportation routes in the states as well as fishing. If the occurring of forest fragmentation is not controlled, it may lead to the loss of all these benefits and the products of the climatic variations resulting into various north-south degradations of habitats and ecosystems [5]. The habitat supports more than 1,340 species of animals among which is 274 mammalian species, making it the 8th highest in Africa [5]. Dauda et al. [6] revealed that forest fragmentation of the park led to the withdrawal of the above mention services. Besides, the park serves as carbon sequestration and contributing good health of the people. The distribution of National parks in Nigeria was done to preserve and to protect the natural resources especially the forest from fragmentation.

The ecosystem of the park lost its economic value as forest fragmentation keeps on occurring [6]. The Government of Nigeria introduced laws and policies that bound the illegal activities in GGNP to protect and to preserve the forests. Trespassers if arrested are prosecuted. In spite of these laws, the forest continues to be fragmented. The failure of this management policy could be attributed to; the negligent in supervision, inadequate training of the insufficient personnel and lack of motivation on the part of forestry officials. Other ill effects of the management policy are; Government pressure on revenue generation without regard for biodiversity conservation, active collusion of forestry officers, politicians interest, village chiefs and merchant loggers in illegal logging and ultimately forest destruction. From personal interaction with Kamaya and Dike of GGNP on the 22nd of April, 2019 on poaching and encroachment into the GGNP, they stated that poaching and encroachment into the park have become alarming since 2017 as the Park's Rangers are kept on high alert to monitor their activities every 24 hours. The poacher's and encroacher's now visit the park at the dead of the night to hunt for animals and check their traps set

along the animal tracks. They also use the night to carry out logging especially for Madrid tree (*Pterocarpus erinaceus*) popularly known as the African rosewood since the ones outside the park have been exhausted. Though arrests are being made daily by the Park's rangers and other officers, these illegal activities seem to be strengthened by the lack of stringent policy and punishment of offenders on the part of the policy makers coupled with poverty, youth employment and the high value-chain of the African rosewood. The activities that result in forest destruction or fragmentation has been linked with the economic decline of the national park and global climate change, hence it must be halted [7]. Therefore, there is a need to use the fragmentation index with the available geospatial techniques to assess forest fragmentation in GGNP in Taraba /Adamawa states with a view to developing a database for monitoring.

The aim of the study is to analyze the land transformation taking place in the Gashaka-Gumti National Park from 1987– 2014, with a view of identifying the different land use/land cover types within the Gashaka-Gumti National Park; Evaluate the spatial pattern of land transformation in Gashaka-Gumti National Park and analyze the trend and rate of land transformation in Gashaka-Gumti National Park.

Functions and Objectives of the National Park Service in Nigeria: Nigerian Conservation Foundation [NCF], [8] reported that the Nigeria National Park Service has the statutory responsibilities for the following, amongst other functions, which are to:

- (i) Preserve, enhance, protect and manage vegetation and wild animals in the National Parks;
- (ii) Advise the Federal Government on the development and preservation policy of the National Parks including the financial requirements for the implementation of

such policy, and to wildlife species, biotic communities, sites of special interest or of aesthetic value, the Service considers may be declared as National Parks under this Act [9]

- (iii) Conserve some selective and representative samples of wildlife communities in Nigeria with the aimed at the establishment of an ecologically and geographically balanced network of protected areas under the jurisdiction and control of the Federal Government [10]. The protection and the conservation of wildlife throughout Nigeria so that the abundance and diversity of their species are maintained at the optimum level commensurate with other forms of land use, in order to ensure the continued existence of wildlife for the purpose of their sustainable utilization for the benefit of the people are the priority [11].
- (iv) Reserve outstanding scenic, natural, scientific, recreational and other values in the National Parks, and to protect and maintain crucial wetlands and water catchment's areas [12].

NCF (2016) reported that the government of Nigeria has the vision to manage and regulate the use of these unique ecosystems designated as National Parks by such means and measures to preserve and conserve Nigeria's heritage, particularly the fauna and flora, the habitats they live in, and the unique sceneries they afford. Its mission is to also provide human benefits and enjoyment in such manner and by such means so that these are left unspoiled for generations to come. www.panthora.org recorded that the vision was also to develop a network of National Parks (Table 1 & Fig. 1) that can compete favourably with other National Parks in the world and, to achieve this; the Park Service is making efforts to put in place Operational Management Plans for each Park, and Systems Plan for the entire country.

Table 1. The distribution of National parks in Nigeria with location and sizes

S/N	Name	State(S)	Head Office	Size
1	Chad Basin	Borno/Yobe	Maidugari	2,258 sq.km
2	Cross River	Cross River	Akampa	4,000 sq.km
3	Gashaka-Gumti	Adamawa/Taraba	Serti	6,731 sq.km
4	Kamuku	Kaduna	BirninGwari	1,121 sq.km
5	Kainji Lake	Kwara/Niger	New Bussa	5,382 sq.km
6	Okomu	Edo	Arakhuan-Udo	202.24 sq.km
7	Old Oyo	Oyo	Oyo	2,512 sq.km
Estimated Total Conservation Area				22,206.24 sq.km




Fig. 1. Location of National Parks and Game Reserves

Source: NCF, [8]

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and Size: Gashaka-Gumti National Park (GGNP) is located in the mountainous region of north-eastern Nigeria, adjacent to the international border with Cameroon, and immediately to the north of Mambilla Plateau [13]. It is the largest and most scenic of all the seven National Parks in Nigeria. This conservation area lies between latitude $6^{\circ} 55'$ and $8^{\circ} 05'$ north, and longitude $11^{\circ} 11'$ and $12^{\circ} 13'$ east (Fig. 2) and covers a total area of 6,731 sq.km [14]. Located in Adamawa and Taraba States, the Park is contiguous with Faro and TchabalMbado National Parks in the Republic of Cameroon [15].

The Park experiences varying pleasant weather conditions, depending on one's location within the Park [16]. These range from tropical dry humid, tropical moist humid in the lowlands to sub-tropical highland weather on the high plateau around Chappal Waddi, Sabere and Fillinga [13].

In fact, the hidden corner of West Africa that is Gashaka-Gumti National Park is surely one of Africa's best places [17].

Bornil [18] observed that Gashaka-Gumti National Park (GGNP) consists of Savannah, dry deciduous woodland, freshwater swamp vegetation, lowland gallery forest, mountain forest riparian forest and cold mountain grassland. The Park is divided into two sectors; the Northern Gumti and Southern Gashaka. The northern Gumti sector is characterized by tall grassland, trees with usually short boles and broad leaves [19]. In southern Gashaka sector, moist guinea savannah predominates. The climate is broadly characteristic of guinea savannah zone which is an intermediate between the humid wet climate of the forest zone and hot dry climate of Sudan and Sahel savannah [14]. Rainfall commences in April and lasts to late November with a yearly approximate rainfall ranging from 300mm to 1200mm and dry season usually last from December to March [19].




Fig. 2. Map of the Study Area

The altitude ranges from about 457 meters (1,499 ft) in the northern flatter corner of the park, up to 2,419 meters (7,936 feet) at Chappal Waddi (Mountain of death). Nigeria's highest mountain in the park's southern sections [20]. It is an important water catchment for the Benue River. There is abundant river flow even during the markedly dry season. Enclaves for local Fulani pastoralists exist within the park boundary that allows for farming and grazing [17].

In terms of vegetation, the multiple regions of the Gashaka Gumti National Park lead to its diversity of wildlife. In the Northeastern area of the park, it is relatively flat allowing for savanna woodlands. In particular, these woodlands are the Sudan Guinea savanna woodlands, covered in coarse, tall grasses and fringing forests with some striking vegetation, such as the intense red leaves of *Brachystegia eurycoma* and the great white flowers of *Berlinia grandiflora*. Lions, African elephants, African buffalo, waterbuck, and many more animals are housed here. As you

move east, the highlands, specifically the montane grasslands and shrublands, occur within the mountainous regions of the park [18]. The canopy of the montane forest is rarely closed, allowing for rich vegetation on the highland floor. The tallest trees are often stragglers, like the ficus and other species of fig. Within and near the highlands, vast lowland rainforests, tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests, begin to take over [18]. The rainforests are dense, hot, and humid. The forest vegetation is dominated by woody species, mainly tall trees. This region contains many different species ranging from chimpanzees to leopards to giant forest hogs, creating the most diverse variety of species in this particular biome [9]. The park is officially labeled as one of Africa's "Important Bird Areas" with more than 500 species found here. In regards to species adaptations, plants have long tap roots that descend far into the ground reaching the deep water tables of the savanna biome. In the woodlands area of Gashaka National Park trees

have thick bark which aides in wildfire protection [8]. Additionally, the trunks of these trees store water during the dry season as well as their leaves that fall during the dry season that occurs over the winter months to conserve water. The grasses in the savanna biome also hold adaptations to avoid overgrazing of the diverse animal culture of the national park. Some of these adaptations include sharp or bitter tasting grasses for some animals to deter depletion of the grasslands biome [18]. Many animals that live in the savanna have long legs or wings that allow migrations to be accomplished easier; while others have the ability to burrow through adaptation to access cooler temperatures or raise their young underground [8]. Aside from climate, other factors that influence the vegetation and wildlife of Gashaka-Gumti National Park are environmental hazards, deforestation, urbanization, poachers from the Cameroon border, and human activities, such as a yearly burning that has turned the once semi-deciduous forest into a grassy woodland. Some of the animals that are impacted by the illegal poaching are chimpanzees and other species of monkeys, which is why the Gashaka Primate Project was created. The project helps to contain the monkey populations and stop the system of illegal poaching [8].

Geologically, The Gashaka-Gumti National Park is approximately two-thirds of Nigeria's land, which places it within the middle of the African Plate. Since it is not located near a fault line, major earthquakes do not occur here. At times, some tremors can be felt and this can be due to the close proximity to the mostly inactive Ifewara fault line which is linked into the Atlantic Fracture System. The land that Gashaka-Gumti is located on and it's underlain by the pre-Cambrian Basement Complex. The pre-Cambrian Basement Complex and the Ifewara fault line have previously contributed to the movement and formation of geology and landforms in the area. The only hazard that affects the national park is landslides [21]. This geologic hazard occurs because of the sedimentary rocks that are in the area. The sedimentary rocks in the region are known to be mineralized with lead and zinc. The pre-Cambrian Basin also is considered the "oldest, crystalline, solid foundation in the country" and contains the igneous and metamorphic rock. The sedimentary rock is found in the basins that separate the basement complex landmass. The hazard of landslides and the main type of rock is defined as sedimentary,

which leads to erosion and weathering of landforms within the park [21].

The Northern Section of Gashaka-Gumti is characterized by flat woodlands and grasslands, while the Southern portion of the park is characterized by mountains and deep slopes [21]. The mountainous region of Gashaka-Gumti National Park provides an optimal landform of the forested slopes for the local watershed, which pours into the Taraba River. This waterway is the major tributary to the second largest river in Nigeria, the Benue. The rich vegetation along the slopes of the mountains that allows a "trickle-down" effect to occur with rain is vital to the mainstay of these rivers. Without the slow movement of water through this watershed, the dry season would cause detrimental issues to the river water levels due to the vast evaporation that occurs during this time [15]. Different landforms that contain liquid water, such as swamps, rivers, and lakes each support their own unique communities of plants and animals. For example, rivers provide havens for several varieties of fish, otters, hippos, and crocodiles. Inferring from common clues of glacial impact and residue, Gashaka-Gumti National Park seems to hold certain characteristics of glacial impacts. For instance, the National Park is characterized by flowing 'V' shaped valleys and waterfalls, which allude to similar themes of a glacial presence at one point in the history of the region. Furthermore, these rugged terrains, steep slopes and plunging valleys, Gashaka-Gumti's iconic characteristics could also be attributed to wind erosion. This correlates with the region's relationship with the Sahara Desert. Erosion also occurs from heavy rains during the wet season [21].

Methods: The dataset used for the study are satellite imageries from United State Geological survey (USGS) website. Other data include administrative maps, as well as topographical data of the study area. The data used in this study are multi-temporal satellite images which include: Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM), Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+) and Landsat Operational Land Imager (OLI). These images were extracted for the study area on; 1987, 2000 and, 2014 with path 186/185 and, and row 055/054 respectively. The images were mosaic to cover the study Area. This provided the spatial database on which the classification of land cover was carried out. The Landsat imageries were downloaded from the official website <http://www.earthexplorer.usgs.gov>. All

sensors have a spatial resolution of 30m (Tables 2 and 3). The primary data was collected from the field through the measurement of vegetation parameters on the physical attribute of land cover types namely, Farmland (edge), Build-up area, dense forest, savannah, and Bare surface. Addition ground reference data were collected with observations for the 'unsupervised' classification. The number of stands of each species found in a quadrat measuring 10 meters by 10 meters were observed and counted.

The study area was delineated using the clipping method in IDRISI software tool, identifiable from the scanned and georeferenced 1: 500,000 Topographic maps covering the study area. From the topographic map, the contour and drainage networks were extracted and populated with their various features and other values respectively using onscreen digitization process and saved

into the work used to clip (sub-map). ArcGIS 10.3 and IDRISI selva were used in this study.

2.1 Image Processing

The images were pre-processed to correct the spectral variation resulting from sensor differences before the study area is extracted from each dataset. False Color Composite (FCC) was created using near-infrared, red and green Bands (432,432 and 654) for each of the images respectively as reported by Gonzalez et al. [22]. The selection of Band combination was done to enhance our ability to clearly distinguish vegetation types from non-vegetated land use. The pattern of change is determined using the post-classification comparison method proposed by Babb et al. [23]. The coordinates of some location were obtained using Global Positioning System (GPS) to identify plant species density in the study area (GGNP).

Table 2. Characteristics of data used

S/N	Type	Format	Scale Resolution	Date/Source
1	Topography	Analogue	1:500,000	1991
2	Demographic Data	Analogue		NPC, 2006
3	Administrative Map	Analogue	1:500,000	Administrative Office, GGNP Serti, Taraba State

Table 3. Characteristic of satellite image data

S/N	Data type	Form	Path/Row	Data Acquisition	Scale-Resolution	Source-Website.
1	Landsat image-MSS	Digital	186/055, 186/054 185/054	1987	30m	USGS
2	Landsat image-TM	Digital	186/055, 86/054 185/054	2000	30m	USGS
3	Landsat image-LDCM	Digital	186/055, 186/054 185/054	2014	30m	USGS

Table 4. The selected training sites (dominant land cover types in the study area)

S/N	Training sample	Description
1	Build-up area	The area occupied by people for habitation
2	Dense Forest	Area cover with undisturbed forest
3	Riparian forest	Forest cover under which is full of water bodies or rivers.
4	Savannah	Area of open land that is cover with grass and woodland
5	Bare Surface	Area of empty space
6	Farmland	Area occupied with anthropogenic activities such as farming.

2.2 Post-Classification Comparison

Many methods such as Image overlay, change vector analysis, principal component analysis, image rationing, change detection in forest cover, post classification comparison and Image overlay was used in this research. In this technique, images of different dates were

classified and labeled individually. Using supervised classification, the classified Images were then compared and the forest edge areas extracted and are determined using IDRISI software. Post-classification comparison was used to detect dense forest from other Land classes and changes detection in general Land Use. Fig. 3 shows the flow diagram of the study.






Fig. 3. Flow diagram for the procedures of a land transformation of GGNP

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Landscape/Land Cover Types within GGNP

Fig 4 a,b and c shows the maps from the supervised classification. There are six (6) LULC classes distinguished after the classification for 1987, 2000 and 2014. These classes include dense forest, riparian forest, savannah, built-up, bare ground, and farmland. Fig 4a shows that most of the park is covered by dense forest, while few built-up areas were located around Tipasan range post, this is also reflected by the numerous farmlands that are found within that area. According to the National Park Service Act (Section 29) on the demarcation of National Parks, settlements were not supposed to be located within the park as it's been noted in this image. In Fig. 4b, it can be observed that there is

a significant transformation in the spatial distribution of the land use/land cover types located in the Gashaka-Gumti National Park. Worthy of note is the transformation of the once dense forest areas to savanna vegetation type covered by grassland and bare ground. There is also a gradual disappearance of riparian forest in the area. Increase in the built-up areas which has become more obvious in the Tipasan range post and also around Sethe and Filinga range posts, leading to cutting down of more trees for fuelwood, buildings and also to pave way for farmlands, which has resulted in the loss of the once dense forested areas. In 2014 as presented in Fig 4c, it can be observed that virtually most of the dense forest areas have been transformed into another landcover/land use type. There is a shift in the built-up areas from Tipasan range post towards Sethe, Filinga, and Sahel range posts.






Fig. 4. Classified Image of Landsat Images of (a) 1987, (b) 2000 and (c) 2014

3.2 Trend and Rate of Land Transformation of GGNP

Fig. 4.a, b and c are the supervised classifications for 1987, 2000 and 2014 images of GGNP indicating the land-use. The analysis indicated that dense forest which occupied 367,500 hectares at 62.2% of the total area of the park (Table 5) in 1987 has been converted into farmland and built-up area. This reduced the dense forest to 343,300 hectares by the year 2000 and 107,600 hectares in 2014 respectively. The significant decrease of the dense forest in the study area during the period of study and the increase of farmland and built-up was as a result of the anthropogenic disturbances by the farmers living within the park whose source of livelihood is farming and collection of forest fruits. The riparian forest i.e. forest along water axis of the Taraba River, decreased from 21,300 hectares in 1987 at 3.6% to 16,000 hectares in 2000 at 2.7% and further to 11,000 hectares (1.8%) by 2014. The cause of these decreases was the

conversion of the forest to agricultural land (fig.4.a, b, c). Savannah vegetation was also found in the study area but it is concentrated in the northern part of the study area and occupied a total area of 81,260 hectares at 13% in 1987, reduced to 62,100 hectares at 10.5% in 2000 and increased to 183,800 hectares at 31.1% of the total area in 2014. The significant change from 1987 to 2000 was due to the conversion of the riparian forest land into agricultural land and built-up land as the population of the farmers increased. It was also reported that there was massive illegal logging in the study area by the youths from 2013 to 2014 [24]. This may be the reason for the increase of Savannah land covers in 2014 and the decrease in both dense forest and riparian forest.


The farmland covered an area of 34,400 hectares at 5.8% in 1987 and it was found mostly around the northern part and few areas within the range post of the GGNP. It increased to 90,700 hectares at 15.3% of the total area in 2000 and

Table 5. Analysis of the dynamic pattern of land transformation in GGNP

S/ N	Classes of forest	Transformation in the Area in Years (Hectares)					
		1987	% change	2000	% change	2014 change	%
1	Dense forest	367500	5.45	343,300	5.1	107,600	1.59
2	Riparian	21300	0.316	16,000	0.23	11,000	0.16
3	Savannah	81260	1.2	62,100	0.92	183,800	2.73
4	Farmland	34400	0.51	90700	1.34	269,000	3.99
5	Built-up	4476	0.66	11,070	0.16	109,300	1.62
6	Bare ground	55685	5.27	67450	0.10	1107	0.023
	Total	564621		590620		379407	

Table 6. Image overlay and change detection

S/N	LULC	Rate of change detection for Image overlay (Hectares)			
		1987/2000	% change	2000/2014	% change
1	Dense forest	-24200	3.60	-235700	35.02
2	Riparian forest	-5300	0.79	-5000	0.74
3	Savanna	-19160	2.75	121700	18.08
4	Farmland	56300	8.36	63800	9.48
5	Built-up area	6594	0.98	1400	0.21
6	Bare ground	11785	1.75	-66343	9.86

**Fig. 5. Landuse distributions in GGNP**

further increased to 269, 000 hectares at 45.5% in 2014. The increase in the farmland is as results of an increase in population in the area. It was also reported during the oral interview that the increase in the population was a result of the insurgences cases in part of the Northeastern states (Borno, Yobe, and Adamawa) that led to the massive immigration of farmers to the GGNP area.

The built-up area occupied a total area of 4,476 hectares at 7.5% in 1987. It is found around the range post are and very pronounced in the northern part of the park. The built-up kept increasing to 11,070 hectares at 1.81% in 2000 and decreased to 10,930 hectares at 1.85% in 2014. Significantly, as the number of immigrants increased from 1987 to 2000, it also led to an increase of the built-up areas. But the reverse is the case with 2000 and 2014. The reason for this change was that there was information that the insurgents were shifting their base towards the park to hide from security forces and some of the people living within the area became afraid and deserted their houses and resettled in the nearby towns and villages that are outside the park, leaving their

houses to grow outgrown by bushes and became savannah in 2014.

The bare ground occupied 55,685.4% hectares from the total area of the GGNP in 1987. It increased to 67,450 hectares at 11.4% in 2000 and reduced to 1107 hectares at 0.18% in 2014. The increase of the bare ground from 1987 to 2000 was as a result of illegal grazing in the park in the northern part of the park. The information received during the field survey was that there was a fire disaster in the northern part of the park during the period under study in which the area was rendered bare. It might be concluded here that natural disaster was also responsible for the increase of the bare ground in the park. The decrease of the bare ground to 1107 hectares in 2014 might have some socio-economic significance. As the immigrants increase, the numbers of farmers also increased in which some of the bare ground was converted to agricultural land.

4. CONCLUSION

At present, global natural habitats face an immense crisis that has overtaking previous

records. Specifically, habitat destruction in Nigeria national parks is more pervasive for 'wholesale extinction' of biodiversity. Identifying and delineating such 'key biodiversity area' is therefore important for prioritizing conservation planning. Outcomes of such study generate valuable data which is important for regions like this particularly in the northeastern states of Nigeria.

The result indicated that Dense Forest which occupies 62.2% of the total area of the park in 1987 have been converted into farmland and build up land so that the total area of dense forest has now reduced in 2000 and subsequently reduced again in 2014. It was revealed that significant decrease of the dense forest in the study area during the period under study and the increase of farmland and built up was as a result of the anthropogenic disturbances by the farmers living within the area in searching for food to survive during needs. The riparian forest (forest along waterside) was also decreased by 3.6% to 2.7% in the year 2000 and finally reduced to 1.8% in 2014. The cause of these decreases was the conversion of the forest to agricultural land.

Gashaka-Gumti National Park is thought to be the key plant species diversity area, but many parts of the park have become less capable to perform that role and thus suffering to protect valuable flora (plant species) and fauna within their legislative boundaries in particular and their surrounding ecosystems in general. In this study, the relationship between the forest covers and its associated LULC classes were investigated and various thematic maps were developed. The main LULC types identified in the study are Dense forest, Savannah, Agricultural land bare soil/sand, and built-up. It was observed that vegetation has changed remarkably from the period 1987-2014. This decrease in vegetation has caused higher forest fragmentation in the area as a result of anthropogenic activities.

Based on the result of the study, the following suggestions are made:

- i. More comprehensive and continuous study of land use and land cover and its harmful effects may provide necessary information to examine the efficiency of the existing protected area systems as well as to identify potential areas for systematic conservation planning.
- ii. Further analysis of these studies is needed to better explain the impact of the factors on forest cover change considering other factors such as rainfall, soil moisture, etc., and the study could reach a higher accuracy for forest cover change detection.
- iii. Performing multi-sensor data classification using neural networks by a combination of ancillary data (i.e. elevation and aspect) with the Landsat image data would improve the classification result and produce higher accuracy than the use of Landsat image data only.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author's wish to appreciate the efforts of Mr. Kamaya, P and Mr. Dike, J. of the GGNP who gave us first-hand information on the magnitude and effects of poaching and encroachment into the park and the way forward for the sustainability of the park when Dr. Vincent Ojeh visited the park between 21 and 22 April 2019. We also appreciate the independent peer reviewer's for the valuable comments that improved the quality of the work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Adams M, Sibanda S. Land tenure reform and rural livelihoods. *Agriculture and Technology Journal*. 1995;1:15-35.
2. Reed RA, Johnson-Barnard J, Baker WL. Spatial characterization and conservation prioritization in tropical evergreen forests of Western Ghats, Tamil Nadu using geoinformatics. Dissertation Published, Bharathidasan University, India; 1996.
3. FAO. A preliminary survey of the forest animals of Gashaka Gumti National Park, Nigeria. Unpublished Report for WWF-UK and the Conservation Foundation. Contract Reference; 2002.
4. ITTO. Guidelines for the restoration, management and rehabilitation of degraded and secondary tropical forests. ITTO Policy Development Series No. 13. Yokohama, Japan; 2002.
5. Ackley H. Andean forest fragmentation and the presentativeness of protected natural areas in the eastern Andes, Colombia.

- Biological Conservation. 2001;113:245–256.
6. Dauda DS, Ebeiyamba OE, Aluko OE. Spatio-temporal assessment of Baissa Forest disturbance in Taraba State Nigeria. Accepted in 2016 by Journal of Environment and Earth Science; 2015. ISSN (Paper) 2224-3216 ISSN (Online) 2225-0948.
 7. FAO. Report on an expedition to Sardauna Province, North-Eastern State 20th March - 10th April, 1970. Ife University Herbarium Bulletin No. 6; 2009.
 8. Nigeria Conservation Foundation (NCF, 2016). Available:<https://ncfnigeria.org/>
 9. Nigeria Conservation Foundation (NCF, 2015). Available:<https://ncfnigeria.org/>
 10. Parker M, Nally R. Synthesis of remote sensing approaches for forest carbon estimation: Reporting to the Kyoto protocol. Environmental Science and Policy. 2005;8(2):161-178. Satellite Data. Science. 2002;227:369-375.
 11. Ali R, Pai A. Human use areas in the Kalakad–Mundanthurai Tiger: Analysis of management strategies and good practices. South Africa: 1-34; 2001.
 12. Gardner RH, Urban DL. Neutral models for testing landscape of Gashaka-Gumti National Park, Nigeria. PhD Thesis University of Surrey; 2007.
 13. Baldus R, Kibonde B, Siege L. Seeking conservation partnership in the Selous Game Reserve, Tanzania. Parks. 2003;13(1):50–61.
 14. Nformi BU. The influence of environmental factors and food trees on the nesting Programmes in Gashaka-Gumti National Park, Nigeria. M.Sc. Thesis. Federal University of Technology, Yola; 2002.
 15. Warren Y, Higham JP, Maclarnon AM, Ross C. Crop-raiding and Commensalism in Olive Baboons: The costs and benefits of living with humans. In: Sommer V., Ross C. (Eds) Primates of Gashaka. Developments in Primatology: Progress and Prospects. Springer, New York, NY. 2011;35. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7403-7_8
 16. Barrow E, Fabricius C. Wildlife conservation initiatives in Nigeria. In Wildlife Conservation in assessing limitations based on detailed field observations, Landsat ETM+, and textural analysis. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2002;80(3):483-496.
 17. Distefano E. Human Wildlife Conflict Worldwide: Collection of case studies. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
 18. Bornil J. Forest fragmentation: Causes, ecological impacts and implications for landscape management. In Landscape Ecology in Forest Management and Conservation: Challenges and Solutions for Global Change, Li C, Laforteza R, Chen J, (Eds). HEP-Springer: New York. 1999;273-296.
 19. Gawaisa S. Population dynamics of mammals in the Savanna Zones and management hypotheses. Landscape Ecology. 2001;22(1):15-29.
 20. Brokaw N, Busing RT. Niche versus chance and tree diversity in forest gaps. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2000;15(5):183-188.
 21. Igwe O. The geotechnical characteristics of landslides on the sedimentary and metamorphic terrains of South-East Nigeria, West Africa. Geoenvironmental Disasters. 2015;1-14. DOI: 10.1186/s40677-014-0008-z
 22. Gonzalez L, Vallet V, Yamamoto H. Global 15-meter mosaic derived from simulated True-Color ASTER imagery. Remote Sens. 2019;11(4):441. DOI: 10.3390/rs11040441
 23. Babb B, Moore F, Peterson M. Optimized satellite image compression and reconstruction via evolution strategies. Proceedings of SPIE, the International Society of Optical Engineering. 2009;7347:2.
 24. Ogunwusi AA, Ibrahim HD. Impact of unmitigated rosewood exploitation in Nigeria. Journal of Resources Development and Management. 2017;33: 9-15.

APPENDIX

IMAGES



A: GATE OF GNNP



B: BUILT-UP AREA



C. DENSE FOREST



D: DEFORESTED AREA



E: CULTIVATED AREA (FARMLAND)



F: BARE AREA



G: RIPARIAN FOREST

© 2019 Elijah et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48831>