

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 23, Page 658-664, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.111045 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Effect of Fortified Humic Acid on Total Uptake of Primary Nutrients (NPK) by Mangalore Cucumber and NPK Status in Postharvest Soil

### K. L. Jyothishree <sup>a++\*</sup>, S. S. Prakash <sup>b</sup>, R. Suma <sup>b</sup>, B. G. Shekar <sup>c</sup>, H. C. Prakasha <sup>a</sup> and R. Muthuraju <sup>d</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru-560065. India.
<sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya-571405. India.
<sup>c</sup> Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V.C. Farm, Mandya-571405, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru-560065, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i234285

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111045

> Received: 16/10/2023 Accepted: 21/12/2023 Published: 24/12/2023

Original Research Article

### ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted from December (2022) to March (2023) at College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm. Mandya to study the effect of minerals (Ca, Fe and Zn) fortified humic acid on totalmajor nutrient (N P K) uptake by Mangalore cucumber and NPK status of soil after harvest of Mangalore cucumber. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD)

++ Ph. D. Scholar;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: jyothishreekl@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 23, pp. 658-664, 2023

with fifteen treatments, which involves foliar spray of humic acid (T<sub>3</sub>), fortified humic acid (T<sub>4</sub> to T<sub>7</sub>) and inorganic salts (T<sub>8</sub> to T<sub>11</sub>) at 30 and 45 DAS and soil application of fortified humic acid (T<sub>8</sub> to T<sub>11</sub>) at the time of sowing. The data unveiled that foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn (each @ 50 ppm) fortified humic acid @ 0.25 % (T<sub>7</sub>) at 30 and 45 DAS recorded significantly higher total uptake of nitrogen (53.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (17.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (58.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).Lowest available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O status in soil after harvest of Mangalore cucumber has been noticed in absolute control 204.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 27.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 204.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively and it was followed by treatment T<sub>7</sub> which recorded available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O status of 207.48, 49.87 and231.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The results suggested that two foliar spray of Ca + Fe + Zn fortified HA at 30 and 45 DAS along with PoP resulted in higher NPK uptake by Mangalore cucumber.

Keywords: Fortified humic acid; nutrient uptake; soil nutrient status; foliar spray; inorganic salts.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Humic substances (HS) are remains of decomposed plant and animal materials such as lignin, tannins, cellulose and cutins, Humic substances are classified as humic acids (HA), fulvic acids (FA) and humins based on their solubility in water, acid or alkali. The humic acids are those components of humic substances which are soluble in strong bases but insoluble in strong acids. Humic acid (black gold of agriculture) are complex, brown to black in colour, polymeric organic acids which have more phenolic and carboxylic functional groups. The humic substances are known to play direct and indirect role to improve plant growth and soil properties [1]. Humic acid act as biostimulant [2] and help in improving the vital physiological processes of the crop such as photosynthesis [3] and it also increases the root growth and cell permeability [4] there by increasing the nutrient uptake results in higher yields and quality [5].

Mangalore cucumber (Cucumis maderaspatensis L.) is indeed an important vegetable crop with several nutritional and medicinal properties. Mangalore cucumber is known for its high water content and low calorie count [6]. However growth and development, consequently the nutrient uptake by the cropis influenced by various factors, including soil and its fertility management, climate, agronomic practices and socio-economic conditions. Each of these factors can impact the nutrient content and overall health of the crops. Among these factors, soil and soil fertility management are highly crucial. Because nutrient-rich soil provides essential elements like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, iron, zinc to plants. These elements are then absorbed by the vegetables and contribute to higher uptake and quality of the crop. In the recent past, there has been decline in soil fertility due to intensive cultivation, erosion of top soil, leaching of nutrients and lack of organic matter application. As a result, there will be decrease in concentration of vital elements in plants and its economic part, rendering them less nutritious. The decline in soil fertility can have severe human nutrition. implications on Nutrient deficiencies in the soil can result in inadequate levels of essential minerals such as potassium, calcium, iron, zinc in the crops. In this backdrop the study was conducted to the know the effect of fortified humic acid on primary nutrients (N P K) uptake by Mangalore cucumber and N P K status in postharvest soil.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field study was carried out at the College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya, Karnataka, India, from December (2022) to March (2023) with Mangalore cucumber as test crop, Urea (46% N), DAP (46%  $P_2O_5$  18% N) and MOP (60% K<sub>2</sub>O) fertilizers are used as a source of N, P and K during the experiment. The soils of the experimental sites had Sandy loam texture with a pH of 7.

The fifteen treatments were replicated three times in randomized block designThe treatments consists of T1 - Absolute control (without recommended dose of FYM and chemical fertilizer); T<sub>2</sub> - Package of practice (PoP) (recommended dose of FYM and chemical fertilizer *i.e.*, 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 60- 50- 80 N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>); T<sub>3</sub> - PoP + unfortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %; T<sub>4</sub> - PoP + Ca fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %; T<sub>5</sub> - PoP + Fe fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %; T<sub>6</sub> - PoP + Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %; T7 - PoP + Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %; T<sub>8</sub> - PoP + Ca foliar spray @ 0.5 %; T<sub>9</sub> - PoP + Fe foliar spray @ 0.5 % ; T<sub>10</sub> -PoP + Zn foliar spray @ 0.5 %; T<sub>11</sub> - PoP + Ca, Fe & Zn foliar spray each @ 0.5 %; T<sub>12</sub> - PoP + Soil application of Ca fortified HA @ 5 L ha-1; T<sub>13</sub>

-PoP + Soil application of Fe fortified HA @ 5 L ha-1; T<sub>14</sub> - PoP + Soil application of Zn fortified HA @ 5 L ha-1; T<sub>15</sub> - PoP + Soil application of Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA @ 5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>.Commercially available liquid formulationcontaining 12% humic acid, has been fortified with calcium, iron, and zinc individually and all three in combination at 50 ppm by the use of sources such as calcium nitrate, ferrous sulfate and zinc sulfate. Foliar spray of fortified and unfortified humic acid ( $T_3$  to  $T_7$ ) and inorganic salts ( $T_8$  to T<sub>11</sub>) was done at 30 and 45 DAS. Soil application was done at the time of sowing. The available N, P2O5 and K2O content of post harvest soil samples were analyzed by standard method.

### 2.1 Nutrient Uptake by Crop

Nutrient content in fruit, vine and leaf was determined by following standard analytical methods and expressed in percentage. Nutrient uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by Mangalore cucumber was

calculated for each treatment using the following formula.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 3.1 Total Primary nutrient uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by Mangalore cucumber

### 3.1.1 Nitrogen uptake

The perusal of the data revealed that significant variation in total nitrogen uptake by Mangalore cucumber (Table 2 and Fig. 1).

Foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified HA (@ 0.25 % along with PoP (T<sub>7</sub>) recorded significantly higher total nitrogen uptake of 53.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was superior overT<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>14</sub> and T<sub>15</sub>but statistically on par with T<sub>4</sub> (48.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (47.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (50.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments and lower total nitrogen uptake of 9.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> has been noticed in absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = Nutrient conce	Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = Nutrient concentration (%) x biomass yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
	100				
Particulars	values	Methods			
	Physical properties				
Sand (%)	69.60	International pipettemethod			
Silt (%)	21.80	(Piper,1966)[7]			
Clay (%)	8.60				
Textural class	Sandy loam				
	Chemical properties				
pH (1:2.5)	7.08	Potentiometric method			
		(Jackson,1973) [8]			
EC (1:2.5) (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.20	Conductometric method			
		(Jackson,1973) [8]			
Organic carbon (%)	0. 47	Wet oxidation method			
		(WalkleyandBlack,1934)[9]			
CEC (cmol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	9.23	Jackson,1973 [8]			
Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	242.28	Alkaline potassium permanganate			
		method(SubbaiahandAsija,1956)			
		[10]			
Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	35.89	Olsen extract (Jackson,1973)[8]			
Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	224.87	Flame photometry			
		(Jackson,1973)[8]			
Exchangeable Calcium (c mol (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.22	Versanate titration method			
Exchangeable Magnesium (c mol (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.21	(Jackson, 1973)[8]			
Available S (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	14.35	Page et al. (1982)[18]			
DTPA Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	9.43	Page et al. (1982)[18]			
DTPA Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6.12				
DTPA Mn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.41				
DTPA Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.38				

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of experimental soil



## Fig. 1. Total uptake of primary nutrients by Mangalore cucumber as influenced by the application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified humic acid

Table 2. Effect of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified humic acid on total uptake of nitrogen,	phosphorus
and potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) by Mangalore cucumber	

	Treatments	Total N uptake	Total P uptake	Total K uptake
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	9.75	4.38	9.41
T <sub>2</sub>	Package of practice (POP)	26.28	9.95	35.00
Тз	POP + unfortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	34.04	12.00	42.39
T <sub>4</sub>	POP + Ca fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	48.59	16.38	55.75
$T_5$	POP + Fe fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	47.20	15.96	54.84
$T_6$	POP + Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	50.28	16.84	56.84
$T_7$	POP + Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	53.89	17.59	58.40
T <sub>8</sub>	POP + Ca foliar spray @ 0.5 %	38.85	13.40	46.32
T <sub>9</sub>	POP + Ca foliar spray @ 0.5 %	37.05	12.76	44.91
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	POP + Zn foliar spray @ 1.0 %	40.28	13.74	47.15
<b>T</b> <sub>11</sub>	POP + Ca, Fe & Zn foliar spray each @ 0.5 %	43.75	14.60	48.81
T <sub>12</sub>	POP + Soil application of Ca fortified HA @ 5 L ha <sup>-1</sup>	35.51	12.41	43.14
T <sub>13</sub>	POP + Soil application of Fe fortified HA @ 5 L ha <sup>-1</sup>	33.75	11.83	41.73
<b>T</b> <sub>14</sub>	POP +Soil application of Zn fortified HA @5 L ha <sup>-1</sup>	37.01	12.69	43.89
T <sub>15</sub>	POP + Soil application of Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA @ 5 L	38.67	13.57	45.34
	ha <sup>-1</sup>			
S.Em±		0.06	2.28	0.60
CD @ 5	%	0.17	6.61	1.75

### 3.1.2 Phosphorus uptake

The data indicated that (Table 2 and Fig. 1) higher total phosphorus uptake of 17.59kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by Mangalore cucumber has been noticed in the

treatment T<sub>7</sub> (foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified HA @ 0.25 % along with PoP), And it was significant with rest of the treatments except T<sub>4</sub> (16.38kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),T<sub>5</sub> (15.96kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (16.84kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments. Control (T<sub>1</sub>) recorded

significantly lower phosphorus uptake of 4.38 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.1.3 Potassium uptake

The potassium uptake by Mangalore showed significant variation due to treatments, as presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1.

The pooled analysis dataindicated that significantly higher potassium uptake of 58.40kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> with foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified HA @ 0.25 % with PoP and it was on par with T<sub>4</sub> (55.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),T<sub>5</sub> (54.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (56.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments and significant with rest of the treatments. Significantly lower potassium uptake of 9.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

Fortification of essential minerals elements (Ca, Fe and Zn) with HA due to its established role as organic chelator results in increased availability ofCa, Fe and Znelements to plants besides role of HA as biostimulant increased growth and vigour of plants*i.e.*, proliferation of root as well as shoot growth which increased the concentration of primary nutrient content in leaf, vine and fruit of Mangalore cucumber as well as uptake of these essential nutrients. Similar increase in the biomass yield and nutrient content consequently total uptake of nutrients by crops with the application of Zn fortified HA has been reported by Avinash [11] in capsicum, with combined application HA enriched with micro nutrients by Dhanasekaran and Bhuvaneswari [12] and Kazemi [13] in tomato, Ameta et al. [14] in cucumber, with Fe humate by Sharma et al. [15] in soybean, lettuce and chilli, with Zn humate by Manas et al. [16] in pepper, with K- humate in tomato by Rady [17].

### 3.2 NPK Status of Post Harvest Soil

### 3.2.1 Available nitrogen

The data (Table 3 and Fig. 2) on soil available N status after harvest indicated that the higher soil nitrogen was recorded in treatment  $T_2(258.12 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$  which was significantly higher than  $T_1$  (204.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), $T_4$  (212.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_5$  (214.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), $T_6$  (210.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and $T_7$  (207.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was on par with rest of the treatments.

### 3.2.2 Available phosphorus

The data revealed that soil phosphorus in the postharvest soil ranged from 27.27 to 64.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Significantly higher phosphorus content of 64.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> has been recorded in treatment which is supplied with PoP (T<sub>2</sub>) which is significantly higher than T<sub>1</sub> (27.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),T<sub>4</sub> (50.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (53.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),T<sub>6</sub> (50.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub>(49.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was on par with all the other treatments (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

Table 3. Effect of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified humic acid on available nitrogen, phosphorus and
potassium status(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) of soil after harvest of Mangalore cucumber

	Treatments	Avail. N	Avail. P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Avail. K <sub>2</sub> O
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	204.42	27.27	204.65
T <sub>2</sub>	Package of practice (POP)	258.12	64.57	284.06
T <sub>3</sub>	POP + unfortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	250.43	61.73	274.47
T <sub>4</sub>	POP + Ca fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	212.57	50.91	236.74
$T_5$	POP + Fe fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	214.02	53.45	238.85
$T_6$	POP + Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	210.89	50.24	233.26
T <sub>7</sub>	POP + Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA foliar spray @ 0.25 %	207.48	49.87	231.17
T <sub>8</sub>	POP + Ca foliar spray @ 0.5 %	242.80	58.69	268.47
T9	POP + Ca foliar spray @ 0.5 %	238.36	59.36	270.12
<b>T</b> 10	POP + Zn foliar spray @ 1.0 %	235.89	57.38	265.41
T <sub>11</sub>	POP + Ca, Fe & Zn foliar spray each @ 0.5 %	230.31	56.96	260.12
T <sub>12</sub>	POP + Soil application of Ca fortified HA @ 5 L ha <sup>-1</sup>	245.55	61.12	272.46
T <sub>13</sub>	POP + Soil application of Fe fortified HA @ 5 L ha-1	251.28	62.97	275.46
<b>T</b> <sub>14</sub>	POP +Soil application of Zn fortified HA @5 L ha <sup>-1</sup>	242.14	60.74	271.85
T <sub>15</sub>	POP + Soil application of Ca, Fe & Zn fortified HA @ 5	237.06	59.09	269.24
	L ha <sup>-1</sup>			
S.Em±		0.06	9.47	2.25
CD @	5%	0.17	27.42	6.53



Fig. 2. Available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O status of post harvest soil of Mangalore cucumber as influenced by the application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified humic acid

### 3.2.3 Available potassium

The data of soil available potassium (Table 3 and Fig. 2) revealed that significantly higher potassium content in soil was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> treatment (284.06 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly higher compared to control (T<sub>1</sub>) (204.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (236.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (238.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>6</sub> (233.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>7</sub> (231.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)and on par with remaining treatments.

The available nutrient status (NPK) after the harvest of Mangalore cucumber varied significantly, the higher available N P K status was noticed in the treatment (T<sub>2</sub>) which received RDF + FYM (25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) than all biofortication treatments due to lesser uptake of essential nutrients due to lesser yield and the lower nutrient status was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn (each @ 50 ppm) fortified HA @ 0.25 % + PoP) due higher uptake of essential nutrients due to higher yield.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Fortification of essential minerals elements with HA results in increased availability of Ca, Fe and Zn for plants besides the role of HA as biostimulsant which increased growth and vigour of plants which increased the concentration of primary nutrient content in leaf, vine and fruit of Mangalore cucumber as well uptake of these essential nutrients. Among different biofortified treatments foliar application of Ca, Fe and Zn fortified HA @ 0.25% (T<sub>7</sub>) resulted in significantly higher N P K content and uptake by Mangalore cucumber compared to PoP and absolute control due to which lower available N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  status in post harvest soil was noticed in the same treatment.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Sangeetha M, Singaram P, Devi RD. Effect of lignite humic acid and fertilizers on the yield of onion and nutrient availability. Proc. of 18th world congress of soil science, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; 2006.
- Nardi S, Arnoldim G, Dellagnola G. Release of the hormone-like activities from Allolobophora rosea (Sav.) and Allolobophora caliginosa (Sav.) feces. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. 1988; 68:563-567.
- Muscolo A, Bovalo F, Gionfriddo F, Nardi S. Earthworm humic matter produces auxin-like effects on *Daucus carota* cell growth and nitrate metabolism. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 1999;31:1303-1311.
- Serenella N, Pizzeghelloa A, Muscolob N, Vianello A. Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2002;34:1527-1536.
- 5. Canellas LP, Leonardo BD, Silva C. Humic acids cross interactions with root and

organic acids. Annals of Applied Biology. 2002;153(2):157-166.

- Hiremata V, Shet RM, Gunnaiah R, Anjappa P, Manjunathagowda CD. Mangalore melon (*Cucumis melo ssp. agrestisvar. acidulus*): A neglected and underutilized vegetable of the western ghats of India. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2023;70:1895–1902.
- 7. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis, Inter-science Publishers, Inc., New York. 1966;368.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi; 1973.
- 9. Walkley AJ, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science.1934;37:29-38.
- 10. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for determination of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 1956;25:259-260.
- 11. Avinash. Fortification of humic acid with zinc and boron and its effect on soil properties, growth, yield and quality of capsicum.M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, College of Agriculture, UAS, Bengaluru, Karnataka (India); 2016.
- 12. Dhanasekaran R, Bhuvaneswari R. Effect of different levels of NPK and foliar application of enriched humic substances on growth and yield of tomato. International Journal of Agricultural. Science. 2007;1(3):90-94

- Kazemi M. Effect of foliar application of humic acid and calcium chloride on tomato growth.
  Bulletin of environment, pharmacology and life sciences. 2014; 3(3):41-46.
- Ameta KD, Sharma SK, Dubey RB, Kaushik RA. Effect of humic acid and micro nutrients on growth and yield of polyhouse grown cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Chemical Science Review and Letters.2017;6:77-79.
- 15. Sharma S, Anand N, Bindraban PS, Pandey R. Foliar application of humic acid with Fe supplement improved rice, soya bean and lettuce iron fortification. Agriculture. 2023;13:132.
- Manas D, Bndopadhya YPK, Chakravarthy A, Pal S, Bhattacharya A. Effect of foliar application of humic acid, zinc and boron on biochemical changes related to pungent pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). African Journal of Plant Science. 2014;8(6):320-335.
- 17. Rady MM. Effects on growth, yield, and fruit quality in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) using a mixture of potassium humate and farmyard manure as an alternative to mineral-N fertiliser. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology. 2011;86(3): 249-254.
- Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR. Methods of soil analysis. part 2- chemical and microbiological properties. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Agronomy No. 9 Part 2. ASA; 1982.

© 2023 Jyothishree et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111045