

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 10, Page 2709-2717, 2023; Article no.IJECC.106331 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Standardization of Length of Cuttings and Auxin Levels on Root and Shoot Growth of Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.)

# J. Dharani <sup>a++\*</sup>, J. Rajangam <sup>b#</sup>, A. Beaulah <sup>c</sup>, K. Venkatesan <sup>d</sup> and A. Vijayasamundeeswari <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Fruit Science, HC & RI, TNAU, Periyakulam, Theni – 625604, India.
<sup>b</sup> HC & RI, TNAU, Periyakulam, Theni – 625604, India.
<sup>c</sup> Department of Postharvest Technology, HC & RI, TNAU, Periyakulam, Theni – 625604, India.
<sup>d</sup> Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, HC & RI, TNAU, Periyakulam, Theni – 625604, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102935

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106331

> Received: 05/07/2023 Accepted: 07/09/2023 Published: 08/09/2023

**Original Research Article** 

# ABSTRACT

Dragon fruit as the "Wondrous Fruit" of the twenty-first century is a cactus-like vine with great economic and nutritional potential as exotic fruit crops in domestic and foreign markets. One of the biggest issues with commercial fruit production is the development of high-quality planting materials. Considering that it is a cross-pollinated crop, true-to-type plants could not be obtained through seed propagation. Hence, standardization of vegetative propagation protocol is necessary

<sup>++</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Dean (Horticulture);

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: dharanijegatheesh@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 2709-2717, 2023

for commercial production. Therefore the experiment was conducted at Department of Fruit Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, to assess different length of stem cuttings (*viz.*,  $C_1$ .10cm,  $C_2$ .15cm,  $C_3$ .20cm and  $C_4$ .25 cm) with various concentration of auxin level (*viz.*,  $G_1$ .18A 2000 ppm,  $G_2$ .18A 4000 ppm,  $G_3$ .1BA 6000 ppm,  $G_4$ .NAA 50 ppm,  $G_5$ .NAA 100 ppm,  $G_6$ .NAA 150 ppm) for achieving growth and rooting of dragon fruit. According to the experimental findings, among the different treatments 25cm cuttings treated with IBA 6000ppm showed noticeably superior results in early root initiation (12.11 DAP) and sprout initiation (8.26 DAP), highest shoot length (75.52cm) and root length (17.64cm), Average number of roots per cutting (20.17), Average number of shoots per cutting (3.7), maximum survival percentage (93.42%) than other treatments.

Keywords: Auxin; Dragon fruit; growth parameters; length of the cuttings; survival percentage.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pitaya, also known as the Dragon fruit (Hylocereus undatus L.) are perennial epiphytic cactus being grown as an economical fruit crop throughout Southeast Asia, particularly in China, Vietnam, and Thailand. It has medium-sized scaly fruit and a photosynthetic stem without leaves [1] i.e., triangular green stem with a tendency to produce aerial roots, which spread out from the stems bases and act as anchors for the plants [2]. The majority of species are considered for their ornamental attributes however 250 species are recognised as fruits [3, 4,5]. Due to their capacity to withstand abiotic stress such as drought and harsh temperatures, dragon fruit is extremely adaptable to new environments. Being a CAM plant, it has the ability to open its closed stomata at night so that it can absorb  $CO_2$  and fix it during the day [6,7].

It has gained more attention due to its high nutritional value, particularly its vitamin C, phosphorus, and calcium content as well as its fibre content. antioxidative effects. and therapeutic benefits. Regular intake of fresh dragon fruit significantly lowers the risk of developing asthma, cholesterol, high blood pressure, cancer, congenital glaucoma, lessens the pain associated with arthritis, is safe for pregnant women, prevents renal bone disease, improves eve and brain health, and flowers are used in aromatherapy [8]. Additionally, it has betacyanins, which are water-soluble pigments that gives red colour to its pulp and their peel [9].

In India, dragon fruit is mostly grown in the states of Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and some regions of West Bengal on an area of less than 100 acres [10]. A shortage of quality planting supplies is one of the main obstacles to extending the area of dragon fruit production. There are two ways to multiply dragon fruit: (i) seeds, (ii) through stem cuttings. Lots of variability is observed among the

plants developed from seeds, that were not true to type. Vegetative propagation methods like stem cutting and grafting can be used to overcome the constraints of seed propagation. For easy multiplication and early fruiting, majority fruit propagation dragon efforts of are concentrated on stem cuttings [11]. However, rooting problems with stem cuttings can also lead to lower output. Cuttings from some cultivars of dragon fruit, like H. undatus, require auxin treatment in order to root development, and treated cuttings have a faster root initiation time, and longer roots than untreated cuttings. According to earlier research, IBA treatment was effective at concentrations between 6000 and 7000 ppm. The auxins may function even at extremely low concentrations and that using them excessively can be detrimental to the plants [10]. The focus of the present study is to investigate the effects of cuttings length with auxin treatments on the stem cuttings of dragon fruit.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A study was conducted on Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) in the field trial of Fruit Science Department, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam of Tamilnadu, India. A Factorial completely randomised design was used for the experiment, with twenty eight treatments distributed among two replications to assess the interaction effect of four different length cuttings *viz.*, C<sub>1</sub> -10cm, C<sub>2</sub> -15cm, C<sub>3</sub> - 20cm and C<sub>4</sub> -25 cm at various auxin concentrations like G<sub>1</sub> – IBA 2000 ppm, G<sub>2</sub> – IBA 4000 ppm, G<sub>3</sub> – IBA 6000 ppm, and G<sub>4</sub> –NAA 50 ppm, G<sub>5</sub> – NAA 100 ppm, G<sub>6</sub> – NAA 150 ppm and G<sub>7</sub> – Control on the growth of root and shoot in dragon fruit.

The stem cuttings are chosen from one year old shoots by direct observation from the healthy plants that were the same size and age. These were divided into the necessary sizes and it was allowed to cure for two days in a cool, dry environment for removing the gummy exudation from the cuttings. Then the basal cut ends were soaked in a 0.5% Bavistin (Carbendazim) solution for ten seconds to avoid the fungal disease. The cuttings were positioned at least 1.5 to 2 inches deep, with the spine facing up to retain polarity in polybags with a thickness of 250 gauge and a 15 cm diameter (15 x 20 cm) were filled with a 1:2:1 potting combination of soil, sand, and FYM.

After planting of cuttings, data on shoot emergence was recorded as soon as new growth of buds occurred. Shoot growth like number of days taken for sprouting, number of shoots per cutting, shoot length, dry weight and fresh weight of the shoot was measured in cm using a measuring scale from the base to the tip of the shoot beginning at 30 to 90 days of shoot emergence at every 30 days interval. The efficacy of the treatments were evaluated based on root parameters like number of days taken for root initiation, root length, average number of roots per cutting at 30 days, 60 days, 90 days after planting.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effect of Growth Regulators on the Growth Character of Dragon Fruit

Number of days taken for sprout initiation: Significant difference was noted between the various cuttings length, growth regulator treatments and their interaction for the shoot characteristics of Dragon fruit stem cuttings. Analysis of the data in Table 1 revealed that, among the various cuttings length, 25 cm  $(C_4)$  longest cuttings established early sprout initiation (12.99 DAP) and delayed sprouting was observed in 10 cm (C<sub>1</sub>) cuttings (16.70 DAP). Among the different IBA concentrations, cuttings treated with IBA at 6000 ppm (G<sub>3</sub>) significantly produced new sprouts at the earliest possible time (10.45 DAP) and maximum number of time taken for new sprout initiation (16.87 DAP) in Control (G7). Among the different treatment combinations, 25 cm cuttings with IBA -6000ppm shows shorter duration (8.26 DAP) for sprout initiation and 10cm with control taken longer duration (16.70 DAP). Because, the presence of more endogenous auxins in longer cuttings caused early rupture of bud dormancy, which led to early shoot emergence [12]. Dhruve et al., [10] and Balaguera - Lopez et al., [13] both found similar results in dragon fruit. Earlier sprout initiation occurs due to the availability of respiratory substrates to glycolytic enzymes, which results in the release of energy and aids in the early sprouting of dormant buds. In plum, IBA treatment improved the utilisation of nitrogen and stored carbohydrates in the nodal region and increases cell division, which accelerates the production of calluses in the cutting [14].

Number of days taken for root initiation: Significant differences between the various cutting length, growth regulators, and their interactions on root characteristics were noted in Table 1. Among the various length of cuttings 25 cm cuttings taken minimum duration (14.65 days) to initiate the roots and 10cm cuttings taken maximum duration (17.17 days). The cuttings treated with IBA 6000ppm will showed earliest root initiation (12.32 days) and more number of days taken to initiate the roots in untreated cuttings (20.40 days). Also proven to significant variation among the treatment combinations. 25cm cuttings with IBA 6000ppm produce the roots earlier (11.63 days) than 10cm cuttings with control (21.59 days). Ullah et al. [15] stated that growth regulators are involved in root initiation by stimulating cambial activity, it is possible that IBA treated cuttings induced the greatest number of roots. Nanda (1975), who suggested that, external application of auxin which hydrolyzes starch into simple sugars. It leads to the development of new root primordia because it triggers the synthesis of new cells and improve the respiratory activity in regeneration tissue.

Survival percentage: Data present in the Table 1 indicated highest value of survival percentage (85.39%) was found in 25cm long cuttings and lowest survival percentage (57.97%) was found in 10cm long cuttings. In the application growth regulators, IBA 6000ppm treated cuttings showed superior result (79.22%) than the rest of the other treatments. But there is no substantial interaction noted in between the cuttings length and IBA treatment combination. The same stevia discussed in results cuttina bv Rakibuzzaman et al. [16]. The fact that of higher amount of food reserves and sugars may be the reason of the highest reserves and sugars may be the reason of the highest survival percentage of rooted cuttings. It leads to increased the number of shoots and roots per cutting and the length of the roots, which in turn increased the survival rate. Reddy et al. [17] suggested that the survival rate, which is correlated with the effective root system *i.e.*, emergence of adventitious roots.

Average shoot growth: According to Table 2. the 25 cm cuttings provided the highest average shoot growth per plant (10.16cm, 30.35cm & 60.77 cm) at 30, 60 and 90 DAP which was far superior than 10 cm cuttings (2.33cm, 13.03cm & respectively). Among 38.86cm all auxin concentrations at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, the cuttings treated with IBA at 6000ppm proved considerably greater shoot growth (12.98cm, 33.09cm and 59.72cm). While the lowest shoot growth was found in untreated cuttings (2.80cm, 18.61cm & 37.75cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP respectively. Significant distinctions also existed between different treatment combinations. The 25cm cuttings treated with IBA 6000ppm showed maximum shoot growth at 30, 60 and 90 DAP were 20.96cm, 42.19cm and 75.52cm than 10cm cuttings with control at 30, 60 and 90 DAP were 1.23cm, 10.51cm, 23.49cm respectively. The same results were also found in Ficus hawaii [18]. They emphasised the link between longer shoots and improved rooting performance. Cuttings treated with rooting hormone produced more roots, which aided in improved nutrient absorption and ultimately lengthened the shoots.

Average root growth: The Table 3, indicated that there were significant variation among the cuttings length, growth regulators and their combinations. . At 30, 60 and 90 DAP the length of 25cm cuttings showed longest root length viz., 7.96cm. 8.57cm, 9.39cm respectively and smallest root length was observed in 10cm cuttings. The length of the cuttings recorded were 3.96cm, 6.49cm and 6.64cm. While considering the auxin level the cuttings treated with IBA 6000 ppm showed maximum root length per cutting i.e., 9.81, 12.43, 13.83cm and minimum length was observed in untreated cuttings i.e., 3.71, 4.73, 5.23cm with the time interval of 30, 60 and 90 DAP. In the study, there was a substantial interaction between IBA concentrations and cuttings length. The combination of IBA 6000 ppm with 25 cm cutting at 90 DAP results in highest individual length of root (17.64cm) and minimum length (4.57cm) in 10cm cuttings with control. Ayesha et al., [8] also found similar results in Dragon fruit stem cuttings. Maji et al., [19] indicates, rooting was fastened by a high C/N ratio and the presence of greater amounts of starch, sucrose, and reducing sugars due to the result of auxins effect on the cuttings. Higher accumulation of food reserves at cuttinas lonaer mav have accelerated carbohydrate depletion, which in turn initiate the longest roots by hastening the elongation of meristematic tissues.

Average number of shoots: The data provided in Table 4, describes the number of shoots per cutting as impacted by cuttings length, growth regulators in various combination. The average number of shoots per individual at 30, 60 and 90 DAP was highest in 25cm length cuttings (2.30, 2.54 and 2.85) whereas lowest shoot number (1.31, 1.43 and 1.77 respectively), in 10cm length cuttings. When compared to the other treatments, the IBA 6000 ppm-treated cuttings produced more shoots per cutting (2.60, 2.78 and 3.11 at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting) than untreated cuttings (1.19, 1.29 and 1.56 respectively). This study revealed significant interaction between IBA concentrations and cuttings length. IBA 6000 ppm with 25 cm cutting at 30, 60 and 90 DAP provide the greatest number of shoots per individual (viz., 3.20, 3.58, 3.70) and lowest number of shoots (viz., 0.83, 0.90, 1.15 respectively), was observed in 10cm cuttings with control. The rate of increase in number of shoots may be the impact of increased nutrient uptake by a stronger root system which in turn affected the vascular cambium's cell division, cell expansion, and control of differentiation into different types of cambial, leading to an increase in the number of shoots [20].

Average number of roots: As per the Table 5, maximum number of roots at 30, 60, and 90 DAP was recorded in 25cm cuttings (9.77, 11.73 and 12.51 respectively) and minimum number of roots was recorded in 10cm cuttings (8.32, 8.78 and 9.40 respectively). Among the various concentration IBA 6000ppm produce more number of roots at 30, 60, and 90 DAP (13.42, 15.76 and 16.28 respectively) than controlled treatments (6.36, 7.11 and 7.64 respectively). There were notable differences between various treatment combinations as well 25cm cuttings treated with IBA 6000ppm produce higher number of roots (14.00, 19.25 and 20.17) and 10cm cuttings with control produce lesser number of roots (5.52, 5.99 and 6.67) at 30, 60, and 90 DAP respectively. Similar results also found in pomegranate Bhosale et al. [21]. They concluded that, a certain amount of root growth may have been hastened by the higher auxin content, which also causes rapid water uptake and improved cell wall flexibility. The highest number of roots were found in longest cuttings. This may be because longer cuttings have more reserved material, which is essential for the growth and development of roots, compared to shorter cuttings [22-25].

G	Num	ber of day initiati	s taken fo on (days)	r sprout	Mean	Nun	nber of da initiati	ys taken f on (days)	or root	Mean	S	Survival p	ercentage	(%)	Mean
	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	_	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	_	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C <sub>4</sub>	
G <sub>1</sub>	16.75	14.75	16.15	12.20	14.96	17.10	15.49	14.76	13.70	15.26	61.50	70.65	77.36	85.83	73.83
G <sub>2</sub>	15.55	13.00	13.61	12.40	13.64	16.30	14.61	13.77	12.62	14.32	62.85	75.97	79.13	86.70	76.16
G₃	14.27	9.67	9.60	8.26	10.45	13.26	12.76	11.66	11.63	12.32	63.57	77.57	82.35	93.42	79.22
G4	17.88	15.65	15.65	14.96	16.03	20.65	19.15	17.75	16.50	18.51	56.53	67.74	74.75	83.82	70.71
G₅	16.82	15.15	15.65	13.90	15.38	19.56	18.43	16.52	15.60	17.53	57.21	68.67	75.31	84.68	71.47
G <sub>6</sub>	16.71	15.04	15.00	14.35	15.27	18.60	18.16	15.87	14.37	16.75	60.71	69.85	78.52	85.47	73.63
<b>G</b> 7	18.95	16.95	16.71	14.89	16.87	22.15	21.59	19.74	18.14	20.40	43.45	56.67	67.35	77.83	61.32
Mean	16.70	14.31	14.62	12.99		18.23	17.17	15.72	14.65	16.44	57.97	69.59	76.39	85.39	72.33
Factor	С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG		
SE.d	0.32	0.43	0.87			0.17	0.35	0.46			1.10	1.46	2.93		
CD at 5%	0.67**	0.89**	1.78**			0.35**	0.47**	0.95**			2.27**	3.00*	6.01**		

Table 1. Effect of cuttings length and different auxin concentration on the growth parameters in Dragon fruit

\*Significant at 5% level, G- Growth regulators,  $G_1$  – IBA 2000ppm,  $G_2$  – IBA 4000ppm,  $G_3$  – IBA 6000ppm,  $G_4$  – NAA 50ppm,  $G_5$  – NAA 100ppm,  $G_6$  – NAA 200ppm,  $G_7$  – Control,  $C_1$  – 10cm length,  $C_2$  – 15cm length,  $C_3$  – 20cm length,  $C_4$  – 25cm length.

#### Table 2. Effect of cuttings length and different auxin concentration on the shoot growth in Dragon fruit

G							Sh	oot growt	:h (cm)						
		30 days at	fter planti	ng	Mean		60 days at	fter planti	ng	Mean		90 days at	iter plantii	ng	Mean
	<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C4		<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C4		<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C4	
G <sub>1</sub>	2.34	3.76	4.85	9.56	5.12	15.61	27.84	32.35	36.00	27.95	27.69	41.92	48.59	66.86	46.26
G <sub>2</sub>	2.66	4.57	5.18	12.33	6.18	16.29	31.21	36.21	37.82	30.38	40.47	42.53	52.55	68.43	50.99
G₃	4.61	12.48	13.87	20.96	12.98	16.57	36.42	37.18	42.19	33.09	47.71	53.84	61.83	75.52	59.72
G <sub>4</sub>	1.32	2.18	3.00	6.80	3.32	9.57	19.41	22.72	25.36	19.26	49.18	44.65	38.13	48.08	45.01
G₅	1.98	2.80	3.86	7.73	4.09	10.43	23.33	29.65	27.76	22.79	39.51	35.96	49.70	52.82	44.50
G <sub>6</sub>	2.22	3.68	4.53	9.09	4.88	15.26	26.43	31.82	30.17	25.92	43.97	29.69	53.00	61.53	47.05
<b>G</b> 7	1.23	1.66	3.68	4.67	2.80	10.51	15.86	22.52	25.57	18.61	23.49	28.26	47.11	52.13	37.75
Mean	2.33	4.44	5.56	10.16		13.03	13.46	25.78	30.35	32.12	38.86	39.55	50.13	60.77	47.33
Factor	С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG		
SE.d	0.13	0.18	0.36			1.79	2.37	4.74			1.24	1.64	3.29		
CD at 5%	0.28**	0.37**	0.75**			3.67**	4.85**	9.71**			2.55**	3.37**	6.75**		

\*Significant at 5% level, G- Growth regulators,  $G_1$  – IBA 2000ppm,  $G_2$  – IBA 4000ppm,  $G_3$  – IBA 6000ppm,  $G_4$  – NAA 50ppm,  $G_5$  – NAA 100ppm,  $G_6$  – NAA 200ppm,  $G_7$  – Control,  $C_1$  – 10cm length,  $C_2$  – 15cm length,  $C_3$  – 20cm length,  $C_4$  – 25cm length.

G						Av	verage nur	nber of sh	oots per	cutting					
		30 days a	fter planti	ng	Mean		60 days a	fter plantii	ng	Mean		90 days a	fter plantir	ng	Mean
	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>2</sub>	C₃	<b>C</b> 4		<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	<b>C</b> 4		<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>2</sub>	C₃	<b>C</b> <sub>4</sub>	
<b>G</b> 1	1.38	1.85	2.31	2.39	1.98	1.46	1.87	2.33	2.71	2.09	1.81	2.50	3.20	3.28	2.69
G <sub>2</sub>	1.70	2.20	2.75	3.00	2.41	1.74	2.26	2.81	3.15	2.48	2.22	2.28	3.26	3.32	2.80
G₃	2.00	2.30	2.90	3.20	2.60	2.08	2.34	3.14	3.58	2.78	2.55	2.70	3.50	3.70	3.11
G <sub>4</sub>	0.70	1.30	1.50	1.60	1.27	1.08	1.41	1.63	1.75	1.47	1.35	1.50	1.70	2.05	1.65
G₅	1.30	1.40	1.90	2.05	1.66	1.37	1.48	2.03	2.37	1.80	1.58	2.10	2.35	2.70	2.18
G <sub>6</sub>	1.32	1.80	2.28	2.32	1.93	1.40	1.85	2.29	2.61	2.03	1.75	2.37	2.70	2.85	2.41
<b>G</b> 7	0.83	0.90	1.50	1.56	1.19	0.90	1.01	1.61	1.64	1.29	1.15	1.30	1.70	2.10	1.56
Mean	1.31	1.67	2.16	2.30		1.43	1.74	2.26	2.54	1.99	38.86	39.55	50.13	60.77	2.34
Factor	С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG		
SE.d	0.14	0.19	0.38			0.05	0.07	0.14			0.08	0.11	0.23		
CD at 5%	0.30**	0.39**	0.79**			0.10**	0.14**	0.28**			1.77**	2.10**	0.47**		

#### Table 3. Effect of cuttings length and different auxin concentration on the average number of shoots in Dragon fruit

\*Significant at 5% level, G- Growth regulators,  $G_1$  – IBA 2000ppm,  $G_2$  – IBA 4000ppm,  $G_3$  – IBA 6000ppm,  $G_4$  – NAA 50ppm,  $G_5$  – NAA 100ppm,  $G_6$  – NAA 200ppm,  $G_7$  – Control,  $C_1$  – 10cm length,  $C_2$  – 15cm length,  $C_3$  – 20cm length,  $C_4$  – 25cm length.

#### Table 4. Effect of cuttings length and different auxin concentration on the root growth in Dragon fruit

G							R	oot growt	h (cm)						
		30 days a	fter planti	ng	Mean		60 days a	fter plantii	ng	Mean		90 days a	fter plantii	ng	Mean
	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C4	_	<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	C4	_	<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C₃	<b>C</b> 4	
G1	3.91	4.09	5.44	7.37	5.20	5.63	5.93	6.50	7.30	6.34	5.75	6.29	8.17	8.35	7.13
G <sub>2</sub>	4.14	4.80	6.34	7.67	5.73	7.71	7.98	8.08	8.14	7.98	8.02	8.19	8.76	9.18	8.53
G₃	6.58	8.61	7.57	16.48	9.81	10.79	10.90	11.42	16.63	12.43	11.66	12.51	13.54	17.64	13.83
G4	3.06	3.86	4.30	5.53	4.18	6.58	7.02	7.21	7.71	7.13	5.37	5.87	6.29	7.72	6.31
G₅	3.67	3.99	4.77	6.34	4.69	5.36	5.40	5.46	6.99	5.80	5.48	6.11	7.82	8.15	6.88
G <sub>6</sub>	4.00	4.27	5.20	7.00	5.11	5.46	5.82	6.29	7.27	6.21	5.66	6.15	7.93	8.26	6.99
<b>G</b> 7	2.37	3.13	3.97	5.38	3.71	3.93	4.23	4.78	6.00	4.73	4.57	4.70	5.21	6.47	5.23
Mean	3.96	4.67	5.37	7.96		6.49	6.75	7.10	8.57		6.64	7.11	8.24	9.39	7.84
Factor	С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG		
SE.d	0.10	0.13	0.26			0.30	0.41	0.82			0.12	0.16	0.32		
CD at 5%	0.21**	0.27**	0.55**			0.63**	0.83**	1.67**			0.24**	0.32**	0.65**		

\*Significant at 5% level, G- Growth regulators,  $G_1$  – IBA 2000ppm,  $G_2$  – IBA 4000ppm,  $G_3$  – IBA 6000ppm,  $G_4$  – NAA 50ppm,  $G_5$  – NAA 100ppm,  $G_6$  – NAA 200ppm,  $G_7$  – Control,  $C_1$  – 10cm length,  $C_2$  – 15cm length,  $C_3$  – 20cm length,  $C_4$  – 25cm length.

G	Averag	e number	of shoots	per cuttir	ng										
		30 days a	fter planti	ng	Mean	60 days after planting				Mean		Mean			
	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>4</sub>	-	<b>C</b> <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>4</sub>	_	<b>C</b> 1	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>4</sub>	
<b>G</b> 1	8.43	8.72	8.94	9.60	8.92	8.73	9.18	10.27	10.66	9.70	9.33	9.69	10.74	11.14	10.22
G <sub>2</sub>	9.62	9.95	10.51	11.99	10.51	9.80	10.19	11.37	13.06	11.10	10.46	10.65	11.80	13.89	11.69
G <sub>3</sub>	12.56	13.25	13.90	14.00	13.42	13.16	13.77	16.87	19.25	15.76	13.90	14.56	16.50	20.17	16.28
G4	6.71	6.98	7.38	7.79	7.21	7.32	7.91	8.94	9.51	8.41	7.94	8.11	9.40	10.49	8.98
G₅	7.48	7.91	8.03	8.36	7.94	7.88	8.46	9.39	10.46	9.04	8.46	8.81	9.71	11.33	9.57
G <sub>6</sub>	8.00	8.59	8.70	9.28	8.64	8.60	9.26	9.96	11.09	9.72	9.10	9.65	10.47	11.86	10.26
<b>G</b> <sub>7</sub>	5.52	6.03	6.51	7.42	6.36	5.99	6.49	7.85	8.14	7.11	6.67	7.08	8.12	8.70	7.64
Mean	8.32	8.77	9.13	9.77	9.00	8.78	9.32	10.66	11.73	10.12	9.40	9.79	10.96	12.51	10.66
Factor	С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG			С	G	CXG		
SE.d	0.07	0.10	0.20			0.16	0.21	0.42			0.10	0.14	0.29		
CD at 5%	0.15**	0.21**	0.42**			0.32**	0.43**	0.87**			0.22**	0.29**	0.59**		

Table 5. Effect of cuttings length and different auxin concentration on the average number of roots in Dragon fruit

\*Significant at 5% level, G- Growth regulators,  $G_1$  – IBA 2000ppm,  $G_2$  – IBA 4000ppm,  $G_3$  – IBA 6000ppm,  $G_4$  – NAA 50ppm,  $G_5$  – NAA 100ppm,  $G_6$  – NAA 200ppm,  $G_7$  – Control,  $C_1$  – 10cm length,  $C_2$  – 15cm length,  $C_4$  – 25cm length.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The results of the study showed that plant growth regulators, Cuttings length and their interaction significantly impacted the growth parameters of dragon fruit cutting. Based on the overall performance of varied length of shoot cuttings with different growth regulators resulted in 25cm length cuttings treated with IBA 6000ppm as economical. In comparison to smaller cuttings, the larger ones produced earlier sprout initiation and root initiation, higher shoot and root growth, maximum survival percentage. Therefore, the 25cm cuttings length might be suggested for better multiplication because it has proven to promote better growth and development of shoots and roots.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Nerd A, Neumann PM. Phloem water transport maintains stem growth in a drought-stressed crop cactus (*Hylocereus undatus*). Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 2004;129(4):486-490.
- 2. Zee F, Yen CR, Nishina M. Pitaya, dragon fruit, strawberry pear; 2004.
- 3. Hunt DR. The New Cactus Lexicon. Milborne Port; 2006.
- 4. Anderson EF. Selenicereus; 2001.
- 5. Barcenas RT, Yesson C, Hawkins JA. Molecular systematics of the cactaceae. Cladistics. 2011;27:470–489.
- Ben-Asher J, Nobel PS, Yossov E, Mizrahi Y. Net CO 2 uptake rates for *Hylocereus undatus* and *Selenicereus megalanthus* under field conditions: Drought influence and a novel method for analyzing temperature dependence. Photosynthetica. 2006;44:181-186.
- Weiss I, Mizrahi Y, Raveh E. Effect of elevated CO2 on vegetative and reproductive growth characteristics of the CAM plants Hylocereus undatus and Selenicereus megalanthus. Scientia Horticulturae. 2010;123(4):531-536.
- 8. Ayesha S, Thippesha D. Influence of plant growth regulators on rooting of stem cuttings in dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus*). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6:1834–1839.

- 9. Wybraniec S, Nowak-Wydra B, Mitka K, Kowalski P, Mizrahi Y. Minor betalains in fruits of hylocereus species. Phytochemistry. 2007;68(2):251-259.
- 10. Lalit D, Suchitra V, Vani VS, Subbaramamma P, Saravanan L. Rooting and shooting behaviour of red and white pulped varieties of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus*) in relation to indole butyric acid concentrations. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018;14(1):229-234.
- 11. Tripathi PC, Karunakaran G, Sankar V, Kumar RS. Dragon Fruit: Nutritive and ruminative fruit. Technical Bulletin. 2014;11:10.
- Iqbal M, Subhan F, Ghafoor A, Jilani MS. Effect of different concentrations of IBA on root initiation and plant survival of apple cuttings. Pak Journal of Biological Science. 1999;2(4):1314-1316.
- Balaguera-Lopez 13. HE, Morales EI. Almanza-Merchán PJ. Balaguera L WA. (). Effect of different cladode size and auxin levels in asexual propagation of pitaya (Selenicereus megalanthus Haw.). Colombian Journal of Horticultural Sciences. Selenicereus megalanthus. 2010;4(1):33-42.
- Chauhan KS, Reddy TS. Effect of growth regulators and mist on rooting in stem cuttings of plum (*Prunus domestica* L.). Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1974;31(3): 229-231.
- Ullah T, Wazir FU, Ahmad M, Analoui F, Khan MU. A break through in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) propagation from cutting. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences; 2005.
- 16. Rakibuzzaman M, Shimasaki K, Uddin AJ. Influence of Cutting Position and Rooting Hormones on Rooting of Stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*) Stem Cutting. International Journal of Business, Social and Scientific Research. 2018;6(4):122-121.
- Reddy K, Reddy CP, Goud P. Effect of auxins on the rooting of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) hardwood and semi hardwood cuttings. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008;42(1):75-78.
- Siddiqui MI, Hussain SA. Effect of indole butyric acid and types of cuttings on root initiation of Ficus hawaii. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2007;23(4):919.
- 19. Maji S. Dragon fruit: The super fruit. BP International Pub. 2019;1-40.
- 20. Devi J, Bakshi P, Wali VK, Kour K, Sharma N. Role of auxin and dates of planting on

growth of cutting raised plantlets of Phalsa (*Grewia asiatica* L.). The Bioscan. 2016;11(1):535-537.

- Bhosale VP, Jadav RG, Masu MM. Response of different media and PGR's on rooting and survival of air layers in pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.)Cv. Sindhuri. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010;4(2):194-197.
- 22. Sangeet C, Hasan MA, Tamang A. Influence of varying length of stem cutting and iba concentrations on root and shoot growth in dragon fruit cv. Giant White (*Hylocereus undatus*). Environment and Ecology. 2021;39(4A):1114-1118.
- Loach K. Hormone applications and adventitious root formation in cuttings - a critical review. Acta Horticulturae. 1987; 126–133.

DOI:10.17660/actahortic.1988.227.19.

- 24. Nanda KK. Physiology of adventitious root formation. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 1975;18:80-89.
- 25. Seran TM, Thiresh A. Root and shoot growth of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus*) stem cutting as influenced by indole butyric acid. Agricultural and Biological Sciences Journal. 2015; 1:27-30.

© 2023 Dharani et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106331