



# Agro-Techniques for Enhancing Wheat Productivity under Dry-land Conditions; An Overview

Santosh Kumar Chaudhary <sup>a\*\*</sup>, Sushil Kumar Yadav <sup>b++</sup>,  
Dilip Kumar Mahto <sup>a#</sup>, Yogesh Kumar <sup>ct</sup>, Vinod Kumar <sup>d++</sup>  
and Sanjay Kumar Singh <sup>e++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, NCOH, BAU, Sabour, Bihar, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science, NCOH, BAU, Sabour, Bihar, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Conservation, J. M. V. Ajitmal Auraiya, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Economics, NCOH, BAU, Sabour, Bihar, India.

<sup>e</sup> NCOH, BAU, Sabour, Bihar, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i41716

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97124>

Review Article

Received: 04/01/2023

Accepted: 07/03/2023

Published: 11/03/2023

## ABSTRACT

Wheat, being a most important staple food crop of the world and second most important food crop after rice in India, played vital role in food security of the country. Wheat is grown in India in 6 wheat growing zones, both under irrigated and dry land conditions with a lot of variation in yield. Agronomic practices such as planting pattern, seeding rate, nitrogen (N) application strategy as well as cultivars influences the pattern of use of soil water [1]. Despite sizeable area under dry land

\*\*Assistant Professor-cum-Junior scientist;

#Associate Professor-cum-Senior Scientist;

<sup>t</sup>Assistant Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [chaudhary7002@gmail.com](mailto:chaudhary7002@gmail.com);

condition, production is very low mainly due to lack of proper adaptation of agro-technology. Although, there is sufficient scope to increase the dry land wheat productivity by treating seed with 1% Potassium salts, sowing drought tolerant varieties on or before 20<sup>th</sup> November in moist zone that gives more yield as compared to delayed sowing. Fertilizer application in the moist zone @ 50, 45 and 30 Kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, and one foliar application @ 2 % urea performed best under dry-land condition. Intercropping of dry land wheat with legume and oilseed (4:1) give more wheat equivalent yield over sole wheat. Weed management during (30-45 DAS) critical period of crop-weed competition increase wheat productivity.

*Keywords: Wheat; biofertilizers; rain-fed; dry-land; genotypes; intercropping.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Globally, arid and semiarid lands occupied around 40% of the world’s land area and are inhabited by some 700 million people” [2]. In India, currently rain-fed agriculture accounts for 55% [3] and area prone to drought 68% [4] of the net sown area of 139.42 million which are inhabited by 61% of India’s farmer [3]. Therefore, these rain-fed areas are crucial to the country’s economy and food security since, it accounts for around 40 per cent of the total food grain, 85% nutri-cereals, 83% pulses, 70% oilseeds and 65 % cotton production and supports two-thirds of livestock and 40 per cent of the human population [3]. “Wheat is the most important stable food crop, responsible for 41% of the total cereal calorie intake worldwide with 35 and 74 % in developing and developed countries respectively” [5]. “In India wheat is grown in 6 wheat growing zones throughout the country, both under irrigated and dry-land conditions with a lot of variation in yields. These losses in wheat production are mainly due to abiotic factors including drought, salinity and heat stress rather than biotic factors” [6].

In this study, focus has been given to over-come moisture stress under dryland conditions. In the recent past, recurrent drought events have threatened global wheat production. This yield reduction is mainly due to lack of proper adaptation of agro-technology. Despite sizeable area under dry land condition production is very low. Although, there is a sufficient scope to enhance the productivity of dry land areas. “To survive under water-limited conditions, crop plants have evolved three different mechanisms, namely escape, avoidance, and tolerance” [7,8]. Although, variation in the genotypes may be attributed to affect drought hardening of plants, leading to changes for grain yield and water relation parameters under study [9]. Significant variation for genotypes indicated differential responses of genotypes over irrigated and

drought stressed conditions, indicating considerable scope for selection of suitable genotypes under drought stress conditions. The genotypes C 306, NI 5439 and VL 421 performed better under drought stress conditions, while HPW 251, Hindi 62, Raj 3765 and VL 892 performed better under both irrigated and drought stress environments. In this paper, impact of few management practices viz., seed priming, sowing at right time with seed drill, adoption of drought tolerant varieties, bio-fertilizers, inter-cropping and weed management have given importance especially for dry-land wheat production. Therefore, adoption of suitable cultivar and proper agro-technology is needed to increase the productivity through concerted effort of farmers under dry land conditions.

## 2. DEMAND OF FOOD GRAINS

During 1980s the food production rate was 3.3%, but now a day it has declined to 1.8%, while our population is increasing as increasing rate by 1.8%. The present population of the country is 1.21 billion (2011) and it has been expected that will increase up to 1.4 billion by 2025 and up to 1.7 billion by 2050, needing food annually of about 380 and 480 million tons respectively. The dwindling cultivated land availability has decreased from 0.5 ha during 1950-51 to 0.15 ha up to 2001, and will further decrease to 0.05 ha by 2025. So, it has been clear that, there is no possibility in horizontal development of land, and increasing productivity/unit area is only the alternative. And therefore, increasing productivity/unit is only possible through the adaptation of proper agro-technology from given available resources. Hence, there is a need to give emphasis on marginal land in which dry-land is of key importance.

## 3. DRY-LANDS AND ITS CONSTRAINTS

The most characteristics feature of dry land is evapotranspiration exceeds precipitation, high

temperature, high wind velocity, more incidence of solar radiation, and low relative humidity. However, on the basis of annual rainfall received dry land agriculture is categorized into three categories-

1. Dry farming (Rain fall received <750 mm)
2. Dry land farming (Rain fall received between 750-1150 mm)
3. Rain fed farming (Rain fall received >1150 mm)

### **3.1 Constraints Associated with Wheat Productivity under Dry Land Condition**

These constants are categorized in four categories which are given below

#### **3.1.1 Climatic**

Low rain fall, high temperature, high wind velocity, and more incidence of solar radiation cause more evapotranspiration thereby reducing yield.

#### **3.1.2 Edaphic**

Marginal land having inadequate soil depth, low organic matter, low moisture retention capacity and high salt accumulation on soil surface affect the growth and development of plants and reduces the yield.

#### **3.1.3 Technological**

Farming is mainly based on human and animal power based, hence, within short period of favourable weather condition entire area could not be covered and losses the chance of crop adaptation.

#### **3.1.4 Socio-economic**

Farmer in dry land areas' having poor economic status and low farm income, therefore, crop management is very poor and consequently that reduces the crop yield.

## **4. POSSIBLE STRATEGIES THAT CAN MAINTAIN CROP PRODUCTIVITY UNDER DRY LAND CONDITIONS**

### **4.1 Seed Hardening/Priming**

“Seed priming is increasingly considered a better approach to enhancing rapid and uniform

emergence and to achieving high seedling vigor and better yields in vegetables, floriculture, and some field crops” [10-12]. “In addition to better establishment, farmers have reported that primed crops grew more vigorous, flowered earlier, and gave higher yields” [13,14]. “Common priming techniques include osmopriming (soaking seeds in osmotic solutions such as polyethylene glycol), halopriming (soaking seeds in salt solutions), hydropriming (soaking seeds in water), matripriming (placing seeds between saturated jute mat layers), and hardening (alternate soaking of seeds in tap water and drying before sowing)” [15,16]. Priming of wheat seed in osmoticum or water may improve germination and emergence [17] and promote vigorous root growth [18] under low soil water potential compared with checks. Osmotica that have shown good potential to enhance germination, emergence, growth, and/or grain yield of wheat by seed treatment with 1.0% solutions of potassium hydro phosphate ( $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ) monobasic made plant capable to withstand (Table.1) drought under dry land condition [19]. Seeds primed with PEG-6000, IAA (100ppm), and distilled water treatments, increased seed germination percentage, seedling emergence percentage, and seedling growth rate. PEG, KCl (2.5%), and hydropriming treatments increased grain yield compared to the control. Among the different priming agents used in the study, PEG, KCl, and hydropriming were the most effective treatments to attain higher germination percentage and grain yield [20].

### **4.2 Sowing at Right Time**

Among the factors influencing wheat productivity under dry-land condition, the sowing date is of particular importance. This in turn is closely correlated with soil preparation, which has a critical effect on seed germination, moisture and nutrient availability. Hussain et al., [21] reported that “late sowing as one of the major causes for the low wheat productivity and they found that 58% yield was reduced under late sown conditions as compared to normal sown wheat”. Delay sowing beyond November each day causes a yield reduction of 5 kg/ha/day in North-Eastern parts of the country and 41.6 kg/ha/day in North-Western and Central parts of the country. For wheat crop sown in January after toria, sugarcane, potato etc., thermo-insensitive short duration cultivars needs to be used. This time of sowing has least productivity in all wheat zones. Rain-fed wheat is sown early, from mid October to first quarter of November. “Early

sowing of winter wheat is likely to expose the crop not only to higher temperature but also a critical day length for flowering” [22]. “On the other hand late sowing of wheat and barley might expose the crop to higher temperature after and during heading, resulting in reduced number of ears and number of grains/ear” [23]. Tomar et al. [24] conducted an experiment at Udaipur and resulted that LAI and leaf area duration (LAD) decreased progressively with delay in sowing after 10<sup>th</sup> December. Singh et al. [25] also observed significant reduction in LAI with delay in wheat sowing from November to December. Tiwari et al. [26] conducted field trials at Khandwa (MP) to investigate the impact of improved agro techniques on wheat which was sown in second week of December and found that a seed rate of 125 kg/ha gave significantly higher grain and straw yield and number of effective tillers (m<sup>-2</sup>) than higher and lower seed rate of this. Singh et al. [27] found that 200 kg seed ha<sup>-1</sup> produced significantly higher grain yield than 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> when the crop was sown on 29<sup>th</sup> December at Samastipur (Bihar). “Higher temperature during vegetative stage results in poor tillering, growth and development” [28]. The sowing seed on or before 20<sup>th</sup> November (Table 2) under dry land condition increases wheat yield significantly over late sowing beyond 20<sup>th</sup> November [29] at Jabalpur.

#### 4.3 Drill Sowing

In rain-fed areas, field preparation should be done with great care as conservation of moisture is dependent on it. The land is prepared by giving one deep ploughing with mould board plough followed by 2-3 ploughing and planking. In these areas, ploughing should be done in the evening time and furrows should be kept open whole night to absorb some moisture from dew. The planking should be done after each ploughing early in the morning. In recent times, with the development of seed drills, “zero tillage” sowings are practiced after rice especially. This practice not only economizes cost of land preparation, but also reduces weed menace owing to non-ploughing of the land. The existing weeds may be killed by non-selective herbicides (paraquat, glyphosate) spray. Singh et al. [30] concluded from a field experiment in Uttar Pradesh, India, that in wheat, strip drilling resulted in higher growth and grain yield (5.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), followed by zero tillage drilling, conventional sowing and bed planting. The broadcast sowing generally gave lower yield than sowing in rows [31].

#### 4.4 Drought Tolerant Varieties

“Drought affects every aspect of the plant growth and the ability to yield well under stress is conditioned by different physiomorphic traits. These traits are genetically complex and are not easy to manipulate. So, little success has been achieved to develop drought tolerant wheat varieties over the last 50 years. A number of physiological traits like leaf water potential, stomatal frequency, stomatal size, osmotic adjustments that are associated with drought resistance have been identified in wheat and are relatively simple in inheritance” [32].

“Moreover, selection and breeding for drought resistance in crops have been considered to be an economical and efficient means of overcoming drought problem” [33]. Drought tolerant varieties (Fig. 1) also play vital role in enhancing wheat productivity under dry-land condition. In this experiment Sujata variety was grown which gave significantly higher yield over WH-147, HD-2189 and HD-2285 [34].

#### 4.5 Bio-Fertilizers

Bio-fertilizers also play an important role in increasing wheat productivity by mineralizing and solubilizing nutrients present in soils in the area of low and erratic rainfall where crop yields rely exclusively on rain water. Some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) capable of producing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase can mitigate the negative impact of water stress on plant in rain-fed agriculture through modulation of plant stress hormone ethylene. The rhizobacteria’s ability to produce ACC deaminase is a very important mechanism to reduce ethylene level and promoting the growth of plants, especially the roots [35]. Any decrease in ACC levels in roots of plants will lead to a reduction in ethylene concentration during stress. Regarding this, Sujata variety when sown on 20<sup>th</sup> October, where 50% RDF were applied and seed was treated with *Azospirillum*@ 5g/kg of seed, gave yield at par with RDF and RDF + seed treated with *Azospirillum*. Hence, 50% chemical fertilizers is as good as 100%, and can be used as low cast input technology to enhances the productivity under dry-land conditions [36]. Bangash et al., [37] reported that “lateral roots were greater in the case of the inoculated plants with PGPR (isolated from rain-fed areas) than uninoculated control”. Thus, PGPR isolated from rain-fed area can be used for biofertilizer formulation which

could be very effective to increase the production of wheat in rain-fed agriculture system.

#### 4.6 Intercropping

Intercropping of wheat with legumes and oilseeds increased wheat equivalent yield significantly. An experiment was conducted at kullu regarding intercropping in which intercropping of wheat with brown sarson at the

ratio of 4:1 gave significantly higher wheat equivalent yield over the sole wheat crop. Besides this wheat + linseed and wheat + lentil also gave higher wheat equivalent yield over the sole wheat crop [38]. Similarly, Kaushik et al. [39] reported that intercropping of wheat + chickpea with at the row ratio of 2:2 was found to be suitable for higher production, wheat equivalent yield, land equivalent ratio, net return and B:C ratio.

**Table 1. Effect of seed hardening with potassium hydro phosphate on yield and yield attributes of rain-fed wheat [19]**

Treatments	Length of panicle (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (q/ha)
Normal seed	9.5	43.0	18.1
Hardened seed	10.0	43.8	20.0
CD (P=0.05%)	0.3	0.4	1.4

**Table. 2 Effect of sowing date and seed rate on yield and yield attributes of wheat under rain-fed condition**

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	No. of spikes m <sup>-2</sup>	No. of grains per spike	Days to maturity
<b>Sowing dates</b>				
20 Nov.	17.95	153	31.6	90.0
5 Dec.	13.58	136	31.4	88.7
LSD 5%	1.39	1.3	2.0	NS
<b>Seed rate (kg/ha)</b>				
80	9.70	107	27.6	90.0
100	10.97	130	26.9	90.2
120	12.18	148	25.7	91.2
LSD 5%	0.51	0.7	NS	NS

**Table 3. Response of planting methods on grain yield in wheat [42]**

Planting methods	No. of grains spike <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Broadcasting (control)	42.64	4367
Planting at 15 cm apart in rows	36.60	3792
Planting at 22.5 cm apart in rows	41.40	4283
Planting at 30 cm apart in rows	38.33	3725
LSD at 0.05%	1.28	175.3

**Table 4. Response of rain-fed wheat to method of sowing and fertilizer placement [43]**

Treatments	Grain yield(q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)
Broadcasting + broad cast fertilizers (Control)	11.4	24.0
Drilling + fertilizers broadcast	14.3	24.9
Broadcasting + fertilizers placed at 10 cm below depth	15.1	26.1
Drilling+fertilizers placed in same furrow in moist subsurface	26.5	36.1
Drill sowing + fertilizers placed in adjacent furrow	16.2	24.4
CD(P=0.05%)	4.2	6.8

**Table 5. Effect of bio-fertilizer on grain yield of rain-fed wheat**


Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)
Control	10.74
N30, P8.73, K8.33	14.43
N30, P8.73, K0	11.31
RDF+Azospirillum	12.86
50% RDF+Azospirillum	12.59
CD (P=0.05%)	2.17

#### 4.7 Weed Management

Weed management also plays vital role in wheat productivity under dry land condition by reducing moisture and nutrient competition. Regarding this an experiment was conducted at Jorhat, in which weed free period up to 45 DAS gave yield at par with season long weed free period. While weedy plot up to 30 DAS also was not sufficient to make critical difference with season long weed free period. Which results the difference of 30 and 45 DAS is the critical period of weed competition. If farmer kept their field free from weeds during this period, yield can be enhanced [40]. In wheat, weeds are effectively controlled by two hand-weedings / hoeings, first one 25-30 DAS and the subsequent 40-50 DAS.

If the cultural methods are not possible due to any factor, then one can go as chemical application. Application of Pendimethaline @1.0 kg/ha gave higher yield followed by Fluroxypyr

@0.2 kg/ha and Tralkozydim @0.4 kg /ha [41]. If at least one irrigation is possible, for control of broad leaved weeds, spray of 2,4-D ethyl ester @ 0.4 kg a.i./ha in 700- 800 litres of water at 35 days after sowing. Its spray earlier than this stage causes ear head malformation leading to uneven seed size. Monocot weeds can be effectively controlled by spraying of isoproturon @ 1.0 kg/ha or metoxuron or methabenzthiazuron @ 1.5 kg/ha at 30-35 DAS. Pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha also provides broad-spectrum weed control.



**Fig. 1. Response of wheat genotypes to yield under dry land conditions**

**Table 6. Performance of wheat based intercropping under rain-fed condition**

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	Intercrop (q/ha)	Wheat equivalent yield (q/ha)
Wheat	37.5	-	37.5
Wheat + brown sarson	37.0	2.9	48.4 (29%)
Wheat + linseed	37.6	1.9	41.6
Wheat + lentil	33.1	1.5	38.5
Wheat + pea	33.2	1.1	37.1
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS	6.7

**Table 7. Effect of weed management practices on rain-fed wheat**

Treatments	Grain yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )
Weed free up to 30 DAS	21.50
Weed free up to 45 DAS	23.63
Weed free up to 60 DAS	24.60
Season long weed free (control)	25.43
Weedy up to 30 DAS	23.44
Weedy up to 45 DAS	21.63
Weedy up to 60 DAS	20.08
CD (P= 0.05%)	2.7

## 5. CONCLUSION

For achieving optimum plant stand farmer should treat the seed with 1% Potassium salts for hardening, adopt drought tolerant varieties like Sujata, C 306, NI 5439 and VL 421 etc. Drilling of seed on or before 20<sup>th</sup> November, in moist zone increase wheat yield. Fertilizer application in moist zone @ 50, 45 and 30 Kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and if possible one foliar application @ 2 % urea enhances the crop yield under dry-land condition. Intercropping of dry land wheat with legume and oilseed (2: 2 or 4:1) gives more wheat equivalent yield over sole wheat. Biofertilizers formulation will also be very effective to increase the production of wheat in rain-fed agriculture system. Weed management during critical period ((30-45 DAS) of crop-weed competition also increase wheat productivity under rain-fed areas.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Fang Y, Miao Q, Liu S, Xu B, Zhang S, Siddique KHM, Palta J, Chen Y. Optimal wheat seeding rate is influenced by cultivar-specific topsoil and subsoil root traits. *Agron. J.* 2019;111:3150-3160
2. Parr JF, Stewart BA, Hornick SB, Singh RP. Improving the sustainability of dryland farming systems: A global perspective advances in soil science. 1990;13. ISBN: 978-1-4613-8984-2
3. NRAA. Draft Policy Documented by National Rain-fed Area Authority (NRAA) Department of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare Govt. of India; 2022. Available:[https://agricoop.nic.in/Documents/121233187\\_rapfinaldraft%20\(1\)\\_repaired.pdf](https://agricoop.nic.in/Documents/121233187_rapfinaldraft%20(1)_repaired.pdf)
4. Das J, Jha S, Goyal MK. Non-stationary and copula-based approach to assess the drought characteristics encompassing climate indices over the Himalayan States in India. *J. Hydrol.* 2020;580:124356. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124356
5. Shiferaw B, Smale M, Barun HJ, Duveiller E, Reynolds M, Muricho G. Crops that feed the world: Past success and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. *Food Secur.* 2013;5:291-317. DOI: 10.1007/s12571-013-0263-y
6. Abhinandan K, Skori L, Stanic M, Hickreson NMN, Jamshed M, Samuel MA. Abiotic stress signaling in wheat- an inclusive overview of hormonal interactions during abiotic stress response in wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018;9:734. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00734
7. Kaya K, Zeki Y. Gene regulation network behind drought escape, avoidance and tolerance strategies in black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.). *Plant Physiol. To install Biochem.* 2017;115:183–199. DOI: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.03.020
8. Blumenthal DM, Mueller KE, Kray JA, Ocheltree TW, Augustine DJ, Wilcox KR. Traits link drought resistance with herbivore defense and plant economics in semi-arid grasslands: The central roles of phenology and leaf dry matter content. *J. Ecol.* 2020;108:2336–2351. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.13454
9. Jones HG, Flowers TJ, Jones MB. *Plants under stress.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; 1989.
10. Dearman J, Brocklehurst PA, Drew RLK. Effect of osmotic priming and ageing on the germination and emergence of carrot and leek seed. *Ann Appl Biol.* 1987;111: 717–722.
11. Parera CA, Cantliffe DJ. Pre-sowing seed priming. *Hortic Rev.* 1994;16:109–141.
12. Bruggink GT, Ooms JJJ, Van der Toom P. Induction of longevity in primed seeds. *Seed Sci Res.* 1999;9:49–53.
13. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Rehman H, Saleem BA. Seed priming enhances the performance of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by improving the chilling tolerance. *J Agron Crop Sci.* 2008;194:55–60.
14. Lemrasky MG, Hosseini SZ. Effect of seed priming on the germination behavior of wheat. *Int J Agric Crop Sci.* 2012;4:564–567.
15. McDonald MB. Seed priming. In: Black M, Bewley JD, editors. *Seed Technology and its Biological Basis.* Sheffield, UK: Sheffield Academic Press. 2000:287–325.
16. Basra SMA, Farooq M, Khaliq A. Comparative study of pre-sowing seed enhancement treatments in fine rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Pak J Life Soc Sci.* 2003;1:21–25.

17. Ashraf CM, Abu-Shakra S. Wheat seed germination under low temperature and moisture stress. *Agron J.* 1978;70:135–139.
18. Carceller MS, Soriano A.. Effect of treatments given to grain, on the growth of wheat roots under drought conditions. *Can. J. Bot.* 1972;50:105–108.
19. Das JC, Choudhury A.K. Effect of seed hardening, potassium fertilizers and paraquate as antitranspirant on rain-fed wheat. *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1996;41(3):397-400.
20. Toklu F, Baloch FS, Karakoy T, Ozkan H. Effects of different priming applications on seed germination and some agromorphological characteristics of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Turk J Agric For.* 2015;39(6):1005-1013. DOI: 10.3906/tar-1404-41
21. Hussain M, Farooq M, Shabir G, Khan MB, Zia AB, Lee DJ. Delay in planting decreases wheat productivity, *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2012;14:533-539.
22. Musick JT, Dusek DA. Planting date and water deficit on development and irrigated winter wheat. *Agron. J.* 1980;72(1) 45-52.
23. Randhawa AS, Jolly RS, Dhillon SS. Response of wheat and barley to nitrogen and different dates of sowing. *Crop Improvement.* 1977;4(1)49-64.
24. Tomar VPS, Singh GD, Keshwa GL. Effect of plant growth chemicals on morphological characters of late sown wheat. *Indian J. Agron.* 1991;44(4):750-753.
25. Singh V, Singh RP, Pawar KS. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to seed rate and date of sowing. *Indian J. Agron.* 1995;40(4):697-699.
26. Tiwari PN, Sharma RK, Devakant. Impact of improved agro-techniques on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian J. Agron.* 1999;36(1):7-11.
27. Singh AK, Pandey K, Singh SS, Thakur SS. Agronomic management for maximizing the productivity of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian J. Agron.* 1999;44(2):357-360.
28. Choudhury SI, Wardlaw IF. The effect of temperature on kernel development in cereals. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 1978;29:205-223.
29. Sarker S, Torofder MGS. Effect of date of sowing and seed rate on wheat under rain-fed condition. *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1992;37(2):352-354.
30. Singh KK, Jat AS, Sharma SK. Improving productivity and profitability of rice (*Oryza sativa*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system through tillage and planting management. *Indian J. of Agric. Sci.* 2005;75(7):396-399.
31. Krezel R, Sobkowicz P. The effect of sowing rates and methods on winter triticale grown on light soil. *Roczniki Nauk Rolniczych. Seria A Produkcja Roslinna,* 1996;111 (3/4):69-78.
32. Ahmad MH, Malik TA, Chowdhry MA. Genetic analysis of some physio-morphic traits in wheat under drought. *JAPS.* 2000;10:5-7.
33. Blum A. Breeding programme for improving crop resistance to water stress. In: *Crop reaction to water and temperature stress in humid temperate climates.* Raper CD, Kramer PJ, (eds.) Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado. 1983:263-275.
34. Mishra CM. Response of wheat genotypes to seed rate on dry-land. *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1993;38(2):288-289.
35. Brunetti C, Saleem AR, Rocca GD, Emiliani G, Carlo AD, Balestrini R, Khalid A, Mahmood T, Centritto M. Effects of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria strains producing ACC deaminase on photosynthesis, isoprene emission, ethylene formation and growth of *Mucuna hpruriens* (L.) DC. in response to water deficit. *J. Biotechnol.* 2021;331:53–62.
36. Sawarkar SD, Godydani M. Effect of fertilizers and *Azospirillum* on grain yield of rain-fed wheat *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1996;41(3):409-411.
37. Bangash N, Khalid A, Mahmood T, Siddique MT. Screening of rhizobacteria containing ACC deaminase for growth promotion of wheat under water stress. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2013;45(SI):91–96.
38. Nayital SC, Sharma J. Performance of wheat based intercropping system under rain-fed conditions. *Indian J. of Agronomy.* 1991;36(3):418-419.
39. Kaushik SS, Singh DV, Sharma AK, Rai AK, Negi RS. Performance of wheat based intercropping as influenced by row proportions under rain-fed condition of kaymore plateau. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention.* 2016;5(9):11-14. ISSN (Online): 2319 – 7722, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 7714 Available:www.ijhssi.org



40. Gogoi AK, Kalita H, Pathak AK, Deka J. Crop-weed competition in rain-fed wheat. Indian J. of Agronomy. 1993;38(3)480-483.
41. Jat AS, Dadheech RC, Baldev R, Jat ML. Effect of herbicides, phosphorous and phosphate solubilizers on growth, yield and weed control efficiency of wheat in central plateau and hills zone of India. Indian J. of Agronomy. 2004;49(1):53-56.
42. Abbas G, Ali MA, Abbas G, Azam M, Hussain I. Impact of planting methods on wheat grain yield and yield contributing parameters. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2009;19(1):30-33.
43. Altab HM, Maniruzzaman AFM. Response of rain-fed wheat to method of sowing and fertilizer placement. Indian J. of Agronomy. 1992;37(4):710-715.

---

© 2023 Chaudhary et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97124>