

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 5, Page 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512 ISSN: 2320-7035

Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Nitrogen in Maize under Drip Fertigation

K. N. Raja Kumar a++* , A. Mani a#, G. Ravi Babu b† and CH. Sujani Rao c‡

^a Department of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Administrative Office, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur, 522 034, India. ^b Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, Dr. NTR College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla, 522 101, India. ^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, 522 034, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2024/v36i54535

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114512

> *Received: 18/01/2024 Accepted: 22/03/2024 Published: 29/03/2024*

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted during kharif 2018, rabi 2018-19 and kharif 2019 at Dr. NTR College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh to study the spatial and temporal distribution of nitrogen in maize. The experiment was laid out in split plot design consisting of three irrigation levels 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 ETc and four nitrogen levels consisting of fertigation levels 80%, 100%, 120% RDN on sandy clay loam soil. There were significant variation

⁺⁺ Technical Officer, Associate Professor and O/o. Dean;

[#] Dean;

[†] Professor and Head;

[‡] Principal Scientist;

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: kn.rajakumar@angrau.ac.in;*

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024

due to irrigation and fertility levels at all the stages of crop growth. Generally, the availability of nitrogen increased when irrigation as well as fertilizer level increased up to maturity stage. The maximum available nitrogen was in the depth of 0- 30 cm range and then decreased vertically.

Keywords: Nutrient distribution; drip fertigation; maize crop.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fertigation is particularly important for irrigated agriculture in sandy soils where large quantities of fertilizers should be applied to meet crop requirements and to prevent loss by leaching. It has been proved as one of the superior method for applying water and nutrients through the drip irrigation system.

Nitrogen applied to soil undergoes number of transformations like hydrolysis, mineralization, ammonia volatization, nitrification and denitrification. The process of nitrification converts the NH₄-N to NO₃-N (nitrate nitrogen), which is highly vulnerable to leaching along with the soil water. The leached NO₃-N is generally not available to plant and contaminates the ground water storage. When there is a high drainage condition in the soil profile leaching of nitrate occurs and accumulated with $NO₃-N$ [1]. Careful use of water and nitrogen can lessen the total of NO3-N leaching below the root zone of crop [2]. It is not possible to completely eradicate leaching losses while obtaining optimum crop water productivity, but uniform water and nutrient application can reduce the leaching losses to a lowest.

Optimization of fertigation parameters based on lowering nitrate leaching in one incident is not sufficient, and proper application of fertigation in the crop period should be considered at the same time. Ideal application of nutrients in the root zone of crop ensures their optimum utilization, higher crop yield and subsidiary nutrients losses. Therefore, optimization of fertigation has dominant role in implementation of micro-irrigation systems to acquire better quality and quantity of agricultural production without degradation of soil and groundwater.

Thus to understand the movement of nutrient with water in drip irrigation, it is essential to study the distribution of water and nitrogen in the different soil layers. Hence, the present study has been planned at Dr NTR College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla to study the nutrient dynamics in order to increase irrigation

water and fertilizer use efficiency to avoid pollution of ground water in maize crop. Maize (*Zea mays*) well known as Queen of cereals, also called corn belongs to Graminae family. It is the principal one of the cereal crops of the world. Maize is an extensive staple food in many regions of the world and it is recycled in food processing, feed industry and in various other industrial applications.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The field experiment was conducted during kharif 2018, rabi 2018-19 and kharif 2019 under maize crop at the field irrigation laboratory, Department of Soil and Water Engineering, Dr. N. T. R. College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla, Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh State, India. "Geographically the experimental site is located at latitude of 16° N and longitude of 88 $^{\circ}$ E with an altitude of 6 m above mean sea level" [3].

2.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil

Soil samples were collected at every 15 cm layers from land surface till the soil depth of 90 cm using soil augur to characterize the soil. The collected soil samples were analysed in laboratory for determining the physical and chemical properties (Table 1 and 2).

2.3 Design and Installation of Fertigation System

A drip irrigation system was designed for the experiment under DEKALB DKC 8161 variety of hybrid maize crop. The lateral lines were spaced at 1.2 m interval. Inline drip emitters with 2.0 lph rated discharge were placed on the lateral line at a spacing of 30 cm. Each plot has three laterals with a net plot size of 8.0 m \times 3.6 m (28.8 m²). A total of 36 plots were designed for the entire field area of 1350 m² (54 \times 25 m). A control valve was provided to each plot to regulate the operation of irrigation and fertigation. The installation of surface drip irrigation system in the experimental field was shown in Plate 1.

Soil depth from	Mineral (% mass)		content	Textural	Hydraulic conductivity	Bulk density	Field	Permanent wilting
surface (cm)	Clay	Silt	Sand	class	(cm/h)	$({\rm g/cm^3})$	capacity (% vol)	point (% vol)
$0 - 15$	35	10	55	Sandy clay loam	0.94	1.37	21.48	6.73
15-30	35	10	55	clay Sandy loam	0.50	1.57	27.17	9.12
30-45	30	10	60	Sandy clay	0.46	1.53	28.24	10.56
45-60	35	5	60	Sandy clay loam	0.96	1.63	27.69	10.92
60-75	35	5	60	Sandy clay loam	0.96	1.63	27.73	11.61
75-90	30	5	65	clay Sandy loam	0.95	1.67	26.62	10.75

Table 1. Physical properties of the experimental soil [3]

Table 2. Chemical properties of the experimental soil [3]

Soil depth from	рH	EC	Organic	Available			
surface (cm)		(ds m ⁻¹)	carbon (%)	N (kg ha ⁻¹)	P (kg ha ⁻¹)	$K(kg \, ha^{-1})$	
$0 - 15$	5.62	0.10	0.27	141.12	28.21	141.12	
15-30	6.86	0.16	0.12	147.39	34.88	87.36	
$30 - 45$	7.05	0.20	0.10	119.16	21.03	87.36	
45-60	5.34	0.11	0.09	56.44	13.33	53.76	
60-75	5.14	0.05	0.075	40.76	12.82	53.76	
75-90	5.42	0.03	0.06	25.08	11.28	47.07	

Plate 1. Experimental details

Field experiment was conducted with DEKALB DKC 8161 variety of hybrid maize under drip irrigation in split plot design consisting of three irrigation levels as main treatment and four fertigation levels as sub treatments with three replications during *kharif* 2018 and *rabi* 2018-19 at the Field irrigation lab, Dr. NTR College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla. Maize seed was sown in a paired row system as plant to plant and row to row spacings were 20 cm and

40 cm, respectively $[(80 \text{ cm} + 40 \text{ cm}) \times 20 \text{ cm}]$ (Fig. 1).

The treatments in the experiment are as follows:

Main plots: Irrigation levels (I)

 $I = 0.6$, 0.8 and 1.0 of the crop evapotranspiration as I1, I2 and I3

Sub plots: Fertigation levels (N)

 N_1 = Drip fertigation with 80% of recommended dose of nitrogen (CF)

N₂= Drip fertigation with 100% of recommended dose of nitrogen (CF)

N₃= Drip fertigation with 120% of recommended dose of nitrogen (CF)

N4= No drip fertigation (manual application) with 100% of recommended dose of nitrogen (CF)

(CF= Conventional Fertilizers)

2.4 Manuring and Fertilizer Application

Farm yard manure (FYM) was applied to the field at the rate of 24.7 t ha⁻¹ in the final ploughing

operation. The fertilizers used in the experiment were urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. The recommended dose of fertilizers for *Kharif* is 180:60:50 Kg ha-1 NPK and 200:80:80 Kg ha-1 NPK for *rabi* (Vyavasaya Panchangam, ANGRAU, 2018) [4]. Treatment wise requirement of N, P and K were estimated. P was applied as basal application manually and the 50% of K was applied in basal at the time of sowing and remaining 50% of K was applied at flowering stage manually. To optimize the fertigation scheduling, the estimated quantity of N for treatments was applied through venturi under drip fertigation at every 10 days interval [3]. Standard cultural practices were adopted during both the crop-growing seasons. The fertigation schedule for maize crop grown seasons during *kharif* and *rabi* are shown in Table 3 and 4. The details of fertigation date and quantity of nitrogen in each fertigation for three seasons are given in Table 5.

Fig. 1. Layout of each plot in the experiment

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Table 5. Fertilizer application schedule during crop seasons

2.5 Determination of Available Nitrogen in Soil

Available nitrogen in a soil represents that fraction of the total N which is usable by the plants. The inorganic N in soil is predominantly in $NH₄$ and $NO₃$ forms. To determine the available nitrogen, soil samples were collected at 0, 15, 30, 45 cm distance from emitter across the

lateral in the depths of 0-15, 15-30, 30-45, 40-60 cm interval using soil augur at crop initial stage, development stage, maturity stage and post harvest to determine the soil nitrogen (N) content for their spatial distribution in different soil layers [5]. Kjeldahl method was used to analyse the soil samples for estimating the nitrate and ammonium concentration in different soil layers. Distillation and titration are the two primarily

processes involved in determining the ammonium and nitrate form of nitrogen. Steam distillation of extracted samples derived from well stirred 2M KCI solution was carried out with MgO to determine ammonium concentration in the soil. To obtain the nitrate form of nitrogen, steam distillation of same sample was again done with Devarda's alloy. During each case, gas liberated through steam distillation was collected in H_3BO_3 indicator solution. Titration was carried out with standard 0.02 N H₂SO₄ solutions to obtain ammonium nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen concentration [6].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Nitrogen and Nitrogen Uptake during Crop Growing Periods

3.1.1 Available nitrogen during *Kharif* **2018**

During *kharif* 2018 (Fig.2,3,4 and 5) the more availability of nitrogen (144.4, 197.6, 197.6 and 116.6 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I_1N_1 . In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen was observed as 150.5 kg ha⁻¹ at 15-30 cm depth in vegetative stage and the higher availability of nitrogen (200.7, 207.0 and 119.2 kg ha $^{-1}$) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm for reproductive stage, maturity stage and

post harvest respectively. In treatment I_1N_2 the higher availability of nitrogen (150.5, 200.7, 241.5 and 119.2 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at emitter in a depth of 15-30 cm. In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen was observed as 147.4 kg ha $^{-1}$ at 15-30 cm and 30-45 cm depth in vegetative stage and the higher availability of nitrogen (216.4, 247.7 and 159.9 kg ha-1) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm for reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest respectively. In treatment I_1N_3 the higher availability of nitrogen (156.8, 207.0, 238.3 and 128.6 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen was observed as 169.3, 210.1 and 150.5 kg ha-1 at 15 cm depth in vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and post harvest. In case of maturity stage, the higher availability of nitrogen 235.2 kg ha⁻¹ was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. In case of I_1N_4 the higher availability of nitrogen (166.2, 191.3, 222.7 and 119.2 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen (172.5, 203.8, 225.8 and 141.1 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm.

Fig. 2. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N¹ during *kharif* **2018**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 3. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N² during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 4. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N³ during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 5. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N⁴ during *kharif* **2018**

For I² treatments (Figs.6,7,8 and 9) the higher availability of nitrogen (150.5, 207.0, 247.7 and 125.4 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I_2N_1 . In 15 cm from emitter, the highest availability of nitrogen (163.1, 216.4, 247.7 and 144.3 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. In treatment I_2N_2 the higher availability of nitrogen (159.9, 216.4, 254.0 and 125.4 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment. In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen (172.5, 225.8, 257.2 and 141.1 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. In case of 30 cm from emitter at vegetative stage the same amount of available nitrogen was found between the depth 0-30 cm $(134.8 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ and at 15-30 cm depth the higer available nitrogen was found in reproductive and maturity stage. At post harvest it was higher in top 15 cm depth $(103.5 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$. In treatment I_2N_3 , the higher availability of nitrogen was found in 15-30 cm depth at emitter (169.3, 216.4, 257.2 and 125.4 kg ha-1) and 30 cm from emitter (144.3, 191.3, 232.1 and 100.4 kg ha $^{-1}$). In 15 cm from emitter, it was higher at 0-15 cm depth (178.8, 228.9, 263.4 and 138 kg ha⁻¹). In case of I_2N_4 the higher availability of nitrogen (172.5, 197.6, 235.2 and 213.2 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest availability of nitrogen (185.0, 207.0 and 241.5 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. At post harvest, it was higher at 15-30 cm depth (207 kg ha-1).

Fig. 6. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N¹ during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 7. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N² during *kharif* **2018**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 8. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N³ during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 9. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N⁴ during *kharif* **2018**

For I_3 treatments (Figs. 10, 11, 12 and 13) the higher availability of nitrogen (153.7, 213.2, 241.5 and 128.6 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I₃N₁. In 15 cm from emitter the highest availability of nitrogen (166.2, 222.7, 250.9 and 141.1 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. In treatment I₃N₂, the higher availability of nitrogen (163.1, 222.7, 250.9 and 128.6 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest availability of nitrogen (175.6, 232.1, 257.2 and 141.1 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. In

case of 30 cm from emitter at vegetative stage, the same amount of available nitrogen was found between the depth 0-30 cm at vegetative stage $(138.8.0 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ and post harvest $(103.5 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ 1). At reproductive stage, maturity stage it was higher at 15-30 cm depth (197.6 and 225.8 kg ha⁻¹). In case of 45 cm from emitter, the higher availability of nitrogen (134.8, 194.4, 222.7 and 100.4 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm.

In treatment I_3N_3 the higher availability of nitrogen (175.6, 222.7, 247.7 and 125.4 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest availability of nitrogen (232.1, 257.2 and 141.1 kg ha⁻¹ at reproductive stage, maturity

stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm. At vegetative stage, it was higher (200.7 kg ha-1) in 30-45 cm depth. In case of 30 cm from emitter, the higher availability of nitrogen (150.5, 197.6 and 222.7 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. At post harvest, it was higher (103.5 kg ha⁻¹) in 0-15 cm depth. In case of 45 cm from emitter, the higher availability of nitrogen (147.4, 194.4, 219.5 and 97.2 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. The higher availability of nitrogen (175.6, 200.7, 222.7 and 119.2 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I3N4. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest availability of nitrogen (188.2, 210.1, 235.2 and

134.8 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm.

3.1.2 Nitrogen uptake during *kharif* **2018**

Total available nitrogen in the soil included ammonical nitrogen $(NH_4 - N)$ and nitrate nitrogen ($NO₃ - N$). The nitrogen uptake from soil at four soil layers (0-15, 15-30, 30-45 and 45-60 cm) for vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage were estimated using the procedure described in Materials and Methods. The results showed a significant variation due to irrigation and fertility levels at all the stages of crop growth. Generally the nitrogen uptake was found to be increased when irrigation as well as fertilizer level increased up to maturity stage [7,8].

Fig. 10. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N¹ during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 11. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N² during *kharif* **2018**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 12. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N³ during *kharif* **2018**

Fig. 13. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N⁴ during *kharif* **2018**

During *kharif* 2018 (Fig.2, 3, 4 and 5), the higher uptake of nitrogen (9.4, 65.9 and 81.5 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I_1N_1 . In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake was observed as 18.8 kg ha $^{-1}$ at 15-30 cm depth in vegetative stage and the higher nitrogen uptake (69.0 and 90.9 kg ha-1) was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm for reproductive stage and maturity stage respectively. In treatment I_1N_2 the higher nitrogen uptake (9.4 kg ha-1) was observed at emitter in a depth of 0-45 cm in vegetative stage and higher nitrogen uptake (75.3 and 106.6 kg ha-1) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm in reproductive stage and maturity stage. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake was observed as 18.8 kg ha-1 at 30-45 cm depth in vegetative stage and the higher nitrogen uptake

(75.3 and 106.6 kg ha-1) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm for reproductive stage and maturity stage respectively. In treatment I_1N_3 , the higher nitrogen uptake $(9.4, 84.7, 116.0)$ kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake was observed as 22.0, 84.7 and 116.0 kg ha $^{-1}$ at 15-30 cm depth in vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage. In case of I_1N_4 the higher nitrogen uptake (12.5, 56.4 and 72.1 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage at a depth of 15-30 cm and 59.6 and 65.9 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen uptake in reproductive stage and maturity stage was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm.

For I² treatments (Fig.6,7,8 and 9) the higher nitrogen uptake $(6.4, 65.9,$ and 97.2 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I₂N₁. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 9.4 kg ha⁻¹ was observed at a depth of 0-30 cm in vegetative stage and 65.9 kg ha⁻¹ was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm in reproductive stage and at maturity stage 64.1 kg ha⁻¹ was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. In treatment I_2N_2 , the higher nitrogen uptake (12.5, 81.5 and 116.0 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage was observed at a depth of 0-30 cm and 75.3 kg ha⁻¹ at reproductive stage was observed at a depth of 0-15 cm and 112.9 kg ha-¹ at maturity stage was observed at a depth of 0- 15 cm. In case of 30 cm from emitter, the highest value (12.5, 84.7 and 116 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. In treatment I_2N_3 , the higher nitrogen uptake was found in 0-30 cm depth at emitter (12.5 and 94.1 kg ha-1) in vegetative stage and reproductive stage. In maturity stage, the higher nitrogen uptake (125.4 kg ha $^{-1}$) was in 15-30 cm depth. At 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-15 cm, 97.2 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm and 128.6 kg ha-1 in 15-30 cm was observed at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage respectively. At 30 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm, 94.1 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm and 125.4 kg ha $^{-1}$ in 15-30 cm was observed at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage respectively. In treatment I_2N_4 , the higher nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm, 94.1 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm and 62.7 and 87.8 kg ha⁻¹ in 15-30 cm in vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage at emitter was observed. At 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake of 12.5 kg ha $^{-1}$ in 0-30 cm, 62.7 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-15 cm and 81.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 15-30 cm in vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage was observed.

In I_3 treatment (Figs. 10,11,12 and 13) the higher nitrogen uptake $(9.4, 65.9,$ and 100.4 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter in the treatment I₃N₁. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake (9.4, 65.9 and 100.4 kg ha-1 at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage) was

observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. In treatment I3N2, the higher nitrogen uptake (12.5, 81.5 and 128.6 kg ha $^{-1}$ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter. In 15 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake (12.5, 81.5 and 125.4 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm.

In case of 30 cm and 45 cm from emitter, the highest nitrogen uptake (12.5, 81.5 and 128.6 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm. In treatment I₃N₃, the higher nitrogen uptake $(15.7, 100.4$ and 128.6 kg ha⁻¹) was observed at a depth of 15-30 cm at emitter, 15 cm from emitter, 30 cm from emitter and 45 cm from emitter for vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage respectively. In the treatment I_3N_4 at emitter 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm depth and 65.9 kg ha^{-1 1} in 15-30 cm depth and 90.9 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm depth was observed for vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage respectively. At 15 cm from emitter 12.7 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-15 cm depth and 69 kg ha⁻¹ in 15-30 cm depth and 90.9 kg ha⁻¹ in 0-30 cm depth was observed for vegetative stage, reproductive stage, and maturity stage respectively.

3.1.3 Available nitrogen and nitrogen uptake during *Rabi* **2018-19**

During *rabi* 2018-19, the available nitrogen in all treatments at vegetative stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage and post harvest at all depths are shown in Fig. 14 - 25.

Locascio et al., [9] reported that "nutrients applied in any irrigation must not be subject to excess irrigation either during that same irrigation or by subsequent irrigations since it leads to leaching off the nutrients. Due to improved growth characters, the plants tend to take more nutrients from the soil since it is available nearer to root zone at required level". This was in conformity with the findings of Black [10], who reported "increased nutrient uptake under high frequency irrigation due to increased plant growth". Higher nutrient uptake of chilli under fertigation was also reported by Tumbare and Nikam. Reducing the fertilizer dose resulted in lower availability of nutrients which might be the reason for lower uptake of mutrients by crops at lower dose of fertilizers (80 per cent).

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 14. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N¹ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 15. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N² during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 16. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N³ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 17. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I1N⁴ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 18. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N¹ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 19. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N² during *rabi* **2018-19**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 20. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N³ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 21. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I2N⁴ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 22. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N¹ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Kumar et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 375-392, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114512

Fig. 23. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N² during rabi 2018-19

Fig. 24. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N³ during *rabi* **2018-19**

Fig. 25. Available N and N uptake in soil at different depths for I3N4 during *rabi* **2018-19**

Further, application of nutrients in more number of splits in drip fertigation resulted in minimum or no wastage of nutrients either through deep percolation or evaporation as reported by Kadam et al., [11] and Rajput and Patel leading to higher uptake of nutrients. This enabled the crop to put forth better growth, yield attributes and reap bountiful yield.

The increment of N concentration in maize plants might be due to higher availability of the nutrients with the increasing fertigation levels that finally resulted in root growth and more physiological activities for nutrient absorbance. The availability of nutrients increased due to frequent application of nutrients in integration with better root activity. Further, loss of nutrients primarily as leaching was also minimized by using fertigation. These findings are in conformity with those reported by Sundar Raman et al. El-Yazied et al. [12], Hassanein et al. [13], Kumar et al. [14], Richa [15] and Padmaja et al. [16], [17-21].

4. CONCLUSION

There were significant variation due to irrigation and fertility levels at all the stages of crop growth. Generally, the availability of nitrogen was increased when irrigation as well as fertilizer level increased up to maturity stage. The maximum available nitrogen was in the depth of 0- 30 cm range and then decreased vertically.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERRENCES

- 1. Di HJ, Cameron, KC. Nitrate leaching in temperate agroecosystems: Sources, factors and mitigating strategies. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems. 2002;64(3): 237-256
- 2. Drost D, Koenig R. Improving onion productivity and N use efficiency with a polymer coated nitrogen source. Western Nutrient management conference, Salt Lake City, UT. 2001;8-9:39-46.
- 3. Raja Kumar KN, Mani A, Ravi Babu G, Martin Luther M.4 and Sujani Rao CH. Effect of deficit irrigation through pressurized irrigation system on maize (*Zea mays*) grain yield and water use efficiency. International Journal of

Agriculture Sciences. 2020;12(24):10541- 10544.

- 4. Vyavasaya Panchangam. Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University; 2018.
- 5. Sexton BT, Moncrief JF, Rosen CJ, Gupta
SC, Cheng HH. Optimizing SC, Cheng HH. Optimizing nitrogen and irrigation inputs for corn based on nitrate leaching and yield on a coarse textured soil. Journal of Environmental Quality. 1996;25(5):982- 992.
- 6. Ajdary K, Singh DK, Singh AK, Khanna M. Modelling of nitrogen leaching from trees
experimental onion field under experimental onion field under

drip fertigation. Agricultural Water drip fertigation. Agricultural Water Management. 2007;89:15–28.
- 7. Alva AK, Paramasivam S, Obreza TA, Schumann AW. Nitrogen best management practice for citrus trees I. Fruit yield quality, and leaf nutritional status. *Scientia horticulturae.* 2006;107: 233–244.
- 8. Assouline S, Moller M, Cohen S, Ben-Hur M, Grava A, Narkis K, Silber A. Soil–plant system response to pulsed drip irrigation and salinity: bell pepper-case study. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 2006;70:1556–1568.
- 9. Locascio SJ, Olson SM, Rhoads FM. Water quantity and time of N and K application for trickle-irrigated tomatoes. Journal of the AmericanSociety for Horticul tural Science. 1989;114:265-268.
- 10. Black JDF. Trickle irrigation. A review. Hort. Abstract. 1969;46(1&7):69-74.
- 11. Kadam JR, MV, Dukre MN, Firake. Nitrogen saving through Biwall subsurface irrigation in okra. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 1995;20(3):475- 476.
- 12. El-Yazeid A, Regab ME, Ibrahim E, El-Wafa SMA. Effect of nitrogen *fertigation levels and chelated calcium foliar application on the productivity of sweet corn.* Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2007;15(1):131-139.
- 13. Hassanein MK, Abdrabbo MA, Farag, AA. Effect of different nitrogen levels on productivity of three maize hybrids fertigation. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2007;15(2): 361-368.
- 14. Kumar SB. Effect of irrigation and fertigation levels on yield, quality and water productivity of rabi maize in alfisols. M.Sc Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad; 2010.
- 15. Richa K. Effect of precision nutrient management and water management with different sources and levels of fertilizers on maize production. M.Sc. Thesis. University
of Agricultural Sciences. Bangalore: of Agricultural Sciences, 2013.
- 16. Padmaja B, Mallareddy M, Subbaiah G, Chandrasekhar K, Vishnuvardhan Reddy D, Ravindra Babu P. Performance of no-till maize under drip fertigation in a double cropping system in semi-arid Telangana state of India. *Maydica*. 2016;62(1):1-9.
- 17. Basava KBS, Devi YS, Sivalakshmi, Babu PS. Response of sweet corn hybrid to dripfertigation. Journal of Research Angrau. 2012;40(4):101-103.
- 18. Chen Y, Zhanga J, Xuc X, Qud H, Houa M, Zhouc K, Jiaoc X, Suia Y. Effects of different irrigation and fertilization practices

on nitrogen leaching in facility vegetable production in northeastern China. Agricultural Water Management. 2018;210: 165-170.

- 19. Rajput TBS, Patel N. Yield response of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) to different levels of fertigation. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2002;23(1):164- 165.
- 20. Sundar Raman S, Dakshina Murthy KM, Ramesh G, Palaniappan SP, Chelliah S. Effect of fertigation on growth and yield of Gherkin. Journal of Vegetation Science. 2000;27(1):64-66.
- 21. Tumbare AD, Nikam DR. Effect of planting and fertigation on growth of yield of green chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2004;74(5): 242-245.

___ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114512*