Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

40(44): 44-49, 2021; Article no.CJAST.83844
ISSN: 2457-1024
(Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Response of Organic and Inorganic Nutrient Sources on Soil Nutrient Status of Cucumber Production under Protected Cultivation

K. S. Rajawat [a*], K. D. Ameta [a], Jitendra Kumar Tak [a], Mohan Singh [a] and Ramesh Chand Choudhary [a]

[a] *Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2021/v40i4431622

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83844

Original Research Article

Received 19 October 2021
Accepted 25 December 2021
Published 26 December 2021

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted at Hi-Tech Unit, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur during 2017 and 2018 to evaluate the effects of different nutrient sources on post harvest soil fertility status in cucumber under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse. The present study comprises eight treatment combinations with four replications under completely randomized design. From the study, it was found that application of 50% organic management through innovative approaches and 50 % RDF through organic fertilizers resulted in maximum production of cucumber and maximum uptake of macro nutrients by the cucumber plants. It is also confirmed that treatment T_7 (50% organic and 50% inorganic fertilizer) improved the post harvest soil fertility status for next crop with better quality produce.

Keywords: Cucumber; protected cultivation; nutrient uptake; organic manures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) is one of the most popular and widely grown vegetable all over the India. It belongs to the family cucurbitaceae which comprises of 117 genera and 825 species in warmer parts of the world [1]. It is one of the quickest maturing vine vegetable

**Corresponding author: E-mail: rajawatkd@gmail.com;*

crop and widely grown throughout the country. The immature fruits of cucumber is said to have cooling effect, prevent constipation and indigestion. It is one of the most important crop and popular among polyhpouse growers due to early production and high profitability [2].

With the changing scenario, polyhouse production of cucumber emphasizes the need of chemical fertilizers. No doubt chemical fertilizers have played a significant role in providing nutrients for intensive crop production but increased use of chemical fertilizers in green house an unbalanced manner has created problem of nutrient deficiencies, diminishing soil fertility, ground water pollution and unsustainable crop yields [3]. For suitable yield supply of balanced nutrition to the plant having the entire essential nutrient element, which would be possible by adopting integrated nutrient management [4,5,6]. Integrated nutrient management system refers to the balanced use of chemical fertilizers in combination with organic manures, crop residues, bio fertilizers and other biological sources [7,8,9]. However, considering the recent concept of eco-friendly production under green house, the use of cost effective and eco-friendly organic manures and biofertilizers with suitable integration of inorganic fertilizers restores the soil health while keeping the soil productive and sustainable with quality produce under protected conditions This approach of nutrient management under polyhouse condition aims at efficient and judicious use of optimum combination of organic, inorganic and biological nutrient sources.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was carried out at Hi-tech Unit, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur during two consecutive years 2017 and 2018, to assess the effects of various organic manures, chemical fertilizers and biofertilizers on nitrogen, phosphorus and potash availability of soil after cucumber production under naturally ventilated polyhouse. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design with eight treatment combinations replicated four times. The treatments were used as.

The raised beds of 1 meter width having 45 cm above from ground level along with length of polyhouse were prepared the plot size was 7 m X 1 m and spacing was followed 45 cm X 30 cm. Basal dose of NADEP compost, vermi-compost, and non-edible cakes were calculated as per treatment and thoroughly mixed in the soil one week before sowing. Bio-fertilizers (PSB + ZSB + *Azotobector*) @ 4 kg per ha were inoculated and applied one week after sowing. Fertigation schedule was followed and NPK was applied in liquid form along with irrigation water twice in a week as water soluble NPK mixture (19:19:19) and (0:52:34) along with micronutrient and calcium nitrate. All cultural practices were followed regularly during entire crop growth period and observations were recorded for available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash after and before completion of experiment. The data was analyzed statistically following the method of Panse and Sukhatme [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During recent years, organic manures in vegetable production become very popular among the farmers due to the improvement in quality and taste of the produce along with sustainable production maintaining soil health in the economically sound manner.

The results of soil nutrient analysis after completion of experiment in cucumber revealed that available N, P and K content of soil influenced significantly by various applications of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Maximum available nitrogen (223.31 kg/ha) and maximum available potassium content (311.49 kg/ha) after completion of experiment in cucumber were recorded with treatment T_2 (100 % RDF + biofertilizers) while, maximum available phosphorus content (26.34 kg/ha) was recorded with T_1 (100 % RDF alone), whereas minimum available nitrogen (191.96 kg/ha) was recorded for treatment T_4 (100 % vermicompost alone), minimum available phosphorus content (21.00 kg/ha) was recorded with treatment T_6 (75 % organic management) and minimum available potassium content (270.77 kg/ha) with treatment T_3 (100 % vermicompost + biofertilizers).The application with 50% organic and 50% inorganic fertilizers (T_7) resulted in maximum yield, available nitrogen (213.20 kg/ha), available phosphorus content (23.32 kg/ha) and available potassium content (273.09 kg/ha) content during both the year of experiment. The increase of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content uptake appeared to be more obvious when the inorganic fertilizers mixed with the organic manures and biofertilizers as compared to application 100 % RDF and other without combination based treatments. Mahmoud et al*.,*

[11] also reported that combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers could increase yield and soil fertility in cucumber.

Higher amount of available NPK in soil with chemically treated plots as compared to combined application or organic manures might be due to poor soil physical structure and lack of microbial activity thus resulting in poor utilization of NPK as such treatments left over higher residual of these nutrients. Lower amount of available NPK was recorded with high yielding treatments and individual application of organic manure might be due to proper utilization and absorption. Similar findings were also reported by Kanaujia and Daniel [12] who reported that high residues of nitrogen in application of 100 per cent RDF in cucumber. Similar findings were also revealed by Chatterjee and Bandyopadhyay [3] in tomato, Anjanappa et al. [13], Bindiya et al. [14] in cucumber and Tuti et al. [15] in pepper under naturally ventilated polyhouse condition.

Table 1. Treatment combinations used in the study

Notation	Treatments
T_1	100 per cent RDF (Inorganic source)
T_2	100 per cent RDF+ biofertilizers
T_3	100 per cent vermicompost + biofertilizers
T_4	100 per cent vermicompost
T_5	100 per cent Organic Management (1/3 of RDN by NADEP compost + 1/3 by vermicompost + 1/3 by non-edible cakes + biofertilizers)
T_6	75 % Organic Management (1/3 of RDN by NADEP compost + 1/3 by vermicompost + 1/3 by non-edible cakes + biofertilizers) + innovative practices (BD 500 @ 75 g per hectare before sowing and 20 DAS + BD-501 @ 2.5 g per hectare 2-4 leaf stage + mataka khad 10 per cent at 20 DAP + Panchagavya @ 10 per cent 20 DAS
T_7	50 per cent Organic Management (1/3 of RDN by NADEP compost + 1/3 by vermicompost + 1/3 by non-edible cakes + biofertilizers)+ 50 per cent inorganic fertilizers
T_8	75 per cent Organic Management (1/3 of RDN by NADEP compost + 1/3 by vermicompost + 1/3 by non-edible cakes + *Azotobacter*, integrated crop management) + 25 per cent inorganic fertilizers

Table 2. Initial fertility status of experimental soil

S. No.	Soil properties	Content	Method of analysis	References
1.	Organic carbon %	0.57	Rapid titration method	[16]
2.	Available nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	224	Alkaline $KMnO_4$ method	[17]
3.	Available phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	29	Olsen's method	[18]
4.	Available potassium (kg ha^{-1})	297	Flame photometer method	[19]
5.	pH	7.8	Electronic glass electrode method	[20]
6.	EC (dsm)	1.7	EC meter	

Table:3 Effect of different integrated nutrient levels on yield, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potash in soil

Treatments	Available nitrogen in soil (kg/ha)			Available phosphorus in soil (kg/ha)			Available potash in soil (kg/ha)			Yield per meter square (kg)		
	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled
T_1	218.55	220.36	219.46	26.35	26.32	26.34	304.55	306.41	305.48	11.14	11.40	11.27
T_2	220.97	225.65	223.31	27.45	22.71	25.08	312.93	310.04	311.49	11.65	11.50	11.57
T_3	223.54	200.83	212.19	26.12	24.63	25.38	280.63	260.90	270.77	8.96	9.26	9.11
T_4	196.56	187.36	191.96	24.85	20.27	22.56	284.09	278.39	281.24	8.53	8.21	8.37
T_5	204.24	190.42	197.33	25.79	22.43	24.11	280.14	272.37	276.26	9.97	10.13	10.05
T_6	208.49	189.08	198.79	23.91	18.10	21.01	278.66	263.40	271.03	8.29	8.14	8.21
T_7	220.65	205.74	213.20	24.28	22.36	23.32	275.49	270.69	273.09	12.78	12.91	12.85
T_8	216.28	192.08	204.18	23.06	18.94	21.00	289.74	278.31	284.03	11.95	12.55	12.25
SEm±	6.66	6.28	4.85	0.77	0.67	0.54	8.89	8.67	6.57	0.17	0.20	0.14
CD 5%	NS	18.33	13.80	2.25	1.97	1.54	NS	25.31	18.68	0.51	0.59	0.41

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of present study it may be concluded that Judicious integration of 50 % organic and 50 % RDF inorganic fertilizers, containing biofertilizers proved its superiority for better production, sustainability and fertilizer use efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur-313001 (Rajasthan) for providing the facilities during this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gopalkrishnan TR. Cucurbits In: Vegetable crops. New India Publishing. 2007;103.
2. Ameta KD, Kaushik RA, Dubey RB, Rajawat KS. Protected cultivation- An Entrepreneurship for modern agriculture, Biotech Today. 2019;9(1):35-40.
3. Chatterjee R, Badyopadhyay S. Studies on effect of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizers on plant nutrient status and availability of major nutrients in tomato. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2014;5(1):93-97.
4. Paul NC, Tasmim MT, Imran S, Mahamud MA, Chakrobortty J, Rabbi RHM, Sarkar SK, Paul SK.. Nutrient Management in Fragrant Rice: A Review. Agricultural Sciences. 2021;12:1538-1554.
5. Shaharia A, Salam MA, Uddin MR, Rahman MS, Talukder FU, Imran S. Influence of weeding regime and integrated nutrient management on the yield performance of transplant Aman Rice (Cv. Brri Dhan49). Reviews in Food and Agriculture. 2020;1(2):27-34.
6. Hossain MM, Imran S, Akter L, Islam N. Growth and yield performance of BRRI Dhan29 to manures and fertilizers under both conventional and SRI System. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2017;19(2):1-5.
7. Pradeepkumar T, Bonny BP, Midhila R, John J, Divya MR, Roch CV. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on the yield of selected tropical vegetables. Scientia Horticulture, 2017;224:84-92.
8. Singh V, Prasad VM, Kasera S, Singh BP, Mishra S.. Influence of different organic and inorganic fertilizer combinations on growth, yield and quality of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under protected cultivation. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1079-1082.
9. Thriveni V, Mishra HN, Pattanayak SK, Maji A. Effect of integrated nutrient management of nutrient uptake and recovery of bittergourd (*Momordia charntia* L.). *The Ecoscan* Special Issue. 2015;7:85-89.
10. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi. 1985:145-155.
11. Mahmoud E, Kader NEL, Robin P, Nourya AC, Lamyaa AER. Effects of different organic and inorganic fertilizers on cucumber yield and some soil properties. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009;5(4):408-414.
12. Kanaujia SP, Daniel ML. Integrated nutrient management for quality production and economics of cucumber on acid alfisol of Nagaland. Ann. of Plant. and Soil Res. 2016;18(4):375-380.
13. Anjanappa M, Kumara BS, Indiresh KM. Growth, yield and quality attributes of cucumber (cv. Hasan Local) as influenced by integrated nutrient management grown under protected condition. Mysore J. of Agr. Sci. 2012;46(1):32-37.
14. Bindiya Y, Reddy IP, Srihari D. Response of cucumber to combined application of organic manures, biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers. Veg. Sci. 2014;41(1): 12-15.
15. Tuti MD, Hedau NK, Bisht JK, Bhatt JC. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrient on yield, economics and energetic of pepper and soil properties in naturally ventilated polyhouse. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2014;60(7): 1005-1014.
16. Walkley A, Black IA. Rapid titration method for organic carbon of soils. Soil Science. 1947;37:29-32.
17. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. Alkaline method for determination of mineralizable nitrogen. Current Science. 1956;25:259-260.
18. Olsen SR, Cole CS, Watanable FS, Dean CA. 4. Estiamtion of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with $NaHCO_3$. USDA, Washington, DC. Circular. 195:939.

19. Richards LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils. USDA Hanbook No. 60. *Oxford and IBH Pub. Co.,* New Delhi; 1968.

20. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Inter Service Publishers, New York; 1950.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83844