Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science

Volume 37, Issue 12, Page 41-51, 2022; Article no.JAMCS.94229
ISSN: 2456-9968
(Past name: British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Past ISSN: 2231-0851)

On Products of Composition and Differentiation Operators

Salih Yousuf Mohamed Salih [a*] and Shawgy Hussein [b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Bakht Al-Ruda, Sudan.*
[b] *Department of Math, College of Science, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2022/v37i121727

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94229

Original Research Article

Received: 02/10/2022
Accepted: 06/12/2022
Published: 21/12/2022

Abstract

In this paper we consider products of composition and differentiation operators on the Hardy spaces. We provide a complete characterization of boundedness and compactness of these operators. M. Moradi and M. Fatehi [1] obtain the explicit condition for these operators to be Hilbert-Schmidt operators. We have a theoretical application on the composition operators with series of perfect symbols.

Keywords: Boundedness; composition operator; compactness; differentiation operators.

1 Preliminaries

For $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The Hardy space H^2 is the Hilbert space of all analytic functions f_j on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

**Corresponding author: Email: salihyousuf20@gmail.com;*

$$\| f_j \|^2 = \lim_{r\to 1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_j \left|f_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2 d\theta < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

It is well known that the Hardy space H^2 is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, with the inner product

$$\langle f_j, g_j\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_j f_j(e^{i\theta})\overline{g_j(e^{i\theta})}d\theta, \tag{1.2}$$

and with kernel functions $K_{w_j}^{(n)}(z) = \sum_j \frac{n!z^n}{(1-\bar{w}_j z)^{n+1}}$, where n is a non-negative integer and $z, w_j \in \mathbb{D}$. These kernel functions satisfy $\left\langle f_j, K_{w_j}^{(n)}\right\rangle = f_j^{(n)}(w_j)$ for each $f_j \in H^2$. To simplify notation we write K_{w_j} in case $n = 0$. In particular note that $\sum_j \left\|K_{w_j}\right\|^2 = \sum_j K_{w_j}(w_j) = \sum_j \frac{1}{1-|w_j|^2}$. Let $\hat{f}_j(n)$ be the nth coefficient of f_j in its Maclaurin series. Moreover, we have another representation for the norm of f_j on H^2 as follows

$$\| f_j \|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_j |\hat{f}_j(n)|^2 < \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

The space H^∞ is the Banach space of bounded analytic functions f_j on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\left\|f_j\right\|_\infty = \sup\sum\{|f_j(z)|: z \in \mathbb{D}\}$. For φ_j an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, the composition operator $C_{\sum\varphi_j}$ is defined for analytic functions f_j on $\mathbb{D}$ by $\sum C_{\varphi_j}(f_j) = \sum f_j \circ \varphi_j$. It is well known that every composition operator $C_{\sum\varphi_j}$ is bounded on H^2 (see [2. Corollary 3.7]). For each positive integer k, the operator $D^{(k)}$ for any $f_j \in H^2$ is defined by the rule $D^{(k)}\sum(f_j) = \sum f_j^{(k)}$. This operator is called the differentiation operator of order k. For convenience, we use the notation D when $k = 1$. The differentiation operators $D^{(k)}$ are unbounded on H^2, whereas Ohno [3] found a characterization for $C_{\sum\varphi_j}D$ and $DC_{\sum\varphi_j}$ to be bounded and compact on H^2. The study of operators $C_{\sum\varphi_j}D$ and $DC_{\sum\varphi_j}$ was initially addressed by Hibschweiler, Portnoy, and Ohno (see [4] and [3]) and has been noticed by many researchers ([5,6], and [7]). [1] be considering a slightly broader class of these operators.

M. Moradi and M. Fatehi [1] showed explicit improvement raised condition for the composition and differential operators.

Massimo Sorella, Riccardo Tione [8] showed the four-state problem and convex integration for linear differential operators is flexible.

Naoki Hamada, Naoto Shida, and Naohito Tomita [9] showed the bilinear pseudo-differential operators are determined in the framework of Besov spaces that improve boundedness of the operators.

For each positive integer n, we write $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ to denote the operator on H^2 given by the rule $\sum D_{\varphi_j,n}(f_j) = \sum C_{\varphi_j}D^{(n)}(f_j) = \sum f_j^{(n)} \circ \varphi_j$. The main results provide complete characterizations of the boundedness and compactness of operators $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ on H^2 (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). In addition, we characterize the Hilbert-Schmidt operators $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ on H^2 (Theorem 3.3). [1] use some ideas which are found in [3].

For φ_j be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. The Nevanlinna counting function $N_{\sum\varphi_j}$ of φ_j is defined by

$$\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) = \sum_{\varphi_j(z)=w_j}\sum \log\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right) \qquad w_j \in \mathbb{D}\setminus\{\varphi_j(0)\} \tag{1.4}$$

and $\sum N_{\varphi_j}(\varphi_j(0)) = \infty$. Note that $\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) = 0$ when w_j is not in $\varphi_j(\mathbb{D})$. For each $f_j \in H^2$, by using change of variables formula and Littlewood-Paley Identity, the norm of $\sum C_{\varphi_j} f_j$ is determined as follows:

$$\sum \| f_j \circ \varphi_j \|^2 = \sum |f_j(\varphi_j(0))|^2 + 2\int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum \left|f_j'(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j), \tag{1.5}$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ (see [2. Theorem 2.31]). Moreover, to obtain the lower bound estimate on $\left\|D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}\right\|$ we need the following well known lemma as follows (see [2. p. 137]):

Suppose that φ_j is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and f_j is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that Δ is any disk not containing $\{f_j^{-1}(\varphi_j(0))\}$ and centered at a. Then

$$\sum N_{\varphi_j}(f_j(a)) \leq \frac{1}{|\Delta|}\int_{\Delta} \sum N_{\varphi_j}\left(f_j(w_j)\right) dA(w_j), \tag{1.6}$$

where $|\Delta|$ is the normalized area measure of Δ.

2 Boundedness and Compactness of $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$

Here we show under some specific conditions well mentioned useable ways of finding bounded operators.

We determine which of these operators $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ are bounded and compact (see [1]).

Theorem 2.1. Let φ_j be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and n be a positive integer. The operator $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is bounded on H^2 if and only if

$$\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) = O\left([\sum \log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1}\right) \quad \left(|w_j| \to 1\right). \tag{2.1)$'$}$$

Proof. Suppose that $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is bounded on H^2. Let $f_j(z) = \frac{K_\lambda(z)}{\|K_\lambda\|} = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. By (1.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum \left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}\right\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum \left\|D_{\varphi_j,n} f_j\right\|^2 \\
&= \sum \left\|C_{\varphi_j}\left(\frac{n!\,\bar{\lambda}^n\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}z)^{n+1}}\right)\right\|^2 \\
&= \sum \left|\frac{n!\,\bar{\lambda}^n\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}\varphi_j(0))^{n+1}}\right|^2 + 2\int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum \left|\frac{(n+1)!\,\bar{\lambda}^{n+1}\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}w_j)^{n+2}}\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j) \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}(1-|\lambda|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}w_j|^{2n+4}} N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Substitute $w_j = \sum \alpha_\lambda(u_j) = \sum \frac{\lambda-u_j}{1-\bar{\lambda}u_j}$ back into (2.1) and using [10]. [Theorem 7.26] to obtain

$$\sum \left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}\right\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}(1-|\lambda|^2)}{\left|1-\bar{\lambda}\alpha_\lambda(u_j)\right|^{2n+4}} N_{\varphi_j}\left(\alpha_\lambda(u_j)\right)\left|\alpha_\lambda'(u_j)\right|^2 dA(u_j). \tag{2.2}$$

Since $1-\sum \bar{\lambda}\alpha_\lambda(u_j) = \sum \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{1-\bar{\lambda}u_j}$ and $\sum \alpha_\lambda'(u_j) = \sum \frac{|\lambda|^2-1}{(1-\bar{\lambda}u_j)^2}$, by substituting α_λ' and $1-\bar{\lambda}\alpha_\lambda$ back into (2.2), we see that

$$\sum \left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}\right\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}|1-\bar{\lambda}u_j|^{2n}}{(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi_j}\left(\alpha_\lambda(u_j)\right) dA(u_j). \tag{2.3}$$

Because $\sum|1-\bar{\lambda}u_j| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any $u_j \in \mathbb{D}/2$, we get from (2.3) that

$$\sum\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}\right\|^2 \geq \int_{\frac{\mathbb{D}}{2}}\sum\frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}N_{\varphi_j}\left(\alpha_\lambda(u_j)\right)dA(u_j). \tag{2.4}$$

There exists $r<1$ such that for each λ with $r<|\lambda|<1, \sum\alpha_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_j(0)) \notin \mathbb{D}/2$ because $\sum\left|\alpha_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_j(0))\right| = \sum\left|\alpha_{\varphi_j(0)}(\lambda)\right|$ and $\sum\alpha_{\varphi_j(0)}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. By (1.6) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}\right\|^2 &\geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}\int_{\frac{\mathbb{D}}{2}}\sum N_{\varphi_j}\left(\alpha_\lambda(u_j)\right)dA(u_j)\\ &\geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}\cdot\sum\frac{N_{\varphi_j}(\alpha_\lambda(0))}{4}\\ &= \frac{((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}\sum N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda)\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for each λ with $r<|\lambda|<1$. Since $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ is bounded, there exists a constant number M so that

$$\lim_{|\lambda|\to 1}\sum\frac{((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda) \leq M. \tag{2.6}$$

We know that $\log(1/|\lambda|)$ is comparable to $1-|\lambda|$ as $|\lambda| \to 1^-$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{|\lambda|\to 1}\sum\frac{((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}}N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda)\\ &= \lim_{|\lambda|\to 1}\sum\frac{((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1+|\lambda|)^{2n+1}}\left(\frac{\log(1/|\lambda|)}{1-|\lambda|}\right)^{2n+1}\frac{N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda)}{(\log(1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}}\\ &\geq \frac{((n+1)!)^2}{2^{6n+4}}\lim_{|\lambda|\to 1}\sum\frac{N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda)}{(\log(1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), we can see that

$$N_{\sum\varphi_j}(\lambda) = O([\log(1/|\lambda|)]^{2n+1})\ (|\lambda| \to 1). \tag{2.7$'$}$$

Conversely, suppose that for some R with $0<R<1$, there exists a constant M satisfying

$$\sup_{R<|w_j|<1}\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)/[\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1} \leq M.$$

Let f_j be an arbitrary function in H^2. It follows from (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}f_j\right\|^2\\ &= \sum\left|f_j^{(n)}(\varphi_j(0))\right|^2 + 2\int_{\mathbb{D}}\sum\left|f_j^{(n+1)}(w)_j\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)\\ &= \left|f_j^{(n)}(\varphi_j(0))\right|^2\\ &+2\sum\left(\int_{R\mathbb{D}}\left|f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)\,.\right.\\ &\left.+\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}}\left|f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

First we estimate the first and the second terms in the right-hand of (2.8). Observe that

$$\sum f_j^{(n)}(z) = \sum \left\langle f_j, K_z^{(n)} \right\rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum \frac{n!\, e^{-in\theta} f_j(e^{i\theta})}{(1 - e^{-i\theta} z)^{n+1}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

and hence

$$\sum \left| f_j^{(n)}(z) \right| \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum \left| f_j(e^{i\theta}) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \sum \| f_j \| \tag{2.9}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows from (2.9) that

$$\sum \left| f_j^{(n)}(\varphi_j(0)) \right| \leq \sum \frac{n!\, \| f_j \|}{(1 - |\varphi_j(0)|)^{n+1}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, we can see that

$$\sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(z) \right| = \sum \left| \left\langle f_j, K_z^{(n+1)} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+2}} \sum \| f_j \| \tag{2.11}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore by (2.11), we see that

$$\int_{R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j) \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - R)^{n+2}} \right)^2 \sum \| f_j \|^2 \int_{R\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j).$$

Since $\sum \| \varphi_j \|^2 = |\sum \varphi_j(0)|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j)$ by (1.5), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \left(\| \varphi_j \|^2 - |\varphi_j(0)|^2 \right) < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we see that

$$\int_{R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j) \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - R)^{n+2}} \right)^2 \sum \| f_j \|^2. \tag{2.13}$$

Now we estimate the third term in the right-hand of (2.8). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j) \\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} \frac{N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)}{(\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1}} dA(w_j) \\
&\qquad \leq \sup_{R < |w_j| < 1} \sum \frac{N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)}{(\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} dA(w_j) \\
&\qquad \leq M \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left| f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j) \right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} dA(w_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Let $f_j(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum a_m^j z^m$. We get

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\backslash R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left|f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} dA(w_j)$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 \left|a_m^j\right|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}\backslash R\mathbb{D}} \left|(w_j)^{m-(n+1)}\right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} dA(w_j)$$
$$\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 \left|a_m^j\right|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left|(w_j)^{m-(n+1)}\right|^2 (\log(1/|w_j|))^{2n+1} dA(w_j)$$
$$= \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 \left|a_m^j\right|^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left|r_j e^{i\theta}\right|^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r_j))^{2n+1} r_j dr_j \frac{d\theta}{\pi}$$
$$\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 \left|a_m^j\right|^2$$
$$\int_0^1 (r_j)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r_j))^{2n+1} 2r_j dr_j. \tag{2.15}$$

Now substitute $t_j = r_j^2$ and $u_j = \log(1/t_j)$ to obtain

$$\int_0^1 \sum (r_j)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r_j))^{2n+1}\, 2r dr_j$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sum t_j^{(m-(n+1))} \left(\frac{1}{2}\log(1/t_j)\right)^{2n+1} dt_j$$
$$= (1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^{\infty} \sum e^{-u_j(m-n)} u_j^{2n+1} du_j. \tag{2.16}$$

By substituting $x_j = (m-n)u_j$ back into (2.16), we have

$$(1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^{\infty} \sum e^{-u_j(m-n)} u_j^{2n+1} du_j$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}} \int_0^{\infty} \sum e^{-x} x_j^{2n+1} dx_j$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(2n+2)}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}}. \tag{2.17}$$

By (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\backslash R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left|f_j^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) dA(w_j)$$
$$\leq M \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 \left|a_m^j\right|^2 \frac{\Gamma(2n+2)}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}}$$
$$= M \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum \frac{m^2(m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n+1)^2}{(m-n)^{2n}} \left|a_m^j\right|^2$$
$$\leq M\lambda \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum \left|a_m^j\right|^2$$
$$\leq M\lambda \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \sum \| f_j \|^2, \tag{2.18}$$

where λ is a constant so that $\frac{m^2(m-1)^2\ldots(m-n+1)^2}{(m-n)^{2n}} \leq \lambda$ for each $m \geq n+1$ (note that the function $f_j(x_j) = \frac{x_j^2(x-1)^2\ldots(x_j-n+1)^2}{(x-n)^{2n}}$ is bounded on $[n+1,+\infty)$). Then (2.8), (2.10), (2.13) and (2.18) show that $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ is bounded. We have the following (see [1]).

Theorem 2.2. Let φ_j be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and n be a positive integer. The operator $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ is compact on H^2 if and only if

$$\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) = o\sum\left([\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1}\right) \qquad (|w_j| \to 1). \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Let $h_m(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda_m|^2}}{1-\bar{\lambda}_m z}$ for a sequence $\{\lambda_m\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ so that $|\lambda_m| \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$. Then $h_m \to 0$ weakly as $m \to \infty$ by [2 Theorem 2.17]. First suppose that $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ is compact. Hence $\sum\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}h_m\right\| \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore (2.5) shows that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum\frac{((n+1)!)^2|\lambda_m|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1-|\lambda_m|^2)^{2n+1}}N_{\varphi_j}(\lambda_m) = 0.$$

Since $\log(1/|\lambda_m|)$ is comparable to $1-|\lambda_m|$ as $m \to \infty$, the result follows.

Conversely, suppose that (2.19) holds. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $R, 0 < R < 1$, such that

$$\sup_{R<|w_j|<1}\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)/[\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1} < \epsilon. \tag{2.20}$$

Let $\left\{(f_j)_m\right\}$ be any bounded sequence in H^2. By using the idea which was stated in the proof of [2. Proposition 3.11], we can see that $\left\{(f_j)_m\right\}$ is a normal family and there exists a subsequence $\left\{(f_j)_{m_k}\right\}$ which converges to some function $f_j \in H^2$ uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Let $(g_j)_{m_k} = (f_j)_{m_k} - f_j$ for each positive integer k. Note that $\left\{(g_j)_{m_k}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in H^2 which converges to 0 uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. By (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum&\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}(g_j)_{m_k}\right\|^2\\ &= \sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n)}(\varphi_j(0))\right|^2 + 2\int_{R\mathbb{D}}\sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)\\ &+2\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}}\sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j).\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

By [11]. [Theorem 2.1, p. 151], we can choose k_ϵ so that

$$\sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n)}(\varphi_j(0))\right| < \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{2.22}$$

and $\sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n+1)}\right| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$ on $R\mathbb{D}$ whenever $k > k_\epsilon$. Substituting $f_j(z) = z$ into (1.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{R\mathbb{D}}\sum\left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j) \quad &\leq \epsilon\int_{R\mathbb{D}}\sum N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)\\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\sum\left(\|\varphi_j\|^2 - |\varphi_j(0)|^2\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

for $k > k_\epsilon$. On the other hand by (2.20) and the same idea as stated in the proof of (2.14) and (2.18), we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}} \sum \left|(g_j)(w)\right|^2 N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)dA(w_j)$$
$$\leq \sup_{R<|w_j|<1} \sum \frac{N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)}{[\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1}}$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}} \left|(g_j)_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w_j)\right|^2 [\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1} dA(w_j)$$
$$\leq C\epsilon \sum \left\|(g_j)_{m_k}\right\|, \tag{2.24}$$

where C is a constant. Hence we conclude that $\sum \left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}(g_j)_{m_k}\right\|$ converges to zero as $k \to \infty$ by (2.21), (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) and so $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact.

The preceding theorems lead to characterizations of all bounded and compact operators $D_{\varphi_j,n}$ when φ_j is a univalent self-map (see [1]).

Corollary 2.3. Let φ_j be a univalent self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and n be a positive integer. Then the following hold.

(i) $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is bounded on H^2 if and only if

$$\sup_{w_j\in\mathbb{D}} \sum \frac{1-|w_j|}{(1-|\varphi_j(w_j)|)^{2n+1}} < \infty \tag{2.25}$$

(ii) $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is compact on H^2 if and only if

$$\lim_{|w_j|\to 1} \sum\sum \frac{1-|w_j|}{(1-|\varphi_j(w_j)|)^{2n+1}} = 0 \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. Since $\sum \varphi_j$ is univalent, we can see that $N_{\varphi_j}(w_j) = \log(1/|z|)$, where $\varphi_j(z) = w_j$. We observe that

$$\sum \frac{N_{\varphi_j}(w_j)}{[\log(1/|w_j|)]^{2n+1}} = \sum \frac{-\log(|z|)}{(-\log(|\varphi_j(z)|))^{2n+1}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Moreover, we know that $\log(1/|z|)$ is comparable to $1-|z|$ as $|z| \to 1^-$. Furthermore $|z| \to 1$ as $|\varphi(z)| \to 1$. Therefore the results follow immediately from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

3 Hilbert-Schmidt Operator $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$

We begin with a few easy observations that help us in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

By showing some needable tools of Hilbert-Schmidt operator, its norm and bound, by considering some Lemmas.

In the proof of the following lemma, we assume that $0^0 = 1$ (see [1]).

Lemma 3.1. Let n be a positive integer and $\alpha_k > 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then for $0 \leq x < 1$, the following statements hold.

(a) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum \frac{\alpha_k^j x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum \alpha_k^j}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}$.

(b) There exists a positive number β^j such that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum \frac{\alpha_k^j x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \geq \sum \frac{\beta^j}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}$.

Proof. (a) We can see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\frac{\alpha_k^j x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\alpha_k^j x^k(1-x)^{n-k}}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}$$

Since $0\le x<1$ and $\alpha_k^j>0$, we conclude that $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\alpha_k^j x^k(1-x)^{n-k}\le\sum_{k=0}^{n}\alpha_k^j$. Hence the conclusion follows.

(b) We have

$$(1-x)^{2n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\frac{\alpha_k^j x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\alpha_k^j x^k(1-x)^{n-k}>0.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\alpha_k^j x^k(1-x)^{n-k}$ is a continuous function on [0,1], there exists a positive number β^j such that $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\alpha_k^j x^k(1-x)^{n-k}\ge\beta^j$. Hence the result follows. We have the following (see [1]).

Lemma 3.2. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty}[m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)]^2x^{m-n}=(n!)^2\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!}\frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}}$$

for $0\le x<1$.

Proof. See [7, Lemma 1] and the general Leibniz rule.

A Hilbert-Schmidt operator on a separable Hilbert space H is a bounded operator A with finite Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\| A \|_{HS}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\|Ae_n\|^2\right)^{1/2}$, where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. These definitions are independent of the choice of the basis (see [2. Theorem 3.23]). Now we have the following (see [1]).

Theorem 3.3. Let $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ be a bounded operator on H^2. Then $D_{\sum\varphi_j,n}$ is a HilbertSchmidt operator on H^2 if and only if

$$\lim_{r\to1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum\frac{1}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\right)^{2n+1}}<\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Suppose that (3.1) holds. Lemmas 3.1- 3.2- and [10. Theorem 1.27] imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum\left\|D_{\varphi_j,n}z^m\right\|&=\sum_{m=n}^{\infty}\sum\left\|m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi_j^{m-n}\right\|\\&=\sum_{m=n}^{\infty}\lim_{r\to1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum\left|m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi_j^{m-n}\left(r_je^{i\theta}\right)\right|^2d\theta\\&=\lim_{r\to1}\sum_{m=n}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum\left|m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi_j^{m-n}\left(r_je^{i\theta}\right)\right|^2d\theta\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&=\lim_{r\to1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_{m=n}^{\infty}\left|m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi_j^{m-n}\left(r_je^{i\theta}\right)\right|^2d\theta\\&=\lim_{r\to1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum\frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!}\frac{\left|\varphi_j\left(re^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{2k}}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\right)^{n+k+1}}\\&\le\lim_{r\to1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum\frac{\alpha^j}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\right)^{2n+1}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\alpha^j = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!}$ (note that the interchange of limit and summation is justified by [2 Corollary 2.23] and using Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem with counting measure). It follows that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum \left\| D_{\varphi_j,n} z^m \right\| < \infty$ and so $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^2 by [2 Theorem 3.23].

Conversely, suppose that $D_{\sum \varphi_j,n}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^2. We infer from [2, Theorem 3.23] that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum \left\| D_{\varphi_j,n} z^m \right\|^2 < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, by the proof of (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 there exists a positive number β_j such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum \left\| D_{\varphi_j,n} z^m \right\|^2 \\ &\quad = \lim_{r\to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum \frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!} \frac{\left|\varphi_j\left(re^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{2k}}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\right)^{n+k+1}} \\ &\quad \geq \lim_{r\to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum \frac{\beta_j}{\left(1-\left|\varphi_j(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\right)^{2n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence the result follows from (3.3) and (3.4).

4 Conclusion

A theoretical approaches of the composition operators with series of choosable symbols has boundedness, compactness and has a differential operator with an explicit characterization on the Hardy spaces. A full estimates of the Hilbert-Schmidt operator are classified and verified.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

[1] Mahbube Moradi, Mahsa Fatehi. Products of composition and differentiation operators; arXiv:2108.06774v1 [math.FA] 15 Aug 2021.

[2] Cowen CC, MacCluer BD. Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL; 1995.

[3] Ohno S. Products of composition and differentiation between Hardy spaces. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2006;73:235-243.

[4] Hibschweiler RA, Portnoy N. Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 2005; 35:843-855.

[5] Fatehi M, Hammond CNB. Composition-differentiation operators on the Hardy space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2020;148:2893-2900.

[6] Fatehi M, Hammond CNB. Normality and self-adjointness of weighted composition differentiation operators. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory. 2021;15:1-13.

[7] Stević S. Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin. 2009;16:623-635.

[8] Massimo Sorella, Riccardo Tione. The four-state problem and convex integration for linear differential operators. Journal of Functional Analysis. 2023;284:109785.

[9] Naoki Hamada, Naoto Shida, Naohito Tomita. On the ranges of bilinear pseudo-differential operators of $S_{0,0}$-Type on $L^2 \times L^2$. Journal of Functional Analysis. 2021;280:108826.

[10] Rudin W. Real and complex analysis. Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York; 1987.

[11] Conway JB. Functions of one complex variable, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York; 1978.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94229