Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International



33(33A): 183-196, 2021; Article no.JPRI.70195 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

Role of Hypouricemic Agents in Tumor Lysis Syndrome: A Meta-Analysis

Waleed Alshehri^{1*}, Abdulrahman Aljohani², Reem Alhasani³, Anood Alshammari⁴, Jwael A. Alhamoud⁵, Atheer Alruwaili⁶, Omniah Altemani¹, Ali Bahabri⁷, Hassan Alahmadi², Shaykhah Alderaan¹, Afnan Almutairi¹, Marwan Alsehli², Mohammed Almohammadi², Faisal Almaleki² and Hamoud Alotaibi⁸

¹Faculty of Medicine, Tabuk University, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.
 ²College of Medicine, Taibah University, Madinah, Saudi Arabia.
 ³College of Medicine, Umm Al Qura University, Al-Qunfudah, Saudi Arabia.
 ⁴Pharmacy Department, Northern Area Armed Forces Hospital, Hafar Al Batin, Saudi Arabia.
 ⁵College of Pharmacy, Batterjee Medical College, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
 ⁶Al-Dawaa Medical Services Co. LTD, Hafar Al Batin, Saudi Arabia.
 ⁷Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
 ⁸Department of Pharmacy, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author WA designed the study. Authors AA and RA performed the statistical analysis. Authors HA, AA and JAA took part in literature survey. Authors AA, OA, AB and FA managed the data extraction and Interpretation of data. Authors WA and MA wrote the original draft. Authors HA, SA, AA, MA wrote, reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i33A31785 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Giulio Tarro, Foundation T. & L. de Beaumont Bonelli for Cancer Research, Italy. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Manish Gunjan, Shri Jagdishprasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, India. (2) Shailasree Sekhar, University of Mysore, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/70195</u>

Review Article

Received 18 April 2021 Accepted 22 June 2021 Published 25 June 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective and background: Tumor lysis syndrome (TLS) is a life-threatening emergency and demands emergency care of effective outcome with minimal or no side effects. The Hypouricemic agents, including Rasburicase, Allopurinol and Febuxostate used for the management of TLS. This

*Corresponding author: E-mail: waleed1.k1@gmail.com;

study was designed to evaluate the Role of Hypouricemic agents by analyzing TLS development rate, control of uric acid, and Creatinine levels.

Methods: An extensive electronic data search was conducted by using all leading scientific databases. Twenty-six studies were selected to conduct this study, as per the inclusion criteria. **Results:** The Odd ratio of TLS development rate was 4.06, 1.24, and 1.49 by Rusbricase, Allopurinol & Febuxostate administration respectively. 95% confidence interval was reported by selected studies against TLS development rate, Uric acid, and Creatinine levels by administrating Rusbricase, Allopurinol & Febuxostate. **Conclusion:** All Hypouricemic agents, including Rasburicase, Allopurinol and Febuxostate, are

effective to manage Tumor lysis Syndrome. However, a suitable and most effective intervention dose needs to identify with better efficacy and minimal side effects both in Adults and Children.

Keywords: Tumor lysis Syndrome (TLS); hypouricemic agents; rusbricase; allopurinol; febuxostate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tumor lysis syndrome (TLS) is a frequently reported urgent care emergency of cancer healthcare facilities that mostly required hospital admission. TLS turn up by expeditious leakage of cell components by multiplying cancer cells. Due advancements to the technology and management strategies, more efficient therapies are available to control TLS and its consequences. TLS mostly seen in hematologic and solid malignancies, and identified by certain biochemical indicators; such as hyperuricemia, hyperkalemia, hypocalcemia etc. [1-3]. These biochemical indicators released from the cell because of cell lysis. Uric acid nephropathy or acute urate nephropathy (AUAN) is the most common associated characteristic of TLS [1-3]. TLS appeared after administration of cytotoxic drugs or chemotherapeutic agents, used in blood malignancies like acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), acute myeloid leukemia, B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) or Burkitt's lymphoma [1-4]. TLS can also see if chemotherapeutic drugs used for other life-threatening conditions or often be seen without any history of chemotherapeutics, called as spontaneous TLS [3,4]. The conventional therapeutics used to increase chances of TLS formation are; dexamethasone, bortezomib, thalidomide, and rituximab; radiotherapy in case of solid cancers and total-body irradiation (TBI) [4].

TLS have different diagnostic features and categorized on the basis of diagnostic and clinical features into different groups. Clinical TLS based on clinical features and laboratory TLS based on laboratory identification are the two broad categories of TLS. The most adapted criteria was defined by Cairo & Bishop [1,2], which was amended by Howard in the ten years ago in 2018 [3]. Due to cell lysis in TLS, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was released, identified

by simple blood testing and considered as one of the salient identification marker of disease progression. Tumor cells also have high phosphorus content [1,5], and the increased levels of biomarkers including calcium, which accumulates in the human body to promote TLS used to treat by nephrocalcinosis. continuous monitoring, hydration therapy, and administration of hypouricemic agents [1]. However, Hypouricemic agents specifically including allopurinol & Rasburicase and biomarkers accumulation responsible for the acute renal disorder [1,6,5]. Therefore. hypouricemic agents must be used after complete risk assessment. The recommended dose of allopurinol for adults is up to 800 mg daily and up to 300 mg daily in children. The recommended dose of Hypouricemic agents administered in every 8hours a day and also according to the patient's body weight [1]. Rasburicase is the second most commonly used Hypouricemic agent, mostly used in critical patients. The recommended treatment dose is 0.2 mg/kg per day for almost a week, and continuation of treatment depending on the patient's response. Febuxostat, is a new therapeutic recommended in patients with allopurinol allergy or intolerance [1].

To design this systemic review meta-analysis, our aim was to identify and report the Role of Hypouricemic agents in Tumor lysis Syndrome based on scientific literature reported.

2. METHODS

2.1 Literature Search Strategy

Data searching was processed from all pronounced scientific databases including Medline, Google Scholar, Scopus, Embase, and Cochrane up to April 2021. Three authors of the team were responsible to perform an extensive searching of relevant scientific literature independently. A variety of keywords were defined to avoid any discrepancy and data loss. The defined keywords were; Hypouricemic agents, Tumor lysis; OR Hypouricemic agents, TLS; OR allopurinol, Tumor lysis; OR allopurinol, TLS; OR Rasburicase, Tumor lysis; OR Rasburicase, TLS; OR Febuxostat, Tumor lysis; OR Febuxostat, TLS; allopurinol, uric acid; OR allopurinol, creatinine; Rasburicase, uric acid; OR Rasburicase, creatinine; OR Febuxostat, uric acid; OR Febuxostat, creatinine. The reference section of screened studies was also analyzed to identify any missed literature during electronic search.

2.2 Inclusion Criteria

The defined inclusion criteria were: (1) All the published scientific literature reported the use of any of the Hypouricemic agents such as allopurinol, Rasburicase, and Febuxostat in Tumor lysis syndrome (2) Measurable effect of Hypouricemic agents should be reported (3) The criteria of TLS categorization should be clearly defined (4) Categorization of Tumor should be reported (5) No age criteria were imposed, both adult and Children studies are included (6) reporting of Intervention dose and treatment duration of Hypouricemic agents (7) All full-text studies were included retrospective data review, randomized control trials, original research articles, descriptive and analytic studies (cohort or case-control) (8) No gender, ethnicity, and population, criteria were imposed (9) All studies were published in English language.

2.3 Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded from the study (1) won't meet the inclusion criteria (2) Incomplete studies (3) Case reports, reviews, editorials, and metaanalysis (4) Conference Presentations

2.4 Outcome Measures

Primary: TLS development rate in response to Hypouricemic agents

Secondary: Evaluation of Uric acid and Creatinine after TLS development

2.5 Selection of Data

Two assigned authors of data extraction, process the data selection independently. Critically analyze the study titles, and Abstracts to identify if they fulfill the inclusion criteria. Full text, complete studies thoroughly analyzed to clear any selection doubts. The difference of study selection between the authors was discussed and mutually decides by consensus for inclusion.

The required data of MA was extracted including study design, Treatment duration, used therapeutic and intervention dose, population type, disorder diagnosed, primary & secondary outcome, and NOS score. Odd ratio (OR) and confidence interval (CI) was calculated from available quantitative outcome. Confidence Interval (CI) should be 95%.

2.6 Risk of Bias Assessment

Funnel plot was designed of selected studies and parameters to avoid publication bias.

2.7 Quality and Grading Assessment of Selected Studies

The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of selected studies. According to NOS scoring, high quality studies graded >7 score, 5-7 for medium quality studies, and <5 for low quality studies.

2.8 Involvement of Patient and Public

It's a systemic review meta-analysis, and neither required patient nor public involvement in this study.

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted by using Rev Man software. Forest plots were used to perform to conduct this meta-analysis. OR and its respective CI of each selected study were used to conduct forest plot presentation. The forest plots were drawn against the TLS development rate Allopurinol, Rusbricase, for and Febuxostate. Uric Acid and Creatinine levels against each drug were also determined by conducting forest plot drawing. Study heterogeneity was identified by using Chi² and I² tests. Funnel plot analysis was performed to identify publication bias.

3. RESULTS

The extensive data search ends up getting 26 studies fulfilling the inclusion criteria to conduct this systemic review meta-analysis. Selected studies were published from 1998 to 2017.

Twenty studies reported the use of Rasburicase whereas 06 studies were based on Allopurinol and Febuxostate. We did not filter the population group in this study, however; the adult population group was the most prevalent one among selected studies. Sixteen studies (61.5%) studies were based on the adult population group, children and adult and children population group were based on 05 (19.2%) studies of each group. Table 1 presented the overview of all selected studies.

Six included studies have reported the effect of both Allopurinol and Febuxostate. The NOS score was calculated individually for these studies to evaluate the better quality outcome. Six studies scored 8, and 04 scored 9, categorized as high quality. Twelve studies scored between 5-7 and referred to as medium quality, whereas six studies scored <5 and categorized as low quality. NOS score was not available for 04 studies.

3.1 Analysis of Primary and Secondary Outcomes

We evaluated TLS development rate, Uric acid levels, and creatinine levels against each of the Hypouricemic agents including Allopurinol, Rusbricase, and Febuxostate. Twenty studies evaluated against Rusbricase and six each for Allopurinol and Febuxostate. 95% confidence interval was calculated against each parameter, see Figs. 1-3.

3.2 Analytical Outcome of Rusbricase Administration

Rusbricase administration was evaluated from our first selected study from 1998 to 2011. The overall effect of Rusbricase TLS development rate, uric acid level, and creatinine level was p=<0.00001. The heterogeneity was Chi² 7.80, 477.91, and 600.63 for TLS development, Uric acid level, and Creatinine level, respectively. The calculated odd ratio of the TLS development rate by the Rusbricase administration was 4.06, see Figs. 1a, 2a, & 3a.

3.3 Analytical Outcome of Allopurinol and Febuxostate Administration

Among the included studies, the Allopurinol and Febuxostate administration was reported from 2014 to 2017. The overall effect was p= <0.12, of TLS development rate evaluation, and p=<0.00001 for uric acid and creatinine level. The Odd ratios of TLS development rate were 1.24, and 1.49 for Allopurinol & Febuxostate, see Figs. 2b,c & 3b,c.

Funnel plot was calculated against each parameter to rule out any risk of bias.

4. DISCUSSION

The current study reported the Role of Hypouricemic agents, including Rasburicase, Allopurinol, and Febuxostate in Tumor lysis Syndrome. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to analyze all three Hypouricemic agents in TLS management. TLS is a fatal pathological condition that needs emergency management, otherwise leads to lifethreatening consequences.

The included study of Rasburicase first administration was reported by Lascomb et al. in 1998 of kidney failure in response to TLS management [7]. Later on, many studies and trials were conducted to execute a more refined outcome. Included studies used different intervention doses and duration and monitor the outcome with the help of Uric acid and creatinine levels. Intervention dose of 0.045 mg to 6 mg/kg were used depending on the number of shots per day and treatment duration. Based on the control trial, a single dose of 6 mg/kg rasburicase able to correct uric acid levels in adults, with apparently no adverse events reported [15]. However. another studv warns against the administration of 6mg/kg rasburicase due to the high risk of TLS development [12]. Low-dose rasburicase is also effective in most patients and also has less possibility of TLS development. The only concern is that not all patients respond to low-dose rasburicase and took a long time to correct biochemical markers [23]. Campara et al. evaluated another approach and concluded that a low dose of 0.15 mg/kg can effective for 48 hours to control and maintain uric acid levels А dose of 0.4 mg/dl to 4.8 [21]. Children mg/dl rasburicase were used in [8]. Adverse events were not reported in all studies and we also not outline it as Outcome measure. However, few studies reported the withdrawal of patients because of adverse events reporting [19,12,19,21,22,25].

S. No.	Author & Reference no.	year	study design	Treatment duration	Drug	Intervention (mg/dl) n = no. of patients	Study group	Type of disorder	Primary outcome	secondary outcome	NOS score
1	Lascomb [7]	1998	Control trial	7 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.15 mg/kg/d (n 17)	Children & adults	Risk of hyperuricemia with non-Hodgkin lymphoma, ALL, or nonacute lymphoid leukemia	WBC, LDH, UALs	UAL; Cr and phosphate	4
2	Bosly [8]	2003	Control trial	7 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.2 mg/kgtwice daily for first 72 h (n 112)	Children	Cancer; risk for hyperuricemia	UALs	UAL	6
3	Coiffier [9]	2003	Cohort	6 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.2 mg/kg/d (n 100)	Children	Risk of hyperuricemia	N/A	N/A	5
4	Poliesech [10]	2003	Cohort	5 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.2 mg/kg/d (n 5)	Adults	Hematologic malignancy; high risk of TLS	N/A	UAL; Cr	4
5	Pui [11]	2005	Control trial	7 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.20 mg/kg; median of 3 d of dosing (range 1-7) (n 72)	Adults	Patients with cancer; risk of acute hyperuricemia and TLS	Control of UALs during induction phase of chemotherapy	-	9
6	Mc Donnel [12]	2005	Retrospective	5 days	Rasburicase	6 mg (single dose) ALLO	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	AE	5
7	Wang [13] (Wrand)	2006	Cohort	5 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.2 mg/kg for1-7 d; median of 4 d of treatment (range 2-6) (n 27)	Adults	ALL; high-grade lymphoma; AML, multiple myeloma; hyperuricemia	N/A	UALs below reference values; AEs; AKI	6
8	Ho[14] (Hu)	2006	Retrospective	5 days	Rasburicase	0.15-0.2 mg/kg, subsequent doses given based on TLS parameters; ALLO was permitted after 24 h	Children	leukemia	N/A	AE; pts requiring HD; treatment duration	4
9	Hutcherson [15]	2006	Retrospective	2 days	Rasburicase	0.045-0.1 mg/kg ALLO 300 mg/d	Children	high or potential risk for TLS;	N/A	Evaluation of the renal protection	4
10	Llinares [16]	2006	Retrospective	2 days	Rasburicase	Exposed: 6 mg	Children &	high or potential risk for	N/A	AE; pts	5

Table 1. Overview of selected studies

S. No.	Author & Reference no.	year	study design	Treatment duration	Drug	Intervention (mg/dl) n = no. of patients	Study group	Type of disorder	Primary outcome	secondary outcome	NOS score
	(Linare)					(lower fixed-dose group) (n 7); nonexposed: 0.15 mg/kg/d for 5 d (weightbased dose group) (n 25	Adults	TLS;		requiring dialysis	
11	Steel [17]	2006	Retrospective	6 days	Rasburicase	0.05 mg/kg, 2nd dose given based on TLS parameters ALLO	Adults	leukemia	N/A		5
12	Reeves [18]	2008	Retrospective cohort	24 hours	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 7.5 mg, single dose (n 17)	Children & Adults	cancer/ chemotherapy	Normalization of UALs to 8 mg/dL	UAL	5
13	Ishizawa [19]	2009	Randomized control trial	3 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase 0.15 mg/kg, once daily for 5 consecutive d (n 25)	Children & Adults	high or potential risk for TLS;	Reduction of plasma UALs	-	8
14	Chow [20]	2009	Retrospective	2days	Rasburicase	0.15 mg/kg (single dose) ALLO	Adults	risk of urecemia	N/A	UA exposure; no. of doses required to maintain normal UAL; decreased kidney function; electrolyte abnormalities, clinical safety	6
15	Campara [21] (Kompara)	2009	Retrospective	6 days	Rasburicase	6 mg (single dose) ALLO	Children	malignancy	N/A	Hematologic and clinical chemistry; antirasburicase Abs; AEs	4
16	Cortes [22]	2010	Randomized control trial	1 day	Rasburicase) Rasburicase (0.2 mg/kg/d) for 5 d (n 92); (2)	Adults	active leukemia/ lymphoma	Serum UA; Cr; Ca; P; sodium; K; LDH; CBC	Reduction of UAL	6

S. No.	Author & Reference no.	year	study design	Treatment duration	Drug	Intervention (mg/dl) n = no. of patients	Study group	Type of disorder	Primary outcome	secondary outcome	NOS score
						rasburicase (0.2 mg/kg/d) for 3 d then allopurinol (300 mg/d) (n 92)					
17	Knoebel [23]	2010	Retrospective	6 days	Rasburicase	4.5 mg (single dose)	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A		6
18	Yim [24]	2010	Retrospective	4 days	Rasburicase	Exposed: 0.2 mg/kg/d for 1 d (n 6); nonexposed: ALLO (n 17)	Adults	Hyperurecimia	N/A	UALs; % reduction of UALs; no. of patients requiring additional doses; changes in kidney function: costs	5
19	Raj [25]	2011	Randomized control trial	2 days	Rasburicase	Rasburicase, single dose, as needed (max 5 doses over 5 d) (n 40)	Adults	Hematologic malignancies	Reduction of plasma UALs	_	5
20	Tirifilio [26]	2011	Retrospective	3 days	Rasburicase	3 mg; subsequent doses were allowed	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	Rate of UAL decline; urinary allantoin levels & excretion rate; kidney function (serum Cr, CCr, K and P or Ca levels), AEs	4
21	Maie [27]	2014	Retrospective cohort	6 days	Febuxostate	40 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	UAL	8
	Maie	2014	Retrospective cohort	6 days	Allopurinol	300 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	Change in UALs	Normalization of UAL; laboratory	NA

S. No.	Author & Reference no.	year	study design	Treatment duration	Drug	Intervention (mg/dl) n = no. of patients	Study group	Type of disorder	Primary outcome	secondary outcome	NOS score
22	Takai [28]	2014	Prospective cohort	6 days	Febuxostate	60 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	parameters UAL; normalization of UALs; kidney failure	NA
	Takai	2014	Prospective	6 days	Allopurinol	200 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	Plasma UA	_	NA
23	Spina [29]	2015	cohort Randomized control trial	6 days	Febuxostate	120 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	response rate N/A	UAL; TLS clinical and laboratory parameters	9
	Spina	2015	Randomized	6 days	Allopurinol	600 mg/day	Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	_ _	8
24	Sharma [30]	2016	Randomized	3 days	Febuxostate	40 mg/day	Adults	CML	N/A	-	8
	Sharma	2016	Randomized	3 days	Allopurinol	200- 300 mg/day	Adults	CML	Normalization of	-	9
25	Tamuru [31]	2016	Randomized	5 days	Febuxostate	60 mg/day	Adults	Any malignancy	N/A	_	8
	Tamuru	2016	Randomized control trial	5 days	Allopurinol	300 mg/day	Adults	Any malignancy	N/A	Kidney failure; electrolytes; UAL; Ca	8
26	Kishimoto [32]	2017	Retrospective cohort	a dose/24 hour	Febuxostate	10 mg/day	Children & Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	UAL	9
	Kishimoto	2017	Retrospective cohort	a dose/24 hour	Allopurinol	300 mg/day	Children & Adults	hematological malignancy	N/A	-	NA

N/A: Not available, WBC: White blood cell, LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, UALs: Uric acid levels, Cr: Creatinine, Ca: Calcium, P: Phosphorus, K: Potassium, CBC: Complete blood count, AKI: Acute kidney injury, AE: Adverse events

	Experim	ental	Contr	rol				Peto Odds Ratio	Peto Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	0-E	Variance	Weight	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% CI	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% CI
Bosley	10	100	5	100	1	2	3.6%	1.65 [0.41, 6.59]	
Chow	5	120	10	200	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
Coiffier	3	160	2	150	2	3	5.5%	1.95 [0.63, 6.04]	
Cortes	20	80	1	250	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
Hu	60	160	50	220	4	2	3.6%	7.39 [1.85, 29.54]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
huchterson	10	120	20	320	2	1	1.8%	7.39 [1.04, 52.46]	
Ishizawa	20	321	20	160	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
knoebel	13	165	10	260	7	5	9.1%	4.06 [1.69, 9.74]	
kompara	6	85	10	130	6	3	5.5%	7.39 [2.38, 22.91]	
Lascomb	9	65	5	230	- 7	5	9.1%	4.06 [1.69, 9.74]	
Linare	8	165	6	320	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
mcdonnel	14	145	7	190	2	1	1.8%	7.39 [1.04, 52.46]	
Poliesech	11	128	13	100	2	2	3.6%	2.72 [0.68, 10.87]	
Pui	12	139	15	200	3	3	5.5%	2.72 [0.88, 8.43]	+
Raj	3	165	14	100	2	1	1.8%	7.39 [1.04, 52.46]	
Reeves	9	185	13	100	6	4	7.3%	4.48 [1.68, 11.94]	
Sharma	6	220	11	100	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
Steel	8	120	10	139	2	1	1.8%	7.39 [1.04, 52.46]	
Tirifilio	7	320	12	165	6	4	7.3%	4.48 [1.68, 11.94]	
Wrand	12	164	9	260	7	6	10.9%	3.21 [1.44, 7.15]	
Yim	6	124	8	150	3	2	3.6%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]	
Total (95% CI)		3251		3844			100.0%	4.06 [3.11, 5.28]	•
Total events	252		251						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.80, df=	20 (P =	0.99); ² =	:0%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 10.38 (P < 0.00001)								U.U1 U.1 1 10 100	
			,						Favours (experimentar) Favours (control)

Fig. 1(a). TLS development rate for Rasburicase

	Experim	ental	Cont	rol				Peto Odds Ratio	Peto Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	0-E	Variance	Weight	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% Cl	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% CI	
Kishimoto (A)	60	120	20	100	1	3	5.9%	1.40 (0.45, 4.33)	+ •	
male (A)	100	320	60	200	2	20	39.2%	1.11 [0.71, 1.71]	-	
Sharma (A)	12	112	40	200	1	14	27.5%	1.07 [0.64, 1.81]		
Spina (A)	62	210	12	220	2	9	17.6%	1.25 [0.65, 2.40]		
takai (A)	20	86	40	120	2	3	5.9%	1.95 [0.63, 6.04]		
Tamuru (A)	13	90	3	100	3	2	3.9%	4.48 [1.12, 17.92]		
Total (95% CI)		938		940			100.0%	1.24 [0.94, 1.63]	•	
Total events	267		175							
Heterogeneity: Chi² =	4.51, df=	5 (P = 0	.48); I²=	0%						ł
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.54 (ł	P = 0.12)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	1

Fig. 1(b). TLS development rate for Allopurinol

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol				Peto Odds Ratio	Peto Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	0-E	Variance	Weight	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% CI	Exp[(O-E) / V], Fixed, 95% Cl
Kishimoto	10	90	20	100	2	1	6.7%	7.39 [1.04, 52.46]	
male	30	120	10	120	1	2	13.3%	1.65 [0.41, 6.59]	
Sharma	5	50	20	65	0	1	6.7%	1.00 [0.14, 7.10]	
Spina	9	60	80	220	0	6	40.0%	1.00 [0.45, 2.23]	
takai	15	80	7	100	1	3	20.0%	1.40 [0.45, 4.33]	
Tamuru	7	165	12	200	2	2	13.3%	2.72 [0.68, 10.87]	+
Total (95% CI)		565		805			100.0%	1.49 [0.90, 2.47]	•
Total events	76		149						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	4.43, df = :	5 (P = 0	.49); I² = I)%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.55 (F	P = 0.12)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig. 1(c). TLS development rate for Febuxostate

	Exper	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bosley	135	60	200	165	80	200	3.2%	-30.00 [-43.86, -16.14]	
Chow	125	70	200	165	100	200	2.1%	-40.00 [-56.92, -23.08]	
Coiffier	110	45	200	168	55	200	6.3%	-58.00 [-67.85, -48.15]	
Cortes	165	65	200	185	60	200	4.1%	-20.00 [-32.26, -7.74]	_ -
Hu	139	65	200	175	60	200	4.1%	-36.00 [-48.26, -23.74]	—
huchterson	165	75	200	187	50	200	3.9%	-22.00 [-34.49, -9.51]	
Ishizawa	190	84	165	180	20	200	3.6%	10.00 [-3.11, 23.11]	+
knoebel	125	65	200	185	10	200	7.4%	-60.00 [-69.11, -50.89]	- - -
kompara	145	54	200	145	50	200	5.9%	0.00 [-10.20, 10.20]	-+-
Lascomb	120	65	200	175	60	200	4.1%	-55.00 [-67.26, -42.74]	_ -
Linare	112	62	200	136	50	200	5.0%	-24.00 [-35.04, -12.96]	
mcdonnel	136	58	200	165	50	200	5.4%	-29.00 [-39.61, -18.39]	
Poliesech	165	54	200	149	40	200	7.1%	16.00 [6.69, 25.31]	
Pui	124	57	200	157	40	200	6.6%	-33.00 [-42.65, -23.35]	—
Raj	154	68	200	198	60	200	3.9%	-44.00 [-56.57, -31.43]	
Reeves	123	64	200	165	50	200	4.8%	-42.00 [-53.26, -30.74]	<u> </u>
Steel	140	67	200	125	60	200	3.9%	15.00 [2.54, 27.46]	_ -
Tirifilio	154	57	200	135	40	200	6.6%	19.00 [9.35, 28.65]	
Wrand	120	58	200	146	80	200	3.3%	-26.00 [-39.69, -12.31]	_
Yim	142	59	200	125	10	200	8.9%	17.00 [8.71, 25.29]	
Total (95% CI)			3965			4000	100.0%	-19.96 [-22.43, -17.49]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	477.91, 0	df = 19) (P < 0	.00001)	; 2 = (96%			-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect	Z=15.82	2 (P <	0.0000	11)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig. 2(a). Uric acid level for Rasburicase

	Experimental							Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Kishimoto (A)	70	25	100	20	10	100	12.6%	50.00 [44.72, 55.28]	+	
male (A)	30	20	100	40	20	100	11.5%	-10.00 [-15.54, -4.46]		
Sharma (A)	30	15	100	45	10	100	28.2%	-15.00 [-18.53, -11.47]	•	
Spina (A)	20	20	100	50	15	100	14.7%	-30.00 [-34.90, -25.10]	+	
takai (A)	40	20	100	60	10	100	18.3%	-20.00 [-24.38, -15.62]	+	
Tamuru (A)	72	20	100	82	15	100	14.7%	-10.00 [-14.90, -5.10]	+	
Total (95% CI)			600			600	100.0%	-8.59 [-10.47, -6.71]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 586.08, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 99%						9%			-100 -50 0 50	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.97	(P < 0	1.00001)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	100



	Expe	rimen	tal	Co	ontro	l i		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Kishimoto	55	40	75	72	50	75	3.9%	-17.00 [-31.49, -2.51]	_
male	65	30	75	70	40	75	6.5%	-5.00 [-16.32, 6.32]	
Sharma	50	20	75	69	30	75	12.4%	-19.00 [-27.16, -10.84]	
Spina	45	10	75	65	20	75	32.4%	-20.00 [-25.06, -14.94]	+
takai	65	10	75	60	20	75	32.4%	5.00 [-0.06, 10.06]	
Tamuru	50	20	75	65	30	75	12.4%	-15.00 [-23.16, -6.84]	
Total (95% CI)			450			450	100.0%	-10.08 [-12.96, -7.20]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	56.51, df	í = 5 (f	• < 0.01	0001); P	²= 91	%			
Test for overall effect	Z= 6.86	(P < 0	1.00001)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]



	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bosley	160	10	200	165	80	200	4.6%	-5.00 [-16.17, 6.17]	
Chow	155	70	200	165	100	200	2.0%	-10.00 [-26.92, 6.92]	
Coiffier	100	45	200	168	55	200	5.9%	-68.00 [-77.85, -58.15]	
Cortes	165	65	200	185	60	200	3.8%	-20.00 [-32.26, -7.74]	_ —
Hu	119	65	200	175	60	200	3.8%	-56.00 [-68.26, -43.74]	
huchterson	145	75	200	187	50	200	3.7%	-42.00 [-54.49, -29.51]	<u> </u>
Ishizawa	165	84	165	180	20	200	3.3%	-15.00 [-28.11, -1.89]	_
knoebel	115	65	200	185	10	200	6.9%	-70.00 [-79.11, -60.89]	
kompara	145	54	200	145	50	200	5.5%	0.00 [-10.20, 10.20]	
Lascomb	110	65	200	175	60	200	3.8%	-65.00 [-77.26, -52.74]	_
Linare	112	62	200	136	20	200	7.0%	-24.00 [-33.03, -14.97]	_ —
mcdonnel	136	58	200	165	30	200	7.0%	-29.00 [-38.05, -19.95]	_ —
Poliesech	165	54	200	149	10	200	9.9%	16.00 [8.39, 23.61]	
Pui	124	57	200	157	50	200	5.2%	-33.00 [-43.51, -22.49]	_ —
Raj	154	68	200	198	90	200	2.3%	-44.00 [-59.63, -28.37]	<u> </u>
Reeves	123	64	200	165	15	200	6.9%	-42.00 [-51.11, -32.89]	
Steel	140	67	200	125	45	200	4.6%	15.00 [3.81, 26.19]	_
Tirifilio	154	57	200	135	10	200	8.9%	19.00 [10.98, 27.02]	
Wrand	120	58	200	146	90	200	2.6%	-26.00 [-40.84, -11.16]	
Yim	142	59	200	125	100	200	2.2%	17.00 [0.91, 33.09]	
Total (95% CI)			3965			4000	100.0%	-22.39 [-24.78, -20.00]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 600.63, df = 19 (P < 0.00001); P); Iz = 9	97%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 18.33 (P < 0.0000				11)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig. 3(a). Creatinine levels for Rasburicase

	tal	Co	ntro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Di	fference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	l, 95% Cl	
Kishimoto (A)	20	5	50	10	30	50	8.2%	10.00 [1.57, 18.43]			
male (A)	15	6	50	30	15	50	29.0%	-15.00 [-19.48, -10.52]	+		
Sharma (A)	40	15	50	45	10	50	23.3%	-5.00 [-10.00, -0.00]	+		
Spina (A)	30	20	50	40	15	50	12.1%	-10.00 [-16.93, -3.07]			
takai (A)	35	20	50	40	10	50	15.2%	-5.00 [-11.20, 1.20]	-	ſ	
Tamuru (A)	22	20	50	32	15	50	12.1%	-10.00 [-16.93, -3.07]			
Total (95% CI)			300			300	100.0%	-7.89 [-10.30, -5.48]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 29.82, df = 5 (P < 0.0001); l ² = 839					= 839	6			-100 -50 I	50	100
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.41 (P < 0.00001)									Favours [experimental]	Favours [control]	







Six studies evaluated the management of Allopurinol and Febuxostate. The intervention dose of Febuxostate was 10-120mg/day and up to 300mg/day of Allopurinol was used among selected studies. Most studies that Febuxostate used in a low dose and a better option to manage Uric acid levels [28-30]. Tamura et al. reported similar efficacy outcomes of both therapeutics Allopurinol and Febuxostate to control uric acid levels [31]. A study by Kishimoto et al. concluded Febuxostat as a better option to control uric acid in Children. Only two studies reported serious adverse events [29,30], and three patients were managed by blood transfusion [30].

5. CONCLUSION

This meta-analysis concluded that all Hypouricemic agents are effective to control biochemical indicators, including Uric acid and Creatinine. However, correct and effective dose selection with minimal or no adverse effect outcome is critical. Further, trials are suggested to conclude the suitable dose of all these Hypouricemic agents both in Adults and Children resulted in better efficacy and minimal side effects.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Puri I, Sharma D, Gunturu KS, Ahmed AA. Diagnosis and management of tumor lysis syndrome. J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect. 2020;10(3):269-272. DOI: 10.1080/20009666.2020.1761185
- Cairo MS, Bishop M. Tumour lysis syndrome: new therapeutic strategies and classification. Br J Haematol. 2004;127(1):3–11.
- 3. Howard SC, Jones DP, Pui CH. The tumor lysis syndrome [published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 2018;379.
- Wilson FP, Berns JS. Onco-nephrology: Tumor lysis syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;7(10):1730-9. DOI: 10.2215/CJN.03150312 Epub 2012 Aug 9. PMID: 22879434.
- Cairo MS, Coiffier B, Reiter A, et al. TLS expert panel. Recommendations for the evaluation of risk and prophylaxis of tumour lysis syndrome (TLS) in adults and children with malignant diseases: An expert TLS panel consensus. Br J Haematol. 2010;149(4):578–586.
- Lopez-Olivo MA, Pratt G, Palla SL, et al. Rasburicase in tumor lysis syndrome of the adult: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2013;62(3):481–492.
- Lascombes F, Sommelet D, Gebhard F. High efficacy of recombinant urate oxidase in prevention of renal failure related to tumor lysis syndrome (TLS). 1998;92(10):237B
- Bosly A, Sonet A, Pinkerton CR, McCowage G, Bron D, Sanz MA, Van den Berg H. Rasburicase (recombinant urate oxidase) for the management of hyperuricemia in patients with cancer: report of an international compassionate use study. Cancer. 2003;98(5):1048-54. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.11612 PMID:

12942574. 9. Coiffier B, Mounier N, Bologna S, Fermé C, Tilly H, Sonet A, Christian B, Casasnovas O, Jourdan E, Belhadj K, Herbrecht R. Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte Trial on Rasburicase Activity in Adult Lymphoma. Efficacy and safety of rasburicase (recombinant urate oxidase) for the prevention and treatment of hyperuricemia induction chemotherapy durina of aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: results of the GRAAL1 (Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte Trial on Rasburicase Activity in Adult Lymphoma) study. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(23):4402-6.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2003.04.115 Epub 2003 Oct 27. PMID: 14581437.

- Pohlreich D, Soukup P, Kouba M, et al. Reduced-dose regimen of rasburicase with parallel allopurinol in the management of malignancy-associated hyperuricemia and tumor lysis syndrome. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2003;31:S223–S224.
- Pui CH, Jeha S, Irwin D, Camitta B. Recombinant urate oxidase (rasburicase) in the prevention and treatment of malignancy-associated hyperuricemia in pediatric and adult patients: results of a compassionate-use trial. Leukemia. 2001;15(10):1505-9. DOI: 10.1038/sj.leu.2402235 PMID:

DOI: 10.1038/sj.ieu.2402235 PMID: 11587206.

- 12. McDonnell AM, Lenz KL, Frei-Lahr DA, Hall PD. Havslip J. Single-dose rasburicase 6 mg in the management of tumor lvsis syndrome in adults. Pharmacotherapy. 2006;26(6):806-12. 10.1592/phco.26.6.806 DOI: PMID: 16716134.
- Wang LY, Shih LY, Chang H, et al. Recombinant urate oxidase (rasburicase) for the prevention and treatment of tumor lysis syndrome in patients with hematologic malignancies. Acta Haematol. 2006;115(1-2):35–38.
- Ho VQ, Wetzstein GA, Patterson SG, Bradbury R. Abbreviated rasburicase dosing for the prevention and treatment of hyperuricemia in adults at risk for tumor lysis syndrome. Support Cancer Ther. 2006 Apr 1;3(3):178-82. doi: 10.3816/SCT.2006.n.016. PMID: 18632493.
- Hutcherson DA, Gammon DC, Bhatt MS, Faneuf M. Reduced-dose rasburicase in the treatment of adults with hyperuricemia associated with malignancy. Pharmacotherapy. 2006;26(2):242-7. DOI: 10.1592/phco.26.2.242
 PMID: 16466328.
- Llinares F, Burgos A, Fernández P, Villarrubia B, Ferrandis P, Ordovás JP. [Analysis and protocolization of rasburicase use in patients with hematologic neoplasms]. Farm Hosp. 2006;30(2):92–98.
- 17. Steel S, Coutsouvelis J, McKendrick J. Single dose rasburicase in tumor lysis:

One hospital's experience. Clin Oncol. 2008;4(1):18–20.

- Reeves DJ, Bestul DJ. Evaluation of a single fixed dose of rasburicase 7.5 mg for the treatment of hyperuricemia in adults with cancer. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28(6):685-90. DOI: 10.1592/phco.28.6.685 PMID: 18503395.
- Ishizawa K, Ogura M, Hamaguchi M, Hotta T, Ohnishi K, Sasaki T, Sakamaki H, Yokoyama H, Harigae H, Morishima Y. Safety and efficacy of rasburicase (SR29142) in a Japanese phase II study. Cancer Sci. 2009;100(2):357-62. DOI: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2008.01047.x PMID: 19076979.
- 20. Chow V, Lee K. Single fixed dose versus weight-based dosing of rasburicase for the treatment of hyperuricemia associated with tumor lysis syndrome in adults with hematologic malignancies. 2009;7(5):196–197.
- 21. Campara M, Shord SS, Haaf CM. Singledose rasburicase for tumour lvsis syndrome in adults: weight-based approach. Pharm .1 Clin Ther. 2009;34(2):207-13. 10.1111/j.1365-2710.2008.00994.x DOI: PMID: 19250141.
- 22. Cortes J, Moore JO, Maziarz RT, Wetzler M, Craig M, Matous J, Luger S, Dey BR, Schiller GJ, Pham D, Abboud CN, Krishnamurthy M, Brown A Jr, Laadem A, Seiter K. Control of plasma uric acid in adults at risk for tumor Lysis syndrome: efficacy and safety of rasburicase alone and rasburicase followed by allopurinol compared with allopurinol alone--results of a multicenter phase III study. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(27):4207-13.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.26.8896 Epub 2010 Aug 16. PMID: 20713865; PMCID: PMC4979236.

- Knoebel RW, Lo M, Crank CW. Evaluation of a low, weight-based dose of rasburicase in adult patients for the treatment or prophylaxis of tumor lysis syndrome. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2011;17(3):147-54. DOI: 10.1177/1078155210364180 Epub 2010 Mar 23. PMID: 20332174.
- 24. Yim B, Navaleza A, Haidau A, al e. Single 4.5mg dose of rasburicase for tumor lysis syndrome in adults. 2010;116(21):741– 742.
- 25. Vadhan-Raj S, Fayad LE, Fanale MA, Pro B, Rodriguez A, Hagemeister FB, et al. A

randomized trial of a single-dose rasburicase versus five-daily doses in patients at risk for tumor lysis syndrome. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(6):1640-5.

DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdr490 Epub 2011 Oct 19. PMID: 22015451; PMCID: PMC4110463.

- 26. Trifilio SM, Pi J, Zook J, Golf M, Coyle K, Greenberg D, Newman D, Koslosky M, Mehta J. Effectiveness of a single 3-mg rasburicase dose for the management of hyperuricemia in patients with hematological malignancies. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2011;46(6):800-5. DOI: 10.1038/bmt.2010.212 Epub 2010 Sep 6. PMID: 20818444.
- Maie K, Yokoyama Y, Kurita N, Minohara H, Yanagimoto S, Hasegawa Y, Homma M, Chiba S. Hypouricemic effect and safety of febuxostat used for prevention of tumor lysis syndrome. Springerplus. 2014;3:501.

DOI: 10.1186/2193-1801-3-501 PMID: 25279293; PMCID: PMC4164671.

Takai M, Yamauchi T, Ookura M, Matsuda Y, Tai K, Kishi S, Yoshida A, Iwasaki H, Nakamura T, Ueda T. Febuxostat for management of tumor lysis syndrome including its effects on levels of purine metabolites in patients with hematological malignancies - a single institution's, pharmacokinetic and pilot prospective study. Anticancer Res. 2014;34(12):7287-96. PMID: 25503162.

- 29. Spina M, Nagy Z, Ribera JM, Federico M, Aurer I, Jordan K, et al. Florence study group. Florence: a randomized, doubleblind, phase III pivotal study of febuxostat versus allopurinol for the prevention of tumor lysis syndrome (TLS) in patients with hematologic malignancies at intermediate to high TLS risk. Ann Oncol. 2015;26(10):2155-61. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv317 Epub 2015 Jul 27. PMID: 26216382.
- Sharma R, Abrol D, Singh GD, Angurana SL. Febuxostat versus allopurinol for the prevention and treatment of hyperuricemia in chronic myelogenous leukemia with hyperleucocytosis. J Med Edu and Research. 2016;18(1):12-15.
- Tamura K, Kawai Y, Kiguchi T, Okamoto M, Kaneko M, Maemondo M, et al. Efficacy and safety of febuxostat for prevention of tumor lysis syndrome in patients with malignant tumors receiving chemotherapy: A phase III, randomized, multi-center trial comparing febuxostat and allopurinol. Int J Clin Oncol. 2016;21(5):996-1003. DOI: 10.1007/s10147-016-0971-3 Epub
- 2016 Mar 26. PMID: 27017611.
 32. Kishimoto K, Kobayashi R, Hori D, Sano H, Suzuki D, Kobayashi K. Febuxostat as a prophylaxis for tumor lysis syndrome in children with hematological malignancies. Anticancer Res. 2017;37(10):5845-5849. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.12028 PMID: 28982910.

© 2021 Alshehri et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/70195