

Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal

17(2): 1-6, 2022; Article no.OR.89959 ISSN: 2321-7227

Study of Clinical and Etiological Pattern of Anterior Uveitis in Western Odisha

M. Nivetha ^{a*}

^a Department of Ophthalmology, Ispat General Hospital, Rourkela, Odisha, India.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/OR/2022/v17i230251

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89959

Original Research Article

Received 25 May 2022 Accepted 30 July 2022 Published 02 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Uveitis is a potentially sight-threatening disease affecting people from all over the world. It attributes to 5-20% of legal blindness in developed countries and 25% of blindness in the developing world. Uveitis includes a varied group of intraocular inflammatory conditions that may occur at any age but affect mostly working-age people. The average annual incidence of uveitis has been reported as approximately 14- 17/1,00,000. Aim is to study the clinical and etiological pattern of anterior uveitis. Objectives are to evaluate the clinical pattern of anterior uveitis, to study the etiological pattern of anterior uveitis, to identify the complications of anterior uveitis, to assess the treatment outcome. Methods: A prospective clinical study was done in the Department of Ophthalmology, Rourkela, Odisha during September 2019 - March 2021 (18 months)between the age group of 20-80 years. Each patient was called for follow up on 1st day, 2nd day, 1 week, 3 weeks, 6 weeks from the day of presentation The complications like posterior synechiae, complicated cataract, raised IOP, macular edema were noted and the response to treatment was recorded and evaluated in each patient. Results and conclusion: Despite all efforts to identify the cause, the most common cause of anterior uveitis remained idiopathic (48.6%) followed by immune related cause (20.3%). Visual acuity was 6/12 or worse in majority of the patients at presentation and following medical line of treatment most patients regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better after 6 weeks, which was statistically significant (p<0.0001).

Keywords: Aetiology; anterior; pattern; uveitis.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nivemuthu999@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

"Uveitis is a potentially sight-threatening disease affecting people from all over the world. It attributes to 5-20% of legal blindness in developed countries and 25% of blindness in the developing world" [1].

"Uveitis includes a varied group of intraocular inflammatory conditions that may occur at any age but affect mostly working-age people" [2]. The average annual incidence of uveitis has been reported as approximately 14-17/1,00,000.

"Uveitis is defined as the inflammation of the entire uveal tract affecting any of its three constituents: Iris, Ciliary body and Choroid. The uveitis can be classified in different ways. It can be divided into Anterior, Intermediate, Posterior, and Pan uveitis based on the primary anatomical location of the inflammation Anterior uveitis often causes a painful red eye with mild to moderate vision loss, but its long-term sequelae contribute significantly to the total burden. The treatment for uveitis itself can result in both ocular and systemic complications. The morbidity associated with the disease is moderately high" [3].

The differential diagnosis of anterior uveitis can be accomplished by a thorough eye examination and physical assessment. The correct diagnosis of uveitis is often challenging as these patients present with a plethora of ocular as well as systemic signs and symptoms. In most cases, uveitis is idiopathic and clinical spectrum of disease overlap with varied etiology. Despite improved understanding of the etiopathogenesis and evolution of advanced diagnostic techniques, the etiology of uveitis still remains elusive in a significant number of cases.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective clinical study was done in the Department of Ophthalmology, Rourkela, Odisha during September 2019 - March 2021 (18 months) between the age group of 20-80 years. Data was collected from the patients after informed consent. Patients fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria were taken. A standard clinical proforma was filled in all cases which included salient feature in history, visual acuity using Snellen's visual acuity chart, clinical findings, laboratory investigations and the final etiology. All patients were examined under slit lamp.

Details on disease severity, laterality, chronicity, ocular signs and associated systemic conditions were noted. Presentation was considered as unilateral if active inflammation was present in only one eye and bilateral if both eyes presented with active inflammation. In bilateral cases only one eye was taken for the study. A short differential diagnosis was made in each case. Subsequently, a tailored laboratory investigation was carried out. "Final etiological diagnosis was made based on history, clinical features, laboratory investigations and systemic evaluation by other medical specialities. The anterior uveitis was considered to have idiopathic etiology when it was not associated with HLA-B27 haplotype and neither with defined clinical syndromes nor with definitive etiology" [4].

All patients were treated medically with topical steroids (prednisolone acetate 1%) and topical cycloplegic mydriatics(atropine, cyclopentolate or homatropine). Steroid's frequency was titrated according to severity of uveitis. Appropriate treatment was given whenever etiology was known.

Each patient was called for follow up on 1st day, 2nd day, 1 week, 3 weeks, 6 weeks from the day of presentation. BCVA and IOP was noted at each follow up. During each visit the patients were checked under slit lamp biomicroscopy for keratic precipitates, cells, aqueous flare, posterior synechiae. The complications like posterior synechiae, complicated cataract, raised IOP, macular edema were noted and the response to treatment was recorded and evaluated in each patient.

3. RESULTS

Total 74 patients were studied. It included patients from 20 to 80 years of age, both males and females. Study was conducted for the period of 18 months (Table 1).

Out of 74 patients studied 15(20%) of patients showed immune related cause and 9(12.2%)showed infective cause. In 5(6.7%) patients the cause of anterior uveitis was blunt trauma. Other causes like fuchs heterochromic iridocyclitis, phacolytic, inflammatory bowel disease, were found in 9 (12.2\%) patients. Remaining 36 (48.6\%) were found to be idiopathic (Table 2).

Characters	Number(n=74)	Percentage (%)
Age (Years)		
20-30	17	23
31-40	24	32
41-60	19	25
61-80	14	20
Gender		
Male	43	58
Female	31	42
Laterality		
Unilateral	70	94.5
Bilateral	4	5.5
Clinical Presentation		
Acute	63	85
Chronic	7	10
Recurrent	4	5
Type of inflammation		
Granulomatous	10	13.5
Non granulomatous	64	86.5

Table 1. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the patients

Table 2. Distribution according to etiology of anterior uveitis

Etiology	Number of cases	Percentage
Idiopathic	36	48.6
Immune related	15	20.3
Infective	9	12.2
others	9	12.2
Traumatic	5	6.7
Total	74	100.0

Out of 74 patients studied 14(18.9%) showed persistent posterior synechiae. 1(1.4%) had cystoid macular edema and 1(1.4%) had secondary glaucoma. Secondary cataract developed in 1(1.4%) patient. Rest 57(77%) showed no complications (Table 3).

Of the 74 studied population, $6\12VA$ was found to be present for 26 patients at the day of presentation ,20 patients at 1 week , 19 patients at 3 weeks and 6 patients at the end of 6 weeks. $6\18VA$ was found to present for 20 patients at presentation, 15 at 1 week and 9 at 3 weeks, 1 at 6 weeks. 6\24VA was found to present for 15 patients at presentation, 9 at 1 week, 3 at 3 weeks. 6\36VA was found to be present for 9 patients at presentation, 3 at 1 week, 1 at 3 weeks. 6\60 VA was found to be present for 3 patients at presentation, 1 at 1 week. PI+PR+ and CF 5 m was found to be present for 1 patient at the day of presentation ad 1 day respectively. At the end of 6 weeks 46 patients gained 6\6 VA and 21 patients gained 6\9 VA (Table 4).

Table 3. Distribution of	cases according	g to complications
--------------------------	-----------------	--------------------

Complications	Number	Percentage
Cataract	1	1.4
Secondary glaucoma	1	1.4
Cystoid macular edema	1	1.4
No complications	57	77.0
Posterior synechiae	14	18.9
Total	74	100.0

VA	Presentation	1st Day	2nd Day	1 Week	3 Weeks	6 Weeks
6\6	0	0	0	0	6	46
6\9	0	0	0	26	36	21
6\12	26	26	26	20	19	6
6\18	20	20	20	15	9	1
6\24	15	15	15	9	3	0
6\36	9	9	9	3	1	0
6\60	3	3	3	1	0	0
PL+PR+	1	1	0	0	0	0
CF 5M	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 4. Visual acuity at presentation and during follow up

4. DISCUSSION

In our study out of 74 patients 43 were males (58%) and 31(42%) were females.

In our study, the maximum number of cases reported were around the age group of 31-40 years. In older age group, uveitis was phacolytic in origin. This is similar to other studies like Singh et al. [7] and Rathinam et al. [5] which showed same age predilection. Children(1%) and elderly (14%) were less commonly affected similar to the study by Ben Ezra et al. [8] and Favre et al. [9].

"In our study, anterior uveitis was found to be associated with diabetes mellitus in 10 patients (13.5%) and hypertension in 9(12.2%) patients. All those who had diabetes mellitus were above 50 years of age. In a study of uveitis presenting in elderly, it was noted that diabetes should probably be considered a risk factor for uveitis development" [15].

Table 5. Gender comparison

Gender	Current study	Rathinam et al. [5]	Al ezandro Rodriguez et al. [6]
Males	58%	61.3%	38.9%
Females	42%	38.7%	61.1%

Table 6. Laterality comparison

	Current study	Rathinam et al. [5]
Unilateral	94.5%	85.3%
Bilateral	5.5%	14.7%

Table 7. Comparison of etiological patterns of anterior uveitis

Studies	Idiopathic anterior uveitis (%)
Current study	48.6
Japan based [10]	42.3
USA based [10]	34.9
Turkey based [10]	43.2
Dipankar et al. [11]	45.51
Yellambkar ST et al. [12]	46.6
Sudha Madhavi et al, [13]	42.0
Biswas et al. [14]	58.6
Singh et al. [7]	24.7

Table 8. Chronicity comparison

	Current study	Rathinam et al. [5]
Acute	63%	71.9%
Chronic	7%	24.3%
Recurrent	4%	3.8%

	Present	Rathinam et al. [5]	Alezandro Rodriguez et al. [6]	Sudha Madhavi et al. [13]
Granulomatous	13.5%	18.8%	12.4%	10%
Non- granulomatous	86.5%	81.2%	87.6%	90%

rable 9. Comparison of type of inflammatio	ble 9. Co	omparison	of type	of	inflammatio
--	-----------	-----------	---------	----	-------------

Table 10. Comparison of etiological factors of present study with other studies

SI. No.	Etiology	Current Study	Rathinam et al. [5] (n=5028)	Singh et al. [7] (n=607)	Henderly et al. [17] (n=167)
1	Idiopathic	36	44.6	61.3	43.52
2	Blunt trauma	5	7.7	-	2.52
3	Phacolytic	2	3.5	-	-
4	Herpes zoster	6	8.6	1.8	8.99
5	Tuberculosis	3	4	7.9	-
6	Septic focus	-	-	-	-
7	Immune related	15	7.1	-	-
	Fuchs' heterochromic				
8	iridocyclitis	5	8.4	5.1	6.47
9	Leprosy	-	2.1	0.8	-
10	IBD	2	-	-	1.08

In our study Visual acuity was 6/12 or worse in the majority (71.8%) of eyes at presentation. Following treatment most eyes regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better (74.3%). In few eyes with complicated cataract or macular edema, visual acuity improved only marginally.

In our study no complications were seen in 57patients (77%). Complications were commonly noted in chronic and recurrent cases. Most common complication observed was persistent posterior synechiae in 14 patients (18.9%), cataract in 1 patient (1.4%). Secondary glaucoma was seen in 1 patient (1.4%), macular edema was seen in 1 patient(1.4%). Rothova et al. [16] reported cataract in 19% of cases and glaucoma in 11%.

5. CONCLUSION

This study reflects the clinical and etiological pattern of anterior uveitis at our centre. Despite all efforts to identify the cause, the most common cause of anterior uveitis remained idiopathic (48.6%) followed by immune related cause (20.3%). Infective causes like Herpes Zoster and Tuberculosis accounted for 12.2% cases. Fuch's heterochromic iridocyclitis, Phacolytic uveitis and Inflammatory Bowel disease associated anterior uveitis formed 12.2% of the cases. 6% of cases were due to traumatic causes. Anterior uveitis was found to be more common in 31-40 years age group (32%). Males (58%) were more

commonly affected than females (42%). The presentation was more unilateral (94.5%) than bilateral. The incidence of non-granulomatous type of inflammation (86.5%) was more than granulomatous (13.5%). The onset of anterior uveitis was mostly acute (85%) as compared to chronic (10%) and recurrent (5%). In this study, anterior uveitis was found to be associated with diabetes mellitus in 13.5% and hypertension in 12.2% patients. In 77% of cases no complication was seen. Visual acuity was 6/12 or worse in majority of the patients at presentation and following medical line of treatment most patients regained visual acuity of 6/9 or better after 6 weeks, which was statistically significant (p<0.0001).

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Miserocchi E, Fogliato G, Modorati G, Bandello F. Review on the worldwide epidemiology of uveitis Eur J Ophthalmol. 2013;23:705–17.
- 2. Nussenblatt RB. The natural history of uveitis. Int Ophthalmol 1990;14:303–308.
- 3. Weinberg RS. Anterior uveitis. Ophthalmol Clin North Am. 1993;6:23–8.
- 4. Nussenblatt RB, Whitcup SM. Uveitis: Fundamentals and clinical practice, 3rd ed. Mosby:Philadelphia; 2004.
- Rathinam SR, Namperumalsamy P. Global variation and pattern changes in epidemiology of uveitis. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2007;55:17383.
- Rodriguez A, Calonge M, Pedroza-Seres M, Akova YA, Messmer EM, D'Amico DJ, et al. Referral patterns of uveitis in a tertiary eye care center. Arch Ophthalmol. 1996; 114:593-9.
- Singh R, Gupta V, Gupta A. Pattern of uveitis in a referral eye clinic in North India. IndianJ Ophthalmol 2004;52:121-5.
- Ben Ezra D, Cohen E, Maftzir G. Uveitis in children and adolescents. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005;89:444-8.
- 9. Favre C, Tran VT, Herbort CP. Uveitis in the elderly. Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd. 1994;204:319-22.
- 10. Dandona L, Dandona R, John RK, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Population based

assessment of uveitis in an urban population in southern India. Br J Ophthalmol. 2000;84:706 9.

- Das D, Bhattacharjee H, Das K, Tahiliani PS, Bhattacharyya P, Bharali G, et al. The changing patterns of uveitis in a tertiary institute of Northeast India. Indian J Ophthalmol2015;63:735-7.
- Ozdal MP, Yazici A, Tufek M, Ozturk F. Epidemiology of uveitis in a referral hospital inTurkey. Turk J Med Sci. 2014;44:337-42.
- Chams H, Rostami M, Mohammadi SF, et al. Epidemiology and prevalence of uveitis. Iranian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2009; 21(4):4-16.
- 14. Biswas J, Narain S, Das D, Ganesh SK. Pattern of uveitis in a referral uveitis clinic in India. Int Ophthalmol. 1996;20: 2238.
- Power JW. Introduction to uveitis. In: Albert DM, Jakobiec FA, Azar DT, Gragoudas ES, Power SM, Robinsom NL, editors. Principles and Practice of Ophthalmology. 2 nd ed. Pennsylvania (PA): WB Saunders Company. 2000;2:1189-216.
- Rothova A, Suttorp-van Schulten MS, Frits Treffers W, Kijlstra A. Causes and frequency of blindness in patients with intraocular inflammatory disease. Br J Ophthalmol. 1996;80:332-6.
- Henderly DE, Genstler AJ, Smith RE, Rao NA. Changing patterns of uveitis. Am J Ophthalmol. 1987;103:131-6.

© 2022 Nivetha; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89959