





# N. Sabitha <sup>a\*</sup>, M. Divya <sup>a</sup> and E. S. V. Narayana Rao <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, S. V Agricultural College, Tirupati -517502, Andhra Pradesh, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2024/v36i54536

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

Received: 22/01/2024 Accepted: 25/03/2024

Published: 29/03/2024

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114943

**Original Research Article** 

#### ABSTRACT

The cotton genotypes viz; NDLH-1935, NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 recorded comparatively higher mean values for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root/shoot ratio both under controlled and moisture-stress conditions out of 30 genotypes studied under greenhouse conditions at seedling stage during kharif, 2022-23 at RARS, Nandyal. Low to moderate range of phenotypic coefficients variability (9.54 to 25.22 and 14.16 to 24.67), higher heritability (93.00 to 98.30 and 96.30 to 99.80) coupled with higher genetic advance over mean (18.29 to 51.07 and 28.22 to 50.31) registered under controlled and moisture-stress conditions, respectively revealed the importance of additive gene action for all the characters studied. Primary root length had positive and significant phenotypic and genotypic associations with shoot length (0.3105\*\* and 0.3211\*\*), root dry weight (0.3294\*\* and 0.3332\*\*) and root/ shoot ratio (0.3688 \*\*and 0.3753\*\*) under controlled conditions while the same character showed either non significant or significant negative correlations (0.0355 and 0.0342, -0.0830 and -0.0702 and 0.2126\*\* and -0.2230\*\*) under moisture stress conditions. Shoot length showed non significant associations with

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 393-401, 2024



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: nsabitha84@gmail.com;

all the characters studied under both the conditions. The phenotypic associations between shoot dry weight and root dry weight (0.7168\*\* and 0.5431\*\*) and root dry weight with root/ shoot ratio (0.8115\*\* and 0.5515\*\*) were significant and positive association at phenotypic level under controlled and moisture-stress conditions, respectively. Relative water content had non significant associations whereas root/ shoot ratio showed positive and significant associations with primary root length and non significant associations with all other characters under both the conditions. Based on mean values, heritability and genetic advance for primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio under both controlled and moisture stress conditions, the above characters may be considered as important parameters while selecting genotypes for improving drought tolerance ability in upland cotton.

Keywords: Upland cotton; seedling characters; drought tolerance; genetic parameters; correlation analysis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton is an important commercial crop in Andhra Pradesh mostly grown under rainfed conditions in an area of 6. 02 lakh hectares with a production of 17. 85 lakh metric tonnes of lint and productivity of 504 kg/ha [1]. Hence, the chances of crop experiencing drought are more at any one stages of the crop growth. Therefore, development of drought-tolerant cultivars to achieve economic yield under rainfed conditions is one of the major objective in cotton improvement. Drought is one of the most important abiotic stress factor that cause significant product loss in cotton. Drought stress affects photosynthesis, stomatal regulation, reduction in root - shoot growth, leaf area, transpiration and osmo-regulation. Several molecular. morphological, ecological traits, physiological biochemical, processes are impaired under drought conditions [2]. Root growth, shoot length, shoot dry weight, leaf area, relative water content, stomatal density and stomatal conductance are affected significantly under drought stress [3]. They also reported that relative water content has been considered as reliable selection criteria for drought tolerance based on higher water content under moisture stress conditions in the leaf tissue. Drought stress for longer period affects plant biomass, development, stem weight, plant plant population, crop growth, boll size seed cotton and lint quality. The seedling, flowering and boll formation are the moistures sensitive phenolphases in cotton. The seedling stage is highly sensitive stage of the crop to environmental conditions in cotton. The first plant organ that is exposed to moisture stress is the roots which in turn transmit the stress to the plant through morphological, physiological and metabolic changes. Roots are the sensors of plants, detect osmotic stress and thus roots play a role in the

plant drought tolerance mechanism [4]. Plants with deep root systems show better drought tolerance and improve moisture and nitrogen uptake. Variability for root growth characters viz; root length and root dry weight and shoot length, shoot dry weight under drought conditions for drought tolerance was reported in cotton [5,6,7,2]. Positive and significant associations among shoot and root characters were reported by Reddy et al. [8] and Igbal et al. [9]. Gossypium hirsutum is sensitive to abiotic stress conditions whereas Gossypium arboreum has more biotic and abiotic stress tolerance and adaptation [10]. Through the present study cultivated upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L) genotypes at the seedling stage were evaluated in green house conditions under controlled and moisture stress conditions so as to identify the characters imparting drought tolerance and also the cotton genotypes possessing drought tolerance ability.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out involving 30 genotypes during Kharif, 2022-23 at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Nandval, A. P located at 15° 29' N latitude and 78°29' E longitude from an altitude of 211. 76 M above sea level at the green house conditions. The type of soils is black cotton with medium available nitrogen, high in phosphorus and medium in potassium. The completely randomized design was adopted with three replications both under moisture stress (treatment) and non-moisture stress (control) conditions. Watering was given till the development of the first true leaf under both the conditions. Moisture stress condition was created by withholding water supply to the under genotypes grown moisture stress conditions. Thereafter, need based watering was given to the genotypes grown controlled conditions. The experiment was continued for 45

days (August to September, 2022) from the date of emergence of the seedlings as per the standard procedure [11]. Data on primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight; root dry weight and relative water content were recorded on five randomly selected plants. Root to shoot ratio was determined based on shoot and root dry weights. Statistical analysis was carried out as per Panse and Sukhatme [13]. Relative water content was determined adapting methodologies of Clark and Townley -Smith [14]. Correlation coefficients were estimated as suggested by Dewey and Lu [15]. Genetic parameters (coefficients of variability, heritability and genetic advance were calculated as per standard methods of Burton [16], Lush [17] and Jhonson et al. [18], respectively.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance indicated existence of significant differences among the genotypes for all characters studied at seedling stage under both controlled and moisture stress conditions. Mean, range of variability, estimates of genetic parameters (PCV, GCV, heritability and expected genetic advance as percent mean) recorded for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root-shoot /ratio under controlled and moisture stress conditions were presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

## 3.1 Primary Root Length (cm plant-1)

Primary root length under controlled conditions ranged from 12.88 (NDLH-1979) to 28.64 cm (NDLH-1931) with a mean of 19.14 cm while under moisture-stress conditions, it ranged from 15.24 (NDLH-1866) to 31.18 cm (NDLH-1976) with a mean of 21.40 cm (Table 1). All the genotypes except NDLH1931, NDLH1979 and NDLH 1928 recorded low mean values for this character under moisture stress conditions compared to controlled conditions. Estimates of PCV (16.68% and14.37%) and GCV (16.50% and 14.17%) were moderate under controlled and moisture stress conditions, respectively. High heritability (98.00 and 97.17%) and genetic advance as a per cent of mean (33.67% and 28.76%) were noted for primary root length under both the conditions (Tables 2 and 3). High heritability and high genetic advance as per cent of mean coupled with moderate variability suggests that additive type of gene action is operating for this character. Hence, Primary root length can be easily fixed by simple selection in the early generations.

## 3.2 Shoot Length (cm plant-1)

A mean value of 39.35 cm was recorded for this character ranging from 24.70 (NDLH-1905) to 51.08 cm (Sivanandi) under controlled conditions while it varied from 13.98 (Narasimha) to 28.94 cm (NDLH-2019) with a mean of 22.04 under moisture stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered low mean values for this character. NDLH 1943 recoded higher values compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Moderate values of PCV (14.23% and 17.87%) and GCV (13.96% and 17.69%), high heritability (97.12% and 97.98%) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (28.32% and 36.06%)were recorded both under controlled and under moisture-stress conditions for shoot length(Tables 2 and 3).Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV along with high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean indicate that shoot length is mostly governed by additive gene action.

# 3.3 Shoot Dry Weight (g plant-1)

This character ranged from 5. 20 (NDLH-1984) to 9. 85 g plant-1 (Sivanandi) among the genotypes with a mean of 8.25 g plant-1 under controlled conditions whereas under moisture-stress conditions it varied from 1.60 (NDLH-2028) to 2.82 g plant-1 (NDLH-2005-4) with a mean of 2.24 g plant-1 (Table 1). All the genotypes registered low mean values for this character under moistures stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1989, NDLH 2019, NDLH1935 NDLH1976, NDLH1949, NDLH 2005and Sivanandi recorded higher values 4 compared to other genotypes under moisture stress conditions. Moderate estimates of PCV (14.16% and 19.82%) to GCV (13.95% and 19.59%)) and higher heritability (97.12% and 97.73%),) and genetic advance (28.32% and 39.90%) were recorded under both controlled and moisture stress conditions for shoot dry weight (Table 2 and 3). Moderate coefficients of variability, higher genetic advance over mean and heritability recorded for this character under both the conditions suggests that shoot dry weight is governed by additive gene action in cotton.

## 3.4 Root Dry Weight (g plant-1)

Root dry weight ranged from 0.80 (NDLH-2019) to 2.01 g plant-1 (NDLH-1949) with a mean value of 1.44 g plant-1 under controlled conditions while it ranged from 0.30 (NDLH-1866) to 0.70

(Sivanandi) g plant-1 with a mean of 0.49 g plant-1 under moisture-stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered low mean values for this character under moistures stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1935, NDLH 1984, NDLH2013, NDLH1949, NDLH 2005-1, NDLH 2005-4 and Sivanandi recoded higher values compared to other genotypes moisture stress conditions. under Higher estimates of heritability (98.62% and 93.01%) and genetic advance as a percentage of mean values (44.48% and 28.28%) and low estimates of PCV (9.54% and 14.16%) and GCV (9.20% and 13.95%) registered under controlled and moisture-stress conditions for this character reveals that root dry weight is under the control of additive gene action (Tables 2 and 3).

## 3.5 Relative Water Content (%)

Relative water content varied from 27.03 (NDLH-1992) to 72.67 (NDLH-2028-2) with a mean value of 44.87 per cent under controlled conditions and it varied from 20.05 (NDLH-2004) to 61.22 (NDLH-2005-4) with an average of 32.58 per cent under moisture stress conditions (Table 1). All the genotypes registered low mean values for this character under moistures stress compared to controlled conditions. However, NDLH1979, NDLH1931, NDLH1935, NDLH1959, NDLH1976, NDLH 2028, NDLH 2005-4, NDLH1971, NDLH 1905, NDLH 1943 and Sivanandi recoded higher values compared to other genotypes studied under moisture stress conditions. Higher estimates of PCV (24.67% and 25.22%), GCV (24.54% and 25.01%), heritability (96.40% and 98. 30%) and genetic advance over mean (30.28% and 51.07%) recorded under both the conditions revealed that relative water content (RWC) is governed mostly by additive gene action (Tables 2 and 3). Hence, RWC could be improved by simple selection.

## 3.6 Root to Shoot Ratio

Root to shoot ratio ranged from 0.13 (NDLH-1866, NDLH-1979) and NDLH-1992) to 0.22 (NDLH-2005-4) with an average of 0.17 under controlled conditions while it varied from 0.16 (NDLH-2019) to 0.29 (NDLH-1976) with a mean of 0.22 under moisture-stress conditions (Table 1). In general, all the genotypes under moisture stress conditions recorded higher root to shoot ratio values for this character. Moderate values of PCV (14.98% and 15.91%) and GCV (14.76% and 15.68%), higher estimates of heritability (97.15% and 97.15%) coupled with higher genetic advance as per cent of mean (29.98% and 31.84%) registered under both controlled and moisture stress conditions, respectively for root to shoot ratio suggests the importance of additive gene action (Tables 2 and 3). Hence, root to shoot ratio could be manipulated through simple selection in the early generations.

Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV, higher heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean for primary root length by Irum et al. [19], Riaz et al. [20], Farooq et al. [21] and Abdelmoghny et al. [22]; Irum et al. [19] and Faroog et al. [21] for shoot length; Handi and Katageri [23] and Faroog et al. [21] for shoot dry weight; low PCV and GCV but higher heritability and genetic advance for root dry weight by Riaz et al. [19]. Abdelmoghny et al. [22] and Parre and patil [24] and moderate estimates of PCV and GCV. higher heritability and genetic advance by Riaz et al. [20] and Abdelmoghny et al. [22] for relative water content and moderate PCV GCV. estimates of and higher heritability and genetic advance for root to shoot ratio were reported in cotton. The present findings of low to moderate coefficients of variation coupled with higher heritability and advance suggests that genetic all the characters studied were governed by additive gene action and are amenable for simple selection.

In the present study, NDLH-1935, NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 genotypes recorded comparatively higher mean values for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and relative water content both under both controlled and moisture-stress conditions and can be used as parental lines in breeding for drought tolerance as tolerant genotypes can increase growth rate, maintain growth and encourage root growth under Variability among cotton moisture stress. genotypes as registered in the present study for root growth characters by Basal et al. [6], Singh et al. [7] and Jyoti et al. [25] whereas lgbal et al. [25], Parida et al. [27] and Zou et al. [28] for root, stem and RWC characters were reported in cotton under moisture stress conditions. Pace et al. [29] observed a significant increase in root thickness and root length in seedling stage under drought stress than control after the drought recovery. A reduction in root to shoot ratio under controlled conditions and higher values under

S. No	Genotype Shoot length		Root length		Root dry weight		Shoot dry weight		Root to shoot ratio		Relative water content		
		Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment
1	NDLH-2008	39. 98	25. 78	17. 78	18. 40	1. 24	0. 91	3. 27	2. 03	0. 17	0. 20	46. 67	26.80
2	NDLH-1979	41. 28	27.88	12. 88	16. 94	1. 02	0. 97	3. 81	2. 21	0. 13	0. 21	40. 38	36. 63
3	NDLH-2019	41.08	28.94	18. 56	21.94	0. 80	0. 92	2. 62	1. 80	0. 14	0. 16	61.70	39. 13
4	NDLH-1989	39.80	23. 82	15. 82	16. 92	1. 11	0. 99	2. 92	2.60	0. 16	0. 19	45. 83	36.80
5	NDLH-1866	37. 42	21. 24	12. 94	15. 24	1. 21	0.80	3. 12	1. 85	0. 13	0. 16	42. 22	39. 09
6	NDLH-1993	34.04	20. 54	13. 92	20. 54	1. 15	0. 94	3. 53	1. 61	0. 15	0. 27	37.00	27.00
7	NDLH-1931	43. 72	25. 40	28.64	31. 18	1. 81	0. 93	2. 42	1. 75	0. 19	0. 25	48. 05	36.84
8	NDLH-1932	34.90	18. 46	19. 14	20. 20	1. 62	0. 95	4. 81	2. 12	0. 18	0. 21	50.00	30.00
9	NDLH-1935	44.70	27.06	23.06	24. 38	1. 10	0. 92	2.20	1. 62	0. 16	0. 23	44. 68	43. 64
10	NDLH-1959	39. 30	25. 16	19.00	21.84	1.62	0. 98	2.82	1. 40	0. 16	0. 20	38. 18	36. 49
11	NDLH-1969	41.60	25. 04	21.82	22.04	1. 24	0. 91	4. 13	2. 32	0. 15	0. 17	42.00	41.00
12	NDLH-2013	37.86	19. 72	17. 12	18. 78	1. 21	1. 11	3. 61	2. 43	0. 16	0. 25	50. 98	27.85
13	NDLH-1976	30. 24	16. 78	17. 14	19. 44	1. 24	1. 15	4. 82	2. 62	0. 14	0. 25	53. 40	23. 23
14	NDLH-1984	20. 46	21. 20	19. 36	21.30	1. 12	1.05	2. 20	1. 20	0. 19	0. 29	31. 51	29. 02
15	NDLH-1992	54.68	19. 10	19. 10	23. 10	1. 21	0. 93	4.00	2.40	0. 13	0. 18	27.03	25. 83
16	NDLH-2004-3	35. 98	19. 82	20. 98	23.00	1. 43	0. 97	3.60	2. 10	0. 18	0. 22	35. 09	30. 74
17	NDLH-2028	32.36	18. 50	17. 14	24. 56	1. 70	0. 92	3. 20	1.60	0. 21	0. 26	43. 55	37. 24
18	NDLH-1971	37.50	19. 58	22. 50	23. 64	1. 41	0.96	4.60	2.20	0. 16	0. 21	71.93	37.06
19	NDLH-1905	29.70	19. 79	21.80	23. 20	1. 27	0.90	3. 63	2.06	0. 17	0. 19	33. 33	24. 75
20	NDLH-1943	49. 48	27.20	18. 72	19. 06	1. 83	1. 01	5. 12	2. 41	0. 20	0. 21	36.90	30. 15
21	NDLH-1949	45. 42	20. 50	20. 44	23. 50	2.01	1. 14	5. 42	2.60	0. 21	0. 25	34. 03	29. 23
22	NDLH-2004	34.70	18. 80	17.00	17. 32	1.71	1. 02	5.63	2.50	0. 18	0. 21	38. 20	20. 05
23	NDLH-1313	37.08	20. 28	18. 98	21.36	1. 42	0. 93	5. 11	2. 43	0. 16	0. 18	36. 76	35.60
24	NDLH-1928	39. 56	24.06	21.30	23.66	1. 25	0. 92	3.80	1. 81	0. 16	0. 23	42.86	20. 05
25	NDLH-2005-4	44.08	24. 18	21.00	23. 40	1.83	1. 12	4. 45	2.82	0. 22	0. 22	43. 01	28. 24
26	NDLH-2051-1	37. 18	23.00	19. 92	20. 80	1. 65	1.00	3. 21	2. 20	0. 18	0. 23	63. 16	26.88
27	NDLH-2028-2	41.20	14. 50	16. 78	20. 02	1. 81	1. 11	3.60	2. 16	0. 21	0. 28	72. 67	61.22
28	Narasimha	40.08	13. 98	20.60	23.06	1.61	0. 91	3. 22	1. 82	0. 20	0. 23	50.00	28.80
29	Sivanandi	56.08	25.36	20.96	21. 52	1. 98	1. 20	4. 85	2. 81	0. 20	0. 25	37. 50	33. 88
30	Srirama	39. 16	25. 44	19. 94	21. 58	1.64	1.00	4. 62	1. 81	0. 19	0. 28	47.31	31.72

 Table 1. Mean performance of drought tolerance related traits of upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) under controlled and moisture-stress conditions

Sabitha et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 393-401, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114943

S. No	Genotype	Shoot length		Root length		Root dry weight		Shoot dry weight		Root to shoot ratio		Relative water content	
		Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment
	Mean	39. 35	22. 04	19.14	21.40	1.44	0.99	2.81	2. 11	0.17	0. 22	44. 87	32. 50
	Range Lowest	20. 46	13. 98	12. 88	15. 24	0. 80	0. 80	2.20	1. 20	0. 13	0. 16	27.03	20. 05
	Range Highest	56.08	28. 94	28. 64	31. 18	2.01	1. 20	5.63	2. 82	0. 22	0. 29	72. 67	61.22
	SEm	0. 72	0. 32	0.26	0. 30	0. 02	0. 01	0.06	0. 04	0.003	0.003	0.65	0. 63
	C. D at 5%	2.03	0. 91	0.73	0. 84	0.06	0. 04	0. 18	0. 10	0.007	0. 010	1.83	1.80
	CV(%)	3. 15	2. 53	2. 33	2. 39	2. 61	2. 47	2.94	2. 91	2. 578	2.653	2. 50	3. 38

Table 2. Estimates of mean, variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean for drought tolerance related traits under controlled conditions in uplandcotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) during *kharif*, 2022-23

S. No.	Character	Mean	Range		Coefficier	nt of variation	Heritability (broad	Genetic advance as per cent	
			Minimum	Maximum	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	sense) (%)	of mean	
1	Shoot length(cm plant-1)	39. 35	20. 46	56.08	14. 387	14. 181	97. 20	28. 793	
2	Root length(cm plant-1)	18. 81	12. 88	23.06	17. 87	17. 689	98.00	36. 069	
3	Root dry weight(g plant-1)	1.44	0.8	2. 01	19. 823	19. 597	97. 70	39. 91	
4	Shoot dry weight(g plant-1)	8. 25	5.2	9.85	9. 544	9. 205	93. 00	18. 287	
5	Root to shoot ratio (%)	0. 17	0. 13	0. 22	25. 222	25.007	98. 30	51.075	
6	Relative water content(%)	44. 87	27.03	72. 67	15. 242	14. 968	96. 40	30. 28	

Table 3. Estimates of mean, variability, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean for drought tolerance related traits under moisture stress conditions in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*L.) during *kharif*, 2022-23

S. No.	Character	Mean	Range		Coefficie	ent of variation	Heritability (broad sense)	Genetic advance as	
			Minimum	Maximum	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	(%)	per cent of mean	
1	Shoot length(cm plant-1)	19. 35	12.06	27.36	16. 679	16. 516	98. 10	33. 69	
2	Root length(cm plant-1)	21.26	15. 24	31. 18	14. 229	13.96	96. 30	28. 215	
3	Root dry weight(g plant-1)	0.49	0. 3	0.3	14. 156	13. 95	97. 10	28. 319	
4	Shoot dry weight(g plant-1)	2.24	1.6	2.82	21.897	21. 745	98.60	44. 485	
5	Root to shoot ratio (%)	0. 22	0. 16	0. 29	24. 669	24. 544	99.00	50. 307	
6	Relative water content (%)	32. 5	20. 05	61.22	15. 242	14. 968	96. 40	30. 28	

moisture stress conditions reveal the response of genotypes to favourable and less favourable environments, respectively. Jayant et al. [2] indicated that no single index can fully and accurately evaluate the drought resistance of crops and hence it is necessary to identify a combination of reliable parameters for drought tolerance Divya [12]. Du and Huang [30] considered more than 20 physiological and biochemical indices for determining drought tolerance in cotton. Reduction in shoot and root growth characters under drought stress in some of the genotypes observed in the present study could be due to less assimilation and reduction in the uptake of nutrients by roots because of low water potential under osmotic stress.

## 3.7 Correlation Analysis

Character associations between and among the characters estimated indicated that primary root length had positive and significant association with shoot length (0. 3105 \*\*), root dry weight (0.3294\*\*) and root/ shoot ratio (0. 3668\*\*) under controlled conditions while the same character showed either negative or non-significant correlations under drought stress conditions. Shoot length had non significant associations with all the characters studied under both the controlled and moisture stress conditions and root dry weight with root/ shoot ratio (0.8115\*\* and 0.5119\*\*) were significant and positive under controlled and moisture-stress conditions, respectively. Relative water content had non significant associations whereas root/ shoot ratio showed either non significant or negative associations with all the characters studied under both the conditions. Associations among root and shoot growth characters have significant effects on enduring stress conditions. Hence, primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio may be considered as important parameters of drought tolerance while selecting genotypes for improving drought tolerance ability in upland cotton. Similar observations were made by Basal et al. [6], Maruti and Katageri [31], Manjunath et al. [32], Reddy et al. [8] Mvula et al. [33] and Veesar et al. [3] in cotton.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The cotton genotypes *viz*, NDLH-1935, NDLH-1943, NDLH-1949, NDLH-1976, NDLH-1979, NDLH-2019, Sivanandi and NDLH-2005-4 were found to be superior genotypes for drought tolerance and may be used in breeding programmes aimed at development of high yielding genotypes with drought tolerance ability. Additive gene action was found to be predominant for primary root length, shoot length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative water content and root to shoot ratio both under controlled and moisture stress conditions and thus these characters can be improved through simple selection. Based on mean, variability and genetic parameters, Primary root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and root/shoot ratio may be considered as important characters while selecting genotypes for drought tolerance ability in upland cotton.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. DES AP. Govt. Estimates of cotton area, production and productivity in Andhra Pradesh for the year 2022-23; 2021-22.
- 2. Jayant HM, Suman Bala Singh, Raghavendra KP, Waghare VN. Drought stress. tolerance in cotton. Progress and perspectives Science Direct. 2022;135-139.
- Veesar NF, Jatoi WA, Memo S, Gandhi N, Aisha G, Solangi AH. Evaluation of cotton genotypes for drought tolerance and their correlation studies at seedling stage. Biomedical Journal of Scientific and Technical Research ISSN. 2020;2574-1241.
- 4. Mahamood T, Muhammad SI. Differential seedling growth and tolerance indices reflect drought tolerance in cotton. BMC Plant Biology. 2022;22.
- 5. Naidu P, Cameron DF, Konduri SV. Improving drought tolerance of cotton by glycine betaine applicaton and selection. Proceedings of the 9th Australian Agronomy Conference. 1995;1-5.
- 6. Basal H, Smith CW, Thaxton PS, Hemphill JK. Seedling drought tolerance in upland cotton. Crop Science. 2005;45: 766-771.
- Singh B, Vorvell E, Wijewardana C, Wallace T, Chastain D, Reddy KR. Assessing morphological characteristics of elite cotton lines from different breeding programmes for low temperature and drought tolerance. Journal of American Crop Science. 2018;467-76.
- 8. Reddy KR, Brand D, Wijewardana C, Gao W. Temperature effects on cotton seedling

emergence growth and development. Agronomy Journal. 2017;109:1379-87.

- Iqbal K, Azhar FM, Khan IA, Ullah E. Assessment of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) germplasm under water stress condition. International Journal of Agricultural Biology. 2010;12:251-255.
- 10. Liu D, Guo X, Lin Z, Mie Y, Zhang X. Genetic diversity of Asiatic Genetic Resources Crop Evolution. 2006;55:1145-52.
- Dahab AA, Saeed M, Hamza NB, Mohamed BB, Husain,T. Linkage disequilibrium and association mapping of drought tolerance in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) germplasm population from diverse regions of Pakistan. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2016;15(46):2603-2612.
- Divya,M. 2022. Genetic analysis of yield, fibre quality and drought tolerance related traits in upland cotton( *Gossypium hirsutum* L.), M.Sc (Ag) Thesis submitted to the Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur. Pp144.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 2nd Edition ICAR, New Delhi. 1961;361.
   Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Publication. 1985;87-89.
- 14. Clarke JM, Townley-Smith TF. Heritability and relationship to yield of excised leaf water retention in durum wheat. Crop Sciences. 1986;26:289-292.
- 15. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal. 1959;51(9):515-518.
- 16. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress. 1952;277-283.
- 17. JL. Intra-sire correlation Lush on regression of off spring on dams as a method of estimating heritability of characters. Proceedings of American Society for Animal Production. 1940;33:392-401.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. Agronomy Journal. 1955;47:314-318.
- 19. Irum A, Tabasum A, Iqbal MZ. Variability, Correlation and Path coefficient analysis of seedling traits and yield in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). African Journal

of Biotechnology. 2011;10(79):18104-18110.

- Riaz M, Farooq J, Sakhawat G, Mahmood A, Sadiq MA, Yaseen M. Genotypic variability for root/shoot parameters under water stress in some advanced lines of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Genetics and Molecular Research. 2013;12(1):552-561.
- 21. Farooq MA, Shakeel A, Atif RM, Saleem MF. Genetic Variability Studies for Salinity Tolerance in *Gossypium hirsutum*. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2018;20(12):2871-2878.
- 22. Abdelmoghny AM, Raghavendra KP, Sheeba JA, Santosh HB, Meshram JH, Singh SB, et al. Morpho-physiological and molecular characterization of drought tolerance traits in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. genotypes under drought stress. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants. 2020;26:2339-2353.
- 23. Handi SS, Katageri IS. Genetic variability for root and shoot traits under moisture stress in G. hirsutum cotton. Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2016;9(5):546-549.
- Parre S, Patil RS. Genetic variability studies in early segregation generation (F3) of intra-hirsutum cotton hybrids of Line x Tester crosses under the rain-fed situation. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(3):951-955.
- 25. Jyoti JG, Valu MG, Geeta ON. Correlation, path coefficient and D2 analysis study of seed cotton yield and fibre quality traits in American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(3):222-230.
- 26. Iqbal K, Muhammad F, Ahmud khan I. Variabilty for drought tolerance in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and its genetic basis. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2011;13(1):61-66.
- 27. Parida AK, Dagaonkar VS, Aurangabadkar LP. Alterations in photosynthetic pigments, protein and osmotic components in cotton genotypes subjected to short term drought stress followed by recovery. Plant Biotechnology Reports. 2007;1:37-38.
- Zhou J, Wei H, Yu-xia L, Jia-qi HF, Hong HZ, Zhi-guo Z. Screening of drought tolerance indices and evaluation of drought resistance in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.).Journal of Integrated Agriculture. 2020;19(2):495-508.

Sabitha et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 393-401, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114943

- 29. Pace PF, Cralle HT, El-Halawany SHM, Cothren JT, Senseman SA. Droughtinduced changes in shoot and root growth of young cotton plants. The Journal of Cotton Science. 1999;3:183-187.
- 30. Du CL, Huang GW. Research progress of major identification indicators in the cotton drought tolerance. Chinese Agriculture Science Bulletin. 2011;27:17-20.
- Maruti L, Katager IS. Genetic influence of root traits of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) on moisture stress tolerance. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2015;28 (4):454-458.
- Manjunath CP, Rajesh SP, Aravindakumar BN, Mummigatti UV. Breeding for Drought Tolerance in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) with an Emphasis on Physiological Parameters. Vegetos- An International Journal of Plant Research. 2017;30:424-428
- Mvula J, James M, Bokosi VK, Banda 33. MHP. Screening cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) genotypes for drought tolerance under screen house conditions in Malawi. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science. 2018; 10(2):48-57.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114943