



Evaluation of Biochars against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* and their Impact on Growth Parameters of Cucumber in Pot Condition

Suresh Kumar^{a++*}, N. L. Meena^{a#} and N. L. Panwar^{bt}

^a Department of Plant Pathology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur-313001, Rajasthan, India.

^b Department of Renewable Energy Sources Engineering, College of Technology and Engineering, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur-313001, Rajasthan, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102813

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105699>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/06/2023

Accepted: 26/08/2023

Published: 29/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted under greenhouse conditions to evaluate the efficacy of biochars prepared from different plant parts against the pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* causing root and stem rot of cucumber. Three types of raw materials were used to prepare the biochars (Eucalyptus wood, citrus wood, and greenhouse waste). The prepared biochar was collected and filled into pots at four concentrations (1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% W/W). All biochars

⁺⁺Ph.D. Research Scholar;

[#]Associate Professor;

[†]Associate Professor and Head;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: drskg8888@gmail.com;

were effective in reducing the incidence of the disease up to 3% concentration with minimum mortality (4.17%) obtained with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW). The biochars also positively influenced the plant growth parameters like seed germination, root length, and shoot length. Maximum shoot length 7.73 cm and root length 12.10 cm was observed with 3% Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) biochar treated seeds.

Keywords: *Cucumber; Biochar; Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-cucumerinum; growth parameters mortality.*

1. INTRODUCTION

“Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) popularly known in India as 'khira' and gherkins is extensively grown in the tropics, subtropics and milder temperate zones of India and it belongs to the family *Cucurbitaceae* and most important vegetable, which is a major source of human edible products and useful fibers. In India, major cucumber growing states are Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Jammu Kashmir, Telangana, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Kerala, Jharkhand and almost all states with a total production of 1.14 million tons in 78 thousand hectare area” [1]. “The productivity of the crop is more affected in the polyhouse as well as in the field by insects, pests and diseases. Among them, diseases are one of the major constraints affecting the quality and quantity of the crop. Many diseases have been reported on cucumbers from different parts of the world, but only a few of them cause economic losses. Although an accurate estimate is difficult to obtain, the annual crop loss is probably between 20 and 30%” [1]. “Root and stem rot of cucumber is believed to be caused by a new formae specialis of *F. oxysporum*, presently designated as *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* (FORC)” [2]. “Root and stem rot is the most destructive disease of glasshouse cucumber crops in Canada in 1994, in France in 1998, in China in 1999 and in Spain in 2000, causing significant losses in the yield” [3]. “When cucumber is infected with the root and stem rot fungus, the primary, secondary and tertiary roots and the basal portion of the stem have brown discolorations. On the stem, this discoloration may extend for 40 to 100 cm above the soil line. *Fusarium* root and stem rot of cucumber has been reported to be favored at lower soil temperatures (17°C)” [2].

Generally, chemical fungicides are used to control *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber. Unfortunately, these chemical fungicides are not readily biodegradable; tend to persist for years in the

environment and few fungi have developed resistance to them. Now a day natural products or extracts are gaining much importance in controlling the fungal diseases of plants because of their environmentally friendly and human-friendly nature. Howard et al. [4] studied that “biochar is the product of organic material, like wood, that is burned in a low-oxygen environment. This results in charcoal that acts as a carbon sink for high concentrations of environmental carbon dioxide”. “Biochar can be effective against both airborne (e.g. *Botrytis cinerea*, different species of powdery mildew) and soil-borne pathogens (e.g. *Fusarium* spp., *Phytophthora* spp., *R. solani*)” [5]. Amit et al. [6] reported a reduction in soil-borne pathogens *Rhizoctonia solani*, in cucumber after treatment with biochars. Drew et al. [7] found that “biochar amendment induces resistance to canker-causing *Phytophthora* pathogens. Biochars may affect the growth of roots or *mycorrhizae* in the soil and thereby the extent to which the plant takes up water or nutrients from the soil surrounding the biochar particles that are not directly influenced by the added biochar”. In addition, a growing number of studies point to positive influences of biochar addition to soil, such as improvement in the pH of acidic soils [8,9], increased cation exchange capacity in organic matter-poor soils [10], increased nutrient retention in light soils [11] and enrichment in beneficial soil microorganisms [12,13]. Pan et al. [14] used “a combination of biochar and wood vinegar as a new type of fertilizer to replace the traditional fertilizer”. For the above context some of the plant parts were used to prepare biochars and these were evaluated against the pathogen causing root and stem rot of cucumber under greenhouse conditions in pots.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Isolation and Pathogenicity Test

The infected samples were collected from Horticulture Farm and Polyhouse, Rajasthan

College of Agriculture, Udaipur during *Kharif* season-2019 after 30 days of sowing Standard protocol was followed for isolation of test pathogen The pathogenicity test was conducted on the susceptible variety (Cucumber Long Desi)

and pathogenicity was confirmed and the inoculum was prepared using sorghum grains for mass production which was used for further studies (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2).





Fig. 1. Infected root and stem rot caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum*



Giant culture and Pure culture of *F. o. f. sp. radicis cucumerinum*



Pathogenicity of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis cucumerinum* by soil inoculation method in pot



Symptoms developed in seedlings after proving pathogenicity

Chlamydospore of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis cucumerinum*

Fig. 2. Giant culture and pure culture, pathogenicity, symptoms and chlamydospore

2.2 Preparation of Biochar

Three types of raw materials (Eucalyptus wood, citrus wood and greenhouse waste) were collected and dried under shade for a week. Biochar was prepared by following the standard protocol (mention reference). The prepared biochar was collected and filled into pots at four

concentrations (1%, 2%, 3% and 4% W/W). The cucumber susceptible variety (cucumber Long desi) seeds were used for sowing, 8 seeds were planted in each pot and were watered regularly. Three replications of each treatment were maintained and control pots were also employed for comparison (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3. Flow chart of biochar preparation at CTAE, MPUAT, Udaipur

2.3 Inoculation of Inoculum and Observations

For the expression of disease, sorghum grain inoculum was put into the soil for pathogen multiplication and dry conditions were maintained for disease expression. The dose of inoculum was used as spore suspension 5×10^5 spores/ml. After 7 days of the inoculation, the observations of percent Plant mortality were recorded. Counted the number of wilted plants and calculated using the formula,

$$\text{Percent plant mortality} = \frac{\text{Number of disease plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \times 100$$

2.4 Evaluation of the Impact of Biochar on the Growth Parameters of Cucumber

The effect of biochars on the growth characteristics like germination percentage, root length and shoot length were evaluated under *in-vitro* conditions. Four seeds were placed in the Petri plate held with two layered sterilized blotter papers and moistened regularly and kept at room temperature for germination. After 5 days of the experiment, observations were taken for the number of seeds germinated and after 10 days, shoot length and root length were recorded in centimeters (Fig. 4 & Fig. 5).

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data from various experiments were subjected to analysis for the coefficient of deviation. For laboratory and pot trials, a completely randomized design was followed. Means of the experiments were used to compare for the efficacy of treatments.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Effect of Biochar on Seed Germination

The germination of the seeds was stable and found to be increasing up to 3% concentration of the biochars and was in the range 66.67-91.67% at 1% concentration and 75.00-100% at 2 and 3% concentration. The germination of the seeds was hindered at 4% concentration and recorded the lowest germination percentage (33.33%) than the control (66.67%) exhibited in Fig. 4.

3.2 Effect of biochars on shoot length

The significantly maximum shoot length of the seedlings (7.73cm) was observed when they were treated with 3% Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) which recorded shoot length 7.00, 6.30, 6.07, 5.83, 5.57 and 5.23 cm, respectively presented in Table 1, Fig. 5 & Fig. 6.

The significant maximum shoot length of the seedlings (7.27cm) was also observed with 2% Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste, followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood + Greenhouse waste, Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood, Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood and Citrus wood by exhibited shoot length 6.80, 6.17, 5.67, 5.47, 5.23 and 4.80 cm, respectively.

At lower 1% concentration significant maximum shoot length of Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste seedling (7.03 cm), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood + Greenhouse waste, Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood, Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood and Citrus wood by exhibited shoot length of 6.47, 6.03, 5.57, 5.17, 4.97 and 4.63 cm, respectively.

The same treatments exhibited the inhibitory effect on the growth parameters when the concentration was increased to 4%. The maximum shoot length (6.57 cm) was recorded with Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste, followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood + Greenhouse waste, Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood, Greenhouse waste, Eucalyptus wood and Citrus wood which recorded shoot length 6.10, 5.60, 5.10, 4.70, 4.23 and 4.06 cm, respectively.

3.3 Effect of Biochars on Root Length

The results are presented in Table 1, Fig. 5 & Fig. 7 that significantly maximum root length of the seedlings (12.10cm) was recorded when they were treated with 3% Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste

(GHW), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) which recorded root length 11.03, 10.00, 9.70, 9.43, 9.13 and 8.63 cm, respectively.

Significantly maximum root length of the seedlings (11.43 cm) was also observed when they were treated with 2% Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) by exhibited root length 10.63, 9.70, 9.50, 9.23, 8.47 and 7.67 cm, respectively.

At lower 1% concentration significant maximum root length of Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) seedlings (11.07cm), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) by exhibited root length 10.17, 9.63, 9.23, 9.00, 8.20 and 7.37 cm, respectively.

The same treatments exhibited the inhibitory effect on the growth parameters when the concentration was increased to 4%. The maximum root length (10.20cm) was also recorded with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), followed by the treatments Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) which recorded root length 9.33, 9.03, 8.56, 8.23, 7.77 and 7.50 cm, respectively. Data is presented in Table 1, Fig. 6, Fig. 7 & Fig. 5.

3.4 Evaluation of Bio-Efficacy of Different Biochars against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* in Pot Condition

Seven treatments of biochar were evaluated in pot condition against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-*

cucumerinum at four concentrations viz., 1, 2, 3 and 4 percent by wt/wt. Data are presented in Table 2, Fig. 8 & Figs. 9, 10 and 11.

3.4.1 At 1 percent

The treatment, Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was found very effective in inhibiting the disease root and stem rot of cucumber with minimum mortality of 12.5%, followed by Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) and Greenhouse waste (GHW) with mortality rate 16.7, 20.8, 25.0 and 29.2 percent, respectively. Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) both of them recorded a maximum percent plant mortality of 33% compared to the rest of the treatments.

All the treatments were significantly effective in controlling the disease over control, but treatment with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments and recorded minimum percent mortality (12.5%) among all the treatments.

3.4.2 At 2 percent

The treatment, Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was also found very effective in inhibiting the disease root and stem rot of cucumber with minimum mortality of 8.33%, followed by Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) and Greenhouse waste (GHW) with mortality rate 12.5, 16.67, 20.83 and 25.00 percent, respectively. Eucalyptus wood (EW) and Citrus wood (CW) both of them recorded a maximum percent mortality of (29.17%) compared to the rest of the treatments. All the treatments were significantly effective in controlling the disease over control, but treatment with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments and recorded minimum percent mortality (8.33%) among all the treatments.

3.4.3 At 3 percent

The treatment, Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was

also found very effective in inhibiting the disease root and stem rot of cucumber with minimum mortality of 4.17%, followed by Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW) and Eucalyptuswood (EW) with mortality rate 8.33, 12.50, 16.67, 20.83 and 25.00 percent respectively. Citrus wood (CW) recorded a

maximum percent mortality of (29.17%) compared to the rest of the treatments. All the treatments were significantly effective in controlling the disease over control, but treatment with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments and recorded minimum percent mortality (4.17%) among all the treatments.




Fig. 4. Effect of different biochars on germination of cucumber seeds

Table 1. Effect of different Biochars on growth parameters at different concentrations

| S.No. | Treatments(Bio-chars) | Growth Parameters* | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | 1% Con. | | 2% Con. | | 3% Con. | | 4% Con. | |
| | | Shoot Length (cm)* | Root Length (cm)* | Shoot Length (cm)* | Root Length (cm)* | Shoot Length (cm)* | Root Length (cm)* | Shoot Length (cm)* | Root Length (cm)* |
| 1. | Eucalyptus wood | 4.97 | 8.20 | 5.23 | 8.47 | 5.57 | 9.13 | 4.23 | 7.77 |
| 2. | Citrus wood | 4.63 | 7.37 | 4.80 | 7.67 | 5.23 | 8.63 | 4.06 | 7.50 |
| 3. | Greenhouse waste | 5.17 | 9.00 | 5.47 | 9.23 | 5.83 | 9.43 | 4.70 | 8.23 |
| 4. | Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood | 5.57 | 9.23 | 5.67 | 9.50 | 6.07 | 9.70 | 5.10 | 8.56 |
| 5. | Eucalyptus wood + Greenhouse waste | 6.47 | 10.17 | 6.80 | 10.63 | 7.00 | 11.03 | 6.10 | 9.33 |
| 6. | Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste | 6.03 | 9.63 | 6.17 | 9.70 | 6.30 | 10.00 | 5.60 | 9.03 |
| 7. | Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste | 7.03 | 11.07 | 7.27 | 11.43 | 7.73 | 12.10 | 6.57 | 10.20 |
| 8. | Healthy Control | 3.70 | 5.33 | 3.60 | 5.30 | 3.90 | 5.83 | 3.53 | 5.17 |
| | SEm ± | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| | CD at 5% | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.14 |

*Mean of three replications




Fig. 5. Effect of different biochars on shoot and root length of cucumber seedlings

3.4.4 At 4 percent

The increase in the concentration of the treatments didn't give satisfied results instead the mortality rate increased and was more than that at 1%. The treatment, Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) recorded a mortality of 20.83%, followed by Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW), Greenhouse waste (GHW) and Eucalyptuswood (EW) with mortality rate 25.00,

29.17, 33.33, 37.50 and 41.67 percent, respectively. Citrus wood (CW) recorded a maximum percent mortality of (45.83%) compared to the rest of the treatments. All the treatments were significantly effective in controlling the disease over control, but treatment with Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) was significantly superior over the rest of the treatments and recorded minimum percent plant mortality (20.83%) among all the treatments.




Fig. 6. Effect of different biochars on growth parameter (Shoot length) at different concentrations




Fig. 7. Effect of different biochars on growth parameter (Root length) at different concentrations





Fig. 8. Effect of different Biochars on percent plant mortality in the management of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum*



A = Eucalyptus wood biochar; B = Citrus wood biochar; C = Green house waste biochar



Fig. 9. Preparation of Pot mixture into different concentrations and sowing

Table 2. Effect of different biochar on percent plant mortality in the management of root and stem rot of cucumber in pot condition

| S.No. | Treatments(Bio-chars) | Plant Mortality percent (%)* | | | |
|-------|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% |
| 1. | Eucalyptus wood | 33.3 (35.16) | 29.17 (32.57) | 25.00 (29.99) | 41.67 (40.16) |
| 2. | Citrus wood | 33.3 (35.16) | 29.17 (32.57) | 29.17 (32.57) | 45.83 (42.57) |
| 3. | Greenhouse waste | 29.2 (32.57) | 25.00 (29.99) | 20.83 (26.89) | 37.50 (37.75) |
| 4. | Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood | 25.0 (29.99) | 20.83 (26.89) | 16.67 (23.79) | 33.33 (35.16) |
| 5. | Eucalyptus wood + Greenhouse waste | 16.7 (23.79) | 12.50 (20.70) | 8.33 (13.80) | 25.00 (29.99) |
| 6. | Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste | 20.8 (26.89) | 16.67 (23.79) | 12.50 (20.70) | 29.17 (32.57) |
| 7. | Eucalyptus wood + Citrus wood + Greenhouse waste | 12.5 (20.67) | 8.33 (13.80) | 4.17 (6.90) | 20.83 (26.89) |
| 8. | Healthy Control | 100.0 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) |
| | SEm ± | 2.21 | 3.16 | 3.89 | 2.08 |
| | CD at 5% | 6.69 | 9.57 | 11.76 | 6.29 |

*Mean of three replications; Figures in parentheses are arcsine $\sqrt{\text{percent angular transformed values}}$



Fig. 10. Plant stand before inoculation of the pathogen *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum*



Fig. 11. Effect of biochars at different concentrations on plant mortality in the management of root and stem rot of cucumber

4. DISCUSSION

The biochars exhibited their effect on the growth parameters of cucumber. Three percent concentration of the biochar-treated seeds exhibited a higher germination percentage and the germination was 100 percent. The germination of the seeds was hindered at 4% concentration and recorded the lowest germination percentage (33.33%) than control (66.67%). Kucukakyuz et al. [15] found that shoot growth parameters increased significantly after low and medium doses of biochar application in infertile soil in the case of wheat. The shoot and root length of the seedlings of cucumber were also influenced by the biochar concentrations. Maximum shoot length 7.73 cm and root length 12.10 cm was observed with 3% Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW) biochar treated seeds. Pan et al. [14] showed that the combined addition of biochar with wood vinegar had the greatest promotion effect on the plant growth of cucumber. Compared to the control treatment, the biochar addition with wood vinegar significantly increased the plant height, root length, root volume and root tips by 29.7, 117, 121 and 76.1%, respectively.

All biochars were effective in reducing the incidence of the disease up to 3% concentration with minimum mortality (4.17%) obtained by Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW), but when the concentration was increased up to 4%, the biochars started to exhibit an inhibitory effect on the plant itself and the mortality rate was increased and it was more than the mortality rate that was obtained with 1% concentration of the biochars. The Citrus wood biochar was found to have a maximum mortality rate of 45.83% at 4% concentration. Amit et al. [6] observed suppression of damping off disease by treatment with 1% Eucalyptus biochar and 0.5% greenhouse waste biochar. Frenkel et al. [16] reported that >3% concentration of the biochars was ineffective in controlling the disease [17-20].

5. CONCLUSION

The germination, shoot and root length was maximum at 3% concentration of Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW). Similarly, a 4% concentration of the biochars was toxic and inhibited the growth of seedlings with minimum germination, shoot and root length. The application of biochar

(Eucalyptus wood (EW) + Citrus wood (CW) + Greenhouse waste (GHW)) at 3% concentration was effective in suppressing the disease and exhibited minimum plant mortality of 4.17% but at 4% concentration the biochar exhibited toxic effects and the mortality rate was increased. The possible reason for the increase in mortality on an increase in the concentration of the biochar may be the toxic effect of the chemicals in the biochar or the alteration of the soil characters like p^H , EC, etc. which may have affected the survivability of the beneficial microorganisms present in the soil. Biochars can also be included in the management of the disease up to the concentration of 3% as they are effective in reducing the mortality of plants. The incorporation of the biochars also has promoting effects on the growth parameters and they also help in the build-up of the soil microflora by maintaining the soil pH and soil structure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology especially, Department of Plant Pathology for all round assistance and allowing me to carry out the research in the laboratory.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations; 2005.
2. Vakalounakis DJ. Root and stem rot of cucumber caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* f. sp. nov. Plant Disease. 1996;80:313-316.
3. Punja ZK, Parker M. Development of *Fusarium* root and stem rot, a new disease on greenhouse cucumbers in British Columbia, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum*. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology. 2000; 36: 393–410.
4. Howard T. The effect of Biochar on the Root Development of Corn and Soybeans in Minnesota Soil and Sand. International Journal of Biochar Initiative. 2011;4(3):101-105.

5. Bonanomi G, Ippolito F, Scala F. A Black Future for Plant Pathology Biochar as New Soil Amendment for Controlling Plant Diseases. *Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2015;97(2):223-234.
6. Jaiswal AK, Elad Y, Graber ER, Frenkel O. Rhizoctonia solani suppression and plant growth promotion in cucumber as affected by biochar pyrolysis temperature, feedstock and concentration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2014;69:110-118.
7. Drew CZ, Soo-Hyung K. Biochar amendment increases resistance to stem lesions caused by Phytophthora spp. In tree seedlings. *Hort science*. 2012;47(12): 1736–1740.
8. Yamato M, Okimori Y, Wibowo IF, Anshori S, Ogawa M. Effects of the application of charred bark of *Acacia mangium* on the yield of maize, cowpea and peanut, and soil chemical properties in South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*.2006;52:489-495.
9. Chan KY, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A, Joseph S. Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. 2007;45: 629-634.
10. Silber A, Levkovitch I, Graber ER. pH-dependent mineral release and surface properties of corn straw biochar: Agronomic implications. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 2010;44: 9318-9323.
11. Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal a review.*Journal of Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2002;35:219-230.
12. Kolb SE, Fermanich KJ, Dornbush ME. Effect of charcoal quantity on microbial biomass and activity in temperate soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2009;73:1173-1181.
13. Kolton M, Meller HY, Pasternak Z, Graber ER, Elad Y, Cytryn E. Impact of biochar application to soil on the root-associated bacterial community structure of fully developed greenhouse pepper plants. *Applied and Environment Microbiology*. 2011;7:4924-4930.
14. Pan X, Zhang Y, Wang X, Liu G. Effect of adding biochar with wood vinegar on the growth of cucumber. *Earth and Environmental Science*. 2017;61:1755-1315.
15. Kucukakyuz K, Catav SS, Ensarioglu K. Effects of Biochar Application to Soils on Seedling Growth of Wheat. *Journal of International Scientific Publications Agriculture and Food*. 2016;4:681-886.
16. Frenkel O, Jaiswal AK, Elad Y, Lew B, Kammann C, Graber ER. The Effect of Biochar on Plant Diseases: What should we learn while designing Biochar Substrates? *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management*. 2017;25(2):105-113.
17. Lehmann J, Kuzyakov Y, Pan G, Sik Y. Biochars and the plant-soil interface. *Plant and Soil*. 2015;395:1–5.
18. Horticulture statistics at a glance. Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. 2017a;8:204-205.
19. Horticulture statistics at a glance. Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. 2017b;6: 204.
20. Agrios GN. *Plant Pathology*, Academic Press, London, UK. 2005;9:922.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105699>